

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Curr Gastroenterol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 15.

Published in final edited form as: *Curr Gastroenterol Rep.* 2008 December ; 10(6): 528–534.

Pharmacology of Proton Pump Inhibitors

Jai Moo Shin, PhD and George Sachs, DSc, MD

Abstract

The gastric H,K-ATPase is the primary target for the treatment of acid-related diseases. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are weak bases composed of two moieties, a substituted pyridine with a primary pK_a of about 4.0, which allows selective accumulation in the secretory canaliculus of the parietal cell, and a benzimidazole with a second pK_a of about 1.0. PPIs are acid-activated prodrugs that convert to sulfenic acids or sulfenamides that react covalently with one or more cysteines accessible from the luminal surface of the ATPase. Because of covalent binding, their inhibitory effects last much longer than their plasma half-life. However, the short half-life of the drug in the blood and the requirement for acid activation impair their efficacy in acid suppression, particularly at night. PPIs with longer half-life promise to improve acid suppression. All PPIs give excellent healing of peptic ulcers and produce good results in reflux esophagitis. PPIs combined with antibiotics eradicate *Helicobacter pylori*.

Introduction

When activated by stimuli such as histamine and acetylcholine, the parietal cell undergoes dramatic morphologic changes from the resting status to the stimulated state. The gastric H,K-ATPase, which pumps gastric acid, appears to be in cytoplasmic tubular membranes in the resting state and then in the microvilli of the expanded secretory canaliculus in the stimulated state of the parietal cell. This morphologic change is proposed to result from fusion of cytoplasmic vesicles with the rudimentary microvilli to form the elongated microvilli of the expanded secretory canaliculus [1,2]. The gastric H,K-ATPase moves from the tubulovesicles to the apical membrane in the canaliculus of the stimulated state and secretes gastric acid by an electroneutral, ATP-dependent hydrogen-potassium exchange [3]. The enzyme uses extracellular K⁺ in order to secrete acid by the exchange of cytoplasmic hydronium with this K⁺. The cation reaches the luminal surface of the ATPase by insertion of K⁺ Cl⁻ (KCNQ1, Clic6) channels into the microvillus membrane.

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) block the gastric H,K-ATPase, inhibiting gastric acid secretion. This effect enables healing of peptic ulcers, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), Barrett's esophagus, and Zollinger-Ellison syndrome, as well as the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* as part of combination regimens. This article reviews the structure and function of the gastric H,K-ATPase and the inhibitors of this enzyme, the PPIs.

The Gastric H,K-ATPase

The gastric ATPase is a member of the P_2 type ATPases. The first step of the reaction is phosphorylation of the catalytic subunit by MgATP, with export of protons; this step is

DOCKE

Corresponding author: George Sachs, DSc, MD, Membrane Biology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Room 324, Building 113, 11301 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90073, USA. gsachs@ucla.edu. Disclosures

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

followed by luminal potassium-dependent dephosphorylation and potassium reabsorption. The result is electroneutral exchange of cytoplasmic protons for exoplasmic potassium [3]. The gastric H,K-ATPase is composed of two subunits: a catalytic α subunit and a β subunit. The primary structure of the gastric H,K-ATPase α subunit was elucidated in the rat [4] and then in the hog [5], rabbit [6], dog [7], and human [8]. This catalytic subunit consists of 1033 or 1034 amino acids with 10 transmembrane segments in all species. Functional studies demonstrated that ATP catalyzed an electroneutral exchange of H for K, with a variable stoichiometry of 2H/2K/ATP at pH 6.1, which fell to 1H/1K/ATP as luminal pH fell below 3.0 [9–11]. The β subunit consists of 291 amino acids and contains six or seven N-linked glycosylation sites with one trans-membrane segment [12–14]. The gastric H,K-ATPase is fully assembled during biosynthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum and is delivered to the apical membrane as a heterodimeric oligomer. N-glycosylation of the ß subunit was identified as being responsible for trafficking to the canalicular membrane. The steady state distribution of the H,K-ATPase β subunit in polarized cells depends on the balance between direct sorting from the trans-Golgi network, secondary associative sorting with a partner protein, and selective trafficking [15–17].

In the α subunit, a cluster of intramembranal carboxylic amino acids, located in the middle of the transmembrane segments TM4, TM5, TM6, and TM8, contains the ion-binding domain in this enzyme, including a lysine 791. This lysine of the H,K-ATPase seems to characterize the H,K-enzyme specificity for outward transport of the hydronium ion [18•]. Movement of the R-NH₃⁺ into the carboxylic ion-binding domain is thought to catalyze the export of protons to the luminal face of the pump. The functional form of the gastric H,K-ATPase is a [$\alpha\beta$]₂ heterodimer oligomer [19,20•]. The large changes in conformation in the cytoplasmic domain probably account for the finding that the enzyme functions as an out-of-phase oligomeric heterodimer, as most clearly demonstrated by measuring the stoichiometry of ATP binding, acid-stable phosphorylation, and binding of acid pump antagonists (APAs) or PPIs [20•].

The E_1 form of the enzyme allows access to the ion-binding domain from the cytoplasmic surface. Binding of two ATP moieties, along with two magnesium ions, occurs in this conformation. One stabilizes the $\alpha\beta$ orientation of the first two phosphates of the nucleotide, and the second, in proximity to the acceptor aspartyl residue, allows transfer of the γ phosphate to the catalytic subunit of the protein and initiates the change of conformation from the E_1 form to the E_1P conformer with the ion sites binding the hydronium ions. This process is followed by conversion to the E_2P form, in which the protons are released outward and K⁺ binds from the luminal surface. ATP has dual roles in the transport cycle of the gastric H,K-ATPase. ATP phosphorylates the enzyme and promotes the $E_2 \cdot K \rightarrow E_1 + K^+$ transition [21]. The potassium occlusion site shows distorted octahedral geometry, with K⁺ bound predominantly on the M4 helix, with ligands contributed by backbone carbonyl oxygens of V338, A339, and V341, and by side chain oxygens of E820 and E795 [18•]. Recently two hydronium transporting pathways were proposed [11]. The hydroniums in the binding sites are transported into the lumen during the conformational transition from E_1P to E_2P .

Chemistry and Biology of PPIs

Because the H,K-ATPase is the final step of acid secretion, an inhibitor of this enzyme is more effective than receptor antagonists in suppressing gastric acid secretion [22]. Timoprazole is a compound that inhibited acid secretion in vivo regardless of the nature of the stimulus, whether ligands acting via extracellular receptors such as histamine or acetylcholine or the intracellular second messenger, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). This compound, a pyridylmethylsulfinyl benzimidazole, was synthesized in 1975. It was found that the compound was ineffective in the absence of acid transport by the ATPase. With acid transport in gastric ATPase vesicles, the drug inhibited acid production and ATPase activity. It was therefore an

Curr Gastroenterol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 15.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKE.

acid-activated prodrug. Omeprazole was subsequently synthesized, and in 1989 it became the first drug of this class to be introduced into clinical use. Omeprazole (Losec; AstraZeneca, Wilmington, DE) was followed by lansoprazole (Prevacid; TAP Pharmaceuticals, Lake Forest, IL), pantoprazole (Protonix; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Madison, NJ) or rabeprazole (Aciphex; Eisai Company, Woodcliff, NJ) and more recently by the S-enantiomer of omeprazole (Nexium, AstraZeneca). Typical structures of PPIs are shown in Figure 1.

PPIs are weak bases with a pK_a1 between 3.8 and 4.9. This weak base pK_a enables PPIs to accumulate selectively in the acidic space of the secretory canaliculus of the stimulated parietal cell, where the pH is about 1.0. This acid space–dependent concentration of PPIs is the first important property that determines their therapeutic index, giving a concentration at the luminal surface of the pump that is about 1000-fold higher than in the blood. The second step is acid-dependent conversion from the accumulated prodrug to the activated species, which is a highly reactive thiophilic reagent. A second protonation of these compounds is required for their activation to the compounds that form disulfides with luminally accessible cysteines of the H,K-ATPase. The actual inhibitory form of these prodrugs is a tetracyclic sulfenamide or sulfenic acid. The order of acid stability is tenatoprazole > pantoprazole > omeprazole > lansoprazole > rabeprazole [23].

Depending on the difference of the substituents on the pyridine or benzimidazole, PPIs bind to different cysteines. Omeprazole binds at cysteine 813 and cysteine 892. Lansoprazole binds at cysteine 813 and cysteine 813 and cysteine 821. Pantoprazole and tenatoprazole bind at cysteine 813 and cysteine 822. With acid transport by the ATPase, the second proton is added and then the compound converts to the sulfenic acid. If this occurs rapidly, as for omeprazole or lansoprazole, reaction with cysteine 813 and/or cysteine 321 takes place, and no drug can access cysteine 822. However, if the activation is delayed, the drug can access cysteine 822 before activation to the sulfenic acid. Then, when activated, both cysteine 813 and 822 are derivatized, as found for pantoprazole or tenatoprazole [23–27].

Differences of PPI binding sites modify biologic activity. When the PPI-bound enzyme was treated with glutathione, an endogenous reducing agent with a concentration of about 3 mM in the parietal cell, omeprazole and pantoprazole differed in loss of PPI binding. Pantoprazole binding resists glutathione reduction. These observations suggest that removal of binding of the drug to cysteine 813 accounts for the fast phase of recovery of acid secretion; the slow recovery occurs because of a delay in removal of the drug from cysteine 822. Both residues, cysteine 813 and 822, are equally labeled by pantoprazole in vivo. The small amount of cysteine 822 bound by omeprazole in vivo is not seen in vitro [26,28], presumably because acidification in isolated gastric vesicles is less than occurs in vivo. In vivo, it is likely that a minor fraction of the omeprazole remains protonated at both the pyridine and benzimidazole nitrogen and is slowly activated, allowing some access to cysteine 822.

Efficacy of Inhibition of Acid Secretion

All of these drugs inhibit the gastric H,K-ATPase by covalent binding, so the duration of their effect is longer than expected from their levels in the blood [28]. However, PPIs cannot inhibit all gastric acid pumps with oral dosing because not all pumps are active during the 90-minute half-life of the PPI in the blood. Because PPIs have a short half-life, only 70% of the pump enzymes are inhibited. It takes about 2 to 3 days to reach steady state inhibition of acid secretion. The pump protein has a half-life of about 54 hours in the rat [29] (and probably in humans). Thus about 20% of pumps are newly synthesized over a 24-hour period, and there may be greater pump synthesis at night than during the day. In addition, bedtime administration of PPIs will not add to inhibition of nocturnal acid breakthrough, because the drug will have disappeared by the time nighttime acid secretion is evident. Assuming that about 70% of pumps

Curr Gastroenterol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 15.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKE.

are activated by breakfast and that the PPI is given 30 to 60 minutes beforehand, it can be calculated that steady state inhibition on once-a-day dosing is about 66% of maximal acid output. Increasing the dose has virtually no effect once optimal dosage has been reached. Increasing the dose frequency does have some effect; a morning dose and an evening dose before meals results in about 80% inhibition of maximal acid output.

To improve acid inhibition, the plasma half-life of the PPI must be increased. One means is to replace the benzimidazole with imidazopyridine, slowing metabolism and prolonging the half-life of the drug, as found with tenatoprazole [30]. This PPI has an advantage in suppressing nighttime acid secretion, but its slow activation blunts its advantage for daytime acid suppression. An alternative approach was to synthesize a slowly absorbed derivative of omeprazole, which then increased the plasma half-life about threefold and produced a median pH of about 5 in initial studies [30].

Stability of Inhibition of Acid Secretion

Reversal of inhibition of the ATPase can occur either by de novo synthesis or reduction of the disulfide bond between the PPI and the protein. A rationale for examination of reversal of covalent binding to the H,K-ATPase was provided by measurement of the half-life of pump protein biosynthesis in rats treated for 7 days with omeprazole, which was 54 hours, and the half-time of restoration of ATPase activity, 15 hours. Such data suggest a more rapid recovery of ATPase activity and acid secretion than would occur if only de novo biosynthesis was responsible for restoration of ATPase activity [29]. In other experiments, the halftime of restoration of acid secretion in omeprazole-treated rats was 20 hours [31,32]. An analysis of the rate of restoration of acid secretion in humans suggested that the half-time was 24 hours following omeprazole inhibition, whereas after pantoprazole it was 46 hours [33]. Only pantoprazole appears to have a rate of recovery compatible with restoration of acid secretion due entirely to pump turnover [34,35].

Clinical Pharmacology of PPIs

In healthy humans, the half-life of PPIs is about 1 hour (9 hours for tenatoprazole), but the duration of acid inhibition is 48 hours because of irreversible binding to the H,K-ATPase. The maximal plasma drug concentration (Cmax) and the degree of acid suppression are poorly correlated, but the area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) correlates well with acid suppression. Some pharmacokinetic parameters of the PPIs are summarized in Table 1.

The oral bioavailability of PPIs is high: 77% for pantoprazole, 80% to 90% for lansoprazole, and 89% for esomeprazole, for example [36–39].

All the PPIs except tenatoprazole are rapidly metabolized in the liver by CYP enzymes (mostly by CYP2C19 and 3A4). Because of the sensitivity of PPIs to CYP enzymes, the pharmacokinetic profiles of PPIs are very different depending on the phenotypes of the metabolizers. Three phenotypes have been identified in various populations: extensive metabolizers (homEM), poor metabolizers (PM), and individuals carrying one wild-type and one mutant allele (hetEM). Poor metabolizers make up 3% of Caucasians and 15% to 20% of Asians. Systemic drug exposure (AUC) varies widely between these three populations: the AUC for omeprazole is about 7.5-fold higher in PM than in homEM, about 4.5-fold higher for lansoprazole, and about fourfold higher for rabeprazole. Because the pharmacodynamic response to PPIs is related to their AUC, intragastric pH is more elevated in PM (median pH ~6) and hetEM (median pH ~4–5) than in homEM (median pH ~3–4) [40]. Patients with hepatic impairment show a sevenfold increase in AUC for PPIs and a prolonged half-life. Esomeprazole was well tolerated across the spectrum of hepatic impairment, unlike other PPIs [41].

Curr Gastroenterol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 15.

DOCKET

Comparing the Efficacy of PPIs

Suppressing gastric acid secretion enhances healing of acid-related diseases. Good healing of reflux esophagitis is achieved when the intragastric pH is greater than 4 for 16 hours per day, and peptic ulcer is optimally healed when the intragastric pH is greater than 3 for 16 hours per day. [42]. The best in vivo parameters to use in comparing PPIs with each other are the intragastric pH and total acid output. Generally, all PPIs provide good gastric acid suppression, but because they are used at different doses (omeprazole 20 mg, lansoprazole 30 mg, pantoprazole 40 mg, rabeprazole 20 mg, esomeprazole 40 mg, and tenatoprazole 40 mg), it is not easy to compare their efficacy.

One study compared rabeprazole (20 mg), lansoprazole (30 mg), pantoprazole (40 mg), and omeprazole (20-mg capsule vs 20-mg multiple unit pellet system tablet) [43]. Rabeprazole had the highest first-day median 24-hour pH. Another study compared gastric acid inhibition following the administration (30 minutes before breakfast) of rabeprazole (20 mg), esomeprazole (40 mg), omeprazole (20 mg), lansoprazole (30 mg) and pantoprazole (40 mg) for 5 consecutive days. At the end of the 5-day period, intragastric pH greater than 4 was maintained longer with esomeprazole, and more patients had a pH greater than 4 for more than 12 hours [44]. Esomeprazole (40 mg) gives good acid suppression (pH > 4 for 16.8 h/d) [45].

When lansoprazole (30 mg) was compared with omeprazole (20 mg), both taken orally on a daily basis, lansoprazole maintained the pH > 3 for a significantly greater time and produced a higher median 24-hour pH [46,47]. However, many other studies comparing omeprazole and lansoprazole have shown no significant difference overall in any pH parameters [48,49]. Pantoprazole (40 mg) has also been compared with omeprazole (20 mg); the results showed a significantly higher daytime and 24-hour pH with pantoprazole [50]. When the efficacy of each PPI is compared based on same dose, omeprazole, lansoprazole, and pantoprazole seem to produce similar acid suppression.

Tenatoprazole (40 mg) provided better nighttime acid suppression than other PPIs [51]. A significant difference was observed between tenatoprazole and esomeprazole during the nocturnal period; the mean pH was 4.64 with tenatoprazole versus 3.61 with esomeprazole, and the mean percentage of time with pH greater than 4 was significantly higher for tenatoprazole [52]. This difference is due to the prolonged half-life of tenatoprazole in the blood.

Many studies have compared healing rates of GERD. In comparisons of lansoprazole (30 mg) with omeprazole (20 mg), there was no significant difference in endoscopic healing rates at 4 and 8 weeks [53–55]. Again, when lansoprazole (30 mg) was compared with omeprazole (40 mg), no significant differences were found in healing rates or relief of symptoms [56]. Rabeprazole (20 mg) and omeprazole (20 mg) produced equivalent healing rates and relief of symptoms at 4 and 8 weeks [57].

More GERD patients (93.7%–94.1%) were healed at week 8 with the use of 40 mg of esomeprazole than with 20 mg of omeprazole (84.2%–86.9%) [58,59]. When 40 mg of esomeprazole was compared with 40 mg of pantoprazole, both gave good healing rates [60].

PPIs have been used successfully in triple-therapy regimens with clarithromycin and amoxicillin for the eradication of *H. pylori*. There was no significant difference between different PPI-based regimens.

Curr Gastroenterol Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 15.

DOCKE.

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

