

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

T-Mobile US, Inc., T-Mobile USA, Inc., TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.,  
Ericsson Inc., and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson  
*Petitioners,*

v.

TracBeam, LLC,  
*Patent Owner.*

---

U.S. Patent No. 7,525,484

Title: GATEWAY AND HYBRID SOLUTIONS FOR WIRELESS LOCATION

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest .....	1
B. Related Matters .....	2
C. Counsel and Service Information .....	2
III. PAYMENT OF FEES .....	3
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	3
A. Petitioners have standing to bring this Petition .....	3
B. Petitioners are not barred by the prior litigation .....	4
V. NON-REDUNDANCY OF CONCURRENT PETITIONS .....	5
VI. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE .....	6
VII. THE '484 PATENT .....	6
A. Overview .....	6
B. Prosecution History .....	7
C. Level of ordinary skill in the art .....	8
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	8
A. “mobile station,” “location techniques(s)” (and related terms), “location determining sources” (and related terms), and “output criteria” .....	8
B. “location information” and related terms .....	9
C. Order of Claim Elements .....	10
D. “obtained via transmissions” and related terms .....	12
IX. PRIOR ART .....	13
A. State of the Art .....	13
B. Kauser .....	14
C. Wortham .....	15
D. Obviousness based on Kauser and Wortham .....	15

1.	Overview.....	15
2.	Satellite / Non-Terrestrial GPS Technique.....	16
3.	Terrestrial Geometric Technique.....	19
4.	Terrestrial Coverage Area Technique.....	21
5.	Resulting Location Determination.....	22
6.	Output Resulting Location Information.....	25
7.	Reasons to Modify and/or Combine.....	25
X.	GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY.....	30
A.	Claims 27 and 62 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Kauser .....	30
	CLAIM 27.....	30
	CLAIM 62.....	45
B.	Claim 39 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of the Kauser- Wortham combination.....	57
	CLAIM 39.....	58
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	60

## EXHIBITS<sup>1</sup>

Number	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,764,231 (the “’231 Patent”)
1002	U.S. Patent No. 7,525,484 (the “’484 Patent”) <b>(PATENT CHALLENGED IN THIS PETITION)</b>
1003	U.S. Patent No. 8,032,153 (the “’153 Patent”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 7,298,327 (the “’327 Patent”)
1005	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. William Michalson
1006	Expert Declaration of Dr. William Michalson
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,724,660 to Kauser (“ <i>Kauser</i> ”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,936,572 to Loomis (“ <i>Loomis</i> ”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,748,226 to Wortham (“ <i>Wortham</i> ”)
1010	International PCT Application No. PCT/US93/12179 to Schuchman (“ <i>Schuchman</i> ”)
1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,327,144 to Stilp (“ <i>Stilp</i> ”)
1012	FAA Advisory Circular 20-101C, <i>Airworthiness Approval of Omega/VLF Navigation Systems For Use in the U.S. National Airspace System (NAS) and Alaska</i> (Sep. 12, 1988)
1013	FAA Advisory Circular 20-130A, <i>Airworthiness Approval of Navigation or Flight Management Systems Integrating Multiple Navigation Sensors</i> (Jun. 14, 1995)

---

<sup>1</sup> For the Board’s convenience, the same set of exhibits and exhibit numbering are provided for each of Petitioner’s concurrently filed petitions for the ’484 Patent.

1014	<i>FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Locating Wireless 911 Callers</i> (Released Oct. 19, 1994)
1015	TR45 Joint Experts Meeting (JEM) for Emergency Services (Aug. 18, 1994)
1016	C.J. Driscoll & Associates, <i>Survey of Location Technologies to Support Mobile 9-1-1</i> , July 1994 (“ <i>Driscoll Survey</i> ”)
1017	Claim Construction Order (MetroPCS and TCS lawsuits)
1018	Supplemental Claim Construction Order
1019	Complaint against MetroPCS
1020	Complaint filed by TCS
1021	Dismissal Request (MetroPCS and TCS lawsuits)
1022	Dismissal Order (MetroPCS and TCS lawsuits)
1023	MetroPCS Corporate Disclosure Statement (MetroPCS lawsuit)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.