

Filed on behalf of TRACBEAM, LLC

By: Sean Luner
DOVEL & LUNER, LLP
201 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 600
Santa Monica, CA 90401
Telephone (310) 656-7066
sean@dovel.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

T-MOBILE US, INC., T-MOBILE USA, INC.,
TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., ERICSSON INC., and
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON
Petitioners,

v.

TRACBEAM, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01708
Patent 7,525,484 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

Table of Contents

I. Introduction.....1

II. Claim Construction.....2

III. Petitioners have failed to satisfy their burden of demonstrating that
Claim 1 is unpatentable.4

 A. The Petition fails to meet its burden as to Claim 14

 1. “first obtaining” and “second obtaining”4

 2. “wherein said steps of first and second obtaining includes
a step of providing said first and second location inputs
in a common standardized format”5

 B. The Decision.....6

 C. Response to the Decision7

IV. Conclusion8

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>Genetics Inst., LLC v. Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	1
<i>Guinn v. Kopf</i> , 96 F.3d 1419 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	1
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 8 (Oct. 25, 2012)	5

Updated Exhibit List

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
2001	<i>The American Heritage Dictionary</i> , p. 575 (3 rd ed., 1994)
2002	<i>Webster's New World College Dictionary</i> , p. 996 (4 th ed., 2010)
2003	Narrowing Agreement
2004	Memorandum Opinion and Order entered 7/14/16 in <i>TracBeam LLC v. T-Mobile US, Inc., et al.</i> , case no 6:14-cv-678 (E.D. Tex.) (“ <i>Markman</i> Order”)
2005	Disclaimer in Patent Under 37 CFR 1.321(a) – Patent No. 7,525,484 B2

I. Introduction.

This *Inter Partes* review is limited to review of claims 1 and 51 of the ‘484 patent, which are challenged as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of the Loomis-Wortham combination. IPR2015-01708, paper 10 (“Decision”). Patent Owner TracBeam appreciates the guidance provided by the Board in its Decision but respectfully maintains that Petitioners have failed to show that challenged claim 1 is obvious. Patent Owner also maintains that claim 51 was and is patentable. However, to conserve resources in these proceedings and the co-pending litigation, which is nearing trial, Patent Owner has disclaimed claim 51, thereby rendering this proceeding moot as to that claim. Ex. 2005.¹

¹ The effect of the disclaimer is that claim 51 of the ‘484 patent is treated as if it had never existed. *Genetics Inst., LLC v. Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.*, 655 F.3d 1291, 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2011) (“upon entry of a disclaimer under § 253, we treat the patent as though the disclaimed claim(s) had never existed” (internal quotations omitted)); *Guinn v. Kopf*, 96 F.3d 1419, 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1996) (“A statutory disclaimer under 35 U.S.C. § 253 has the effect of canceling the claims from the patent and the patent is viewed as though the disclaimed claims had never existed in the patent” (emphasis added)). As a result, this proceeding is now moot—the Board need not and cannot determine whether a claim that is

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.