

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TRACBEAM, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 7,525,484

Inter Partes Review No. _____

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	NOTICES AND STATEMENTS.....	1
II.	INTRODUCTION	2
III.	DEVELOPMENT OF POSITION LOCATION TECHNOLOGY FOR CELLPHONES (INCLUDING ISSUANCE OF FCC 96-264)	3
IV.	THE '484 PATENT	8
A.	Summary of the '484 Patent.....	8
1.	Location Determination by Use of Measurements of Signals Transmitted Between Mobile Stations and Base Stations	10
2.	Use of GPS in the '484 Patent	12
B.	Prosecution History of the '484 Patent and FCC Rule Changes.....	16
1.	Prosecution of the Parent ('367/PCT '892) Application.....	16
a.	1997 Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 97-402).....	17
b.	1998 PCT Amendment and National Stage Filing	18
c.	March 1999 Preliminary Amendment	19
d.	1999 Third Report and Order (FCC 99-245).....	20
e.	February 2000 Preliminary Amendment	22
f.	<i>Ex Parte Quayle</i> Action, RCE, Continued Prosecution	22
2.	Prosecution of Continuation (Which Issued as '484 Patent)	23
a.	2001 Continuation Filing with New Claims.....	23
b.	2002 "Preliminary Amendment" Adding New Matter.....	23
c.	Requests for Continued Examination and Issuance	26
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	27
VI.	PRIORITY DATE OF THE '484 PATENT	32

VII. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE40

- A. Statutory Grounds for the Challenge of Each Claim41
- B. Ground 1 – Obviousness of All Challenged Claims (Except Claim 43)
Based on PCT '307 in View of FCC 99-24541
- C. Ground 2 – Obviousness of Challenged Claim 43 Based on PCT '307 in
View of FCC 99-245 in Further View of Stip.....59

VIII. CONCLUSION.....59

Exhibit List for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,525,484

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
<i>U.S. Patent No. 7,525,484 to Dupray et al. [referenced as “the ’484 patent”]</i>	1001
<i>Declaration of Kevin S. Judge [referenced as “Judge Decl.”] (includes Mr. Judge’s CV as Appendix A thereto)</i>	1002
<i>PCT Application No. PCT/US97/15892 [i.e., parent application referenced as “PCT ’892”], which was published on March 12, 1998 as PCT Publication No. WO 98/10307 [referenced as “PCT ’307”] *Note: a copy of PCT ’307 appears in the file history of U.S. Patent No. 7,764,231 (i.e., the parent of the ’484 patent), but has numerous markings thereon; accordingly, Petitioner is submitting a “clean” copy of PCT ’307 as this exhibit</i>	1003 (prior art reference)
<i>June 12, 1996 “Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking,” released on July 26, 1996 in CC Docket No. 94-102, and published in FCC Record, Vol. 11, No. 33, Pages 18599 to 19183, Supplement at pp. 18676-773; see also 11 FCC Rcd 18676 and 1996 FCC LEXIS 3966 [referenced as “FCC 96-264” or “1996 Report and Order”]</i>	1004
<i>Rappaport et al., “Position Location Using Wireless Communications on Highways of the Future,” IEEE Communications Magazine, pp. 33-41 (Oct. 1996) [referenced as “Rappaport”]</i>	1005
<i>Krizman et al., “Wireless Position Location: Fundamentals, Implementation Strategies, and Sources of Error,” IEEE Vehicular Technology Conf., Phoenix, AZ (May 5-7, 1997) [referenced as “Krizman”]</i>	1006
<i>Zagami et al., “Providing Universal Location Services Using a Wireless E911 Location Network,” IEEE Communications Magazine, pp. 66-71 (Apr. 1998) [referenced as “Zagami”]</i>	1007
<i>PCT Application No. PCT/US/15933, published on March 12, 1998 as PCT Publication No. WO 98/10538 [referenced as “PCT ’538”]</i>	1008

<i>December 1, 1997 “Memorandum Opinion and Order,” released on December 23, 1997 in CC Docket No. 94-102, and published in FCC Record, Vol. 12, No. 36, Pages 22497 to 23188, Supplement at pp. 22665-755; see also 12 FCC Rcd 22665 and 1997 FCC LEXIS 7402 [referenced as “FCC 97-402”]</i>	1009
<i>PCT Amendment and accompanying papers (including Verified Statement Claiming Small Entity Status) submitted with the National Stage filing of Application No. 09/194,367 [which is referenced as “the ’367 application”] on November 24, 1998 in the parent application</i>	1010
<i>March 8, 1999 Preliminary Amendment in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1011
<i>September 15, 1999 “Third Report and Order,” released on October 6, 1999 in CC Docket No. 94-102, and published in FCC Record, Vol. 14, No. 31, Pages 17012 to 17627 (Oct. 4, 1999 – Oct. 15, 1999) at pp. 17388-466; see also 14 FCC Rcd 17388 and 1999 FCC LEXIS 4896 [referenced as “FCC 99-245”]</i>	1012 (prior art reference)
<i>February 7, 2000 Second Preliminary Amendment in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1013
<i>March 21, 2000 office action in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1014
<i>August 17, 2000 Request for Continued Examination and amendment in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1015
<i>October 31, 2000 Supplemental Amendment in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1016
<i>December 8, 2000 office action in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1017
<i>April 16, 2001 Response in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1018
<i>July 17, 2001 Notice of Allowance in prosecution of the parent application</i>	1019

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.