## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALLSTEEL INC. Petitioner

V.

DIRTT ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS LTD.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01691 Patent No. 8,024,901

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, SCOTT A. DANIELS, and JACQUELINE T. HARLOW, *Administrative Patent Judges* 

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner Allsteel, Inc. respectfully requests the opportunity to present oral argument in this matter. The Board has set October 13, 2016, as the date for any oral argument in this matter. (Scheduling Order, Paper No. 11). In accordance with the general guidelines set forth in the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 45,756 at 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012), Petitioner respectfully requests that it be permitted to present its case first, and requests one hour of argument time with the opportunity to reserve time for a rebuttal. The issues to be addressed include the following:

- 1. The grounds for which *inter partes* review was instituted:
  - a. U.S. Patent 8,024,901 claims 1, 6, 7, and 15-18 are obvious over Raith and EVH;
  - b. U.S. Patent 8,024,901 claims 1, 4, 5, and 9 are obvious over Raith and Yu;
  - c. U.S. Patent 8,024,901 claims 1, 4, 10, 19, and 20 are obvious over Raith and MacGregor;
  - d. U.S. Patent 8,024,901 claim 25 is obvious over Raith, MacGregor, and
     Rozier; and
  - e. U.S. Patent 8,024,901 claim 14 is obvious over Raith, EVH, and Dixon.
- 2. Any issues addressed by Petitioner in IPR2015-01691, including in the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (Paper No. 1), Petitioner's Reply to Patent



Owner's Response (Paper No. 30), and in Petitioner's Motion to Exclude Evidence, and Patent Owner's Response, if any.

3. Any issues properly raised by Patent Owner in IPR2015-01691, including in Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper No. 9) and Patent Owner's Response (Paper No. 24), and also in Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude and Motion for Observation Regarding Cross-Examination, and Petitioner's responses thereto, if any.

4. Issues related to evidence submitted in this proceeding.

5. Rebuttal to issues raised by Patent Owner in this proceeding.

6. Any issues in this proceeding noted in Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument, or otherwise raised by the Board.

Petitioner requests permission to use audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a computer, projector, and screen to display demonstratives and exhibits.

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /Victor P. Jonas/

Victor P. Jonas Reg. No. 58,590



Dated: Sept. 6, 2016

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I hereby certify that on September 6, 2016, I caused a true and correct copy of Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument to be served via email, as a PDF attachment, on the following:

Chad Nydegger <a href="mailto:cnydegger@wnlaw.com">cnydegger@wnlaw.com</a>

David Todd <a href="mailto:dtodd@wnlaw.com">dtodd@wnlaw.com</a>

Michael J. Frodsham mfrodsham@wnlaw.com

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /Victor P. Jonas/

Victor P. Jonas Reg. No. 58,590

Dated: September 6, 2016

Faegre Baker Daniels LLP 2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901 Telephone: (612) 766-7000

Facsimile: (612) 766-1600

