

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS VII LLC
Petitioner

v.

POZEN INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01680
Patent No. 8,852,636

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
37 C.F.R. § 42.107

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	DENIAL OF INSTITUTION OF IPR2015-00802	5
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7
V.	CLAIM INTERPRETATION	8
A.	The Petition Incorrectly Interprets “Unit Dosage Form”	8
VI.	PROSECUTION HISTORY	9
VII.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY CFAD’S PETITION BECAUSE IT FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING	11
A.	Ground 1: The Petition Has Not Established a Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1-18 are Obvious over Goldman in View of Remington and Lindberg.....	11
B.	Ground 2: The Petition Has Not Established a Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1-6 and 13-15 are Obvious Over Gimet in View of Goldman in Further View of Lindberg	17
1.	There Was No Motivation to Combine the Cited References.....	18
2.	There Was No Reasonable Expectation of Success	20
C.	Ground 3: The Petition Has Not Established a Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 7-12 and 16-18 are Obvious over Ouali in View of Lindberg	25
D.	The Petition Fails to Offer Evidence Refuting Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness	26
1.	Surprising and Unexpected Results	31
2.	Long Felt but Unresolved Need.....	33

3. Licensing.....34

4. Copying.....35

E. Each of the Grounds is Horizontally Redundant35

VIII. CONCLUSION.....36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Bumble Bee Foods, LLC v. Kowalski</i> , IPR2014-00224, Paper No. 18 (P.T.A.B. June 5, 2014)	18
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper No. 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 29, 2014).....	28, 29
<i>Crocs, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	2, 27
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	28
<i>DeSilva v. DiLeonardi</i> , 181 F.3d 865 (7th Cir. 1999)	29
<i>Dr. Reddy’s Labs., Inc. v. Pozen, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00802, Paper No. 2, Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of Patent No. 8,557,285 (Feb. 24, 2015)	6
<i>Dr. Reddy’s Labs., Inc. v. Pozen, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00802, Paper No. 28, Decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (P.T.A.B. Oct. 9, 2015)	7, 9, 22
<i>Fid. Nat’l Info. Servs., Inc. v. DataTreasury Corp.</i> , IPR2014-00489, Paper No. 9 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 13, 2014).....	28, 30
<i>In re Haruna</i> , 249 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	24
<i>In re Huai-Hung Kao</i> , 639 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	2, 27, 28
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012)	36
<i>McGinley v. Franklin Sports, Inc.</i> , 262 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	35

<i>Micron Tech., Inc. v. Bd. of Trs. of the Univ. of Ill.,</i> IPR2013-00005, Paper No. 54 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 10, 2014).....	31
<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.,</i> 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	26
<i>In re Portola Packaging, Inc.,</i> 110 F.3d 786 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	10
<i>In re Rouffet,</i> 149 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	34
<i>Santarus, Inc. v. Par Pharm., Inc.,</i> 694 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	23
<i>Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp.,</i> 713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	27, 28
<i>Tec Air, Inc. v. Denso Mfg. Mich. Inc.,</i> 192 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	24
<i>United States v. Chemical Found., Inc.,</i> 272 U.S. 1 (1926).....	10

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 313	1
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	7

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....	28, 30

Other Authorities

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.