

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES INC.

Petitioner

v.

JAMES B. GOODMAN

Patent Owner

Case No. TBD

Patent 6,243,315

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF CLAIMS 1, 5, 10, AND 16 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,243,315**

VIA PRPS

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Michael F. Heafey (Lead Counsel)
Registration No. 38,178
King & Spalding, LLP
601 South California Avenue, Suite 100
Palo Alto, California 94304
(650) 422-6700

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
A.	Fees	1
B.	Real Party-In-Interest.....	1
C.	Identification Of Challenge	1
D.	Standing.....	1
E.	Related Matters - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2):.....	1
F.	Lead, Back-Up Counsel, And Service Information.....	3
III.	STANDARD OF REVIEW	4
IV.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(1) & (2). ..	4
V.	STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	5
A.	Description Of The ‘315 Patent	5
1.	The Alleged Invention.....	6
B.	Description Of The Prior Art.....	8
1.	U.S. Patent No. 5,600,605 (“Schaefer”).....	9
2.	U.S. Patent No. 5,793,776 (“Qureshi”)	11
3.	U.S. Patent No. 5,204,840 (“Mazur”).....	12
C.	Claim Construction 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	12
1.	“A Memory System For Use In A Computer System” (Claims 1 & 10).....	14
2.	“Plurality Of Volatile Solid State Memory Devices” (Claims 1 & 10).....	15
3.	“Address Lines And Control Lines” (Claims 1 & 10).....	16
4.	“A Control Device For Selectively Electrically Isolating [The] Memory Devices” (Claim 1) & “A Control Device . . . For Selectively Electrically Isolating [The] Memory Devices” (Claim 10).....	17
5.	“A low power mode for [the] memory system” (Claims 1 & 10).....	18
D.	Legal Standard For Obviousness.....	19
1.	Scope And Content Of The Prior Art.....	20
2.	Differences Between The Prior Art And The Patent Claims	21
3.	The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	24
4.	Motivation To Combine References	25
5.	The Predictability Of The Field, Skill Of The POSITA, & The Ordinary/Expected Functions.....	29
E.	The Challenged Claims 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(1) & (4).....	31
F.	Detailed Discussion Of The Challenged Claims	47

- 1. Independent Claim 1 Of The '315 Patent 48
- 2. Independent Claim 10 Of The '315 Patent 54
- 3. Dependent Claims 5 And 16 Of The '315 Patent..... 59

VI. CONCLUSION..... 60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>In re Am. Acad. Of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	13, 15
<i>SAP America, Inc. v. Versata Dev. Group, Inc.</i> , CBM2012-00001	14
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1, 17 (1966)	19, 20
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1741 (2007)	19, 23, 27, 29
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 480 F. 3d 1348, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	20
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974)	19, 54, 59, 60
<i>Rothman v. Target Corp.</i> , 556 F.3d 1310, 1319–20 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	20
<i>X2Y Attenuators, LLC v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 757 F.3d 1358, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	31
<i>Ultradent Prods., Inc. v. Life-Like Cosmetics, Inc.</i> , 127 F.3d 1065, 1069 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	32

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	4
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	5

Rules

<i>MPEP</i> § 2141 Sec. I, ¶ 2	20, 23, 27, 29
75 F.R. 53650, <i>Examination Guidelines Update</i> , September 2010	20, 23, 27, 29

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	13

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit</u>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,243,315
1002	Declaration of Nader Bagherzadeh
1003	Plaintiff's Amended Infringement Chart For U.S. Patent No. 6,243,315 From Case No. 4:14-cv-01380 GHM (S.D. Tex.)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,600,605 to Schaefer
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,793,776 to Qureshi <i>et al.</i>
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,204,840 to Mazur
1007	<i>JEDEC DDR SDRAM Specification JESD79 R1</i> , June 2000
1008	<i>JEDEC DDR2 SDRAM Specification JESD79-2F</i> , November 2009
1009	<i>Micron MT48LC2M8S1(S)</i> Datasheet, April 1994
1010	<i>Micron MT48LC2M8S1(S)</i> Specification Sheet, June 1993
1011	Dkt. 7 From Case No. 4:14-cv-01380 GHM (S.D. Tex.)
1012	<i>JEDEC DDR3 SDRAM Specification JESD79-3E</i> , July 2010
1013	U.S. Patent No. 4,005,395 to Fosler, Jr. <i>et al.</i>
1014	<i>Reengineering the Curriculum: Design and Analysis of a New Undergraduate Electrical and Computer Engineering Degree at Carnegie Mellon University</i> , Director et al., IEEE 1995
1015	Plaintiff's Disclosure Of Asserted Claims And Preliminary Infringement Contentions From Case No. 4:14-cv-01380 GHM (S.D. Tex.)
1016	Dkt. 18 - Second Amended Complaint From Case No. 4:14-cv-01380 GHM (S.D. Tex.)
1017	Plaintiff's Second Amended Infringement Chart For U.S. Patent No. 6,243,315 From Case No. 4:14-cv-01380 GHM (S.D. Tex.)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.