

Paper No. ____

Filed on behalf of: Enfora, Inc., Novatel Wireless Solutions, Inc., and Novatel Wireless, Inc.

By: Christopher W. Kennerly (chriskennerly@paulhastings.com)
Naveen Modi (naveenmodi@paulhastings.com)
Timothy P. Cremen (timothycremen@paulhastings.com)
Paul Hastings LLP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENFORA, INC., NOVATEL WIRELESS SOLUTIONS, INC., and NOVATEL
WIRELESS, INC.
Petitioners

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

DECLARATION OF RANDALL A. SNYDER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	QUALIFICATIONS	5
III.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS AND LEGAL BASES THEREFORE	7
	A. Legal Basis for Obviousness	7
	B. My Opinion – A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	9
	C. My Opinion – Claims 1-7, 10-14, 18, and 21-30 of the ’717 Patent Are Not Patentable Over The Prior Art.....	11
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE ’717 PATENT	12
	A. Background / Admitted Prior Art.....	12
	B. Object of the Purported Invention.....	13
	C. Description of Embodiments.....	13
V.	PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ’717 PATENT.....	15
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	16
	A. “A Programmable Interface”	18
	B. “Coded Number”	19
	C. “Unique Identifier”	21
	D. “Transmission”	21
	E. The Number and Content of “Transmissions” Falling Within the Claim Scope	23
VII.	CLAIMS 1-7, 10-14, 18, AND 21-30 OF THE ’ 717 PATENT ARE NOT PATENTABLE OVER THE PRIOR ART	27
	A. WO 99/49680 (Whitley).....	27
	B. U.S. Patent No. 6,900,737 (Ardalan)	30

C. WO 95/05609 (Eldredge)32

D. WO 00/17021 (Van Bergen)33

E. Reasons to Combine the References34

F. A Combination of Whitley and Ardalan Render Obvious Claims 1-7,
10-14, 18, 22, 24-3037

G. A Combination of Whitley, Ardalan and Eldredge Render Obvious
Challenged Claim 2163

H. A Combination of Whitley, Ardalan, and Van Bergen Render Obvious
Challenged Claim 2364

VIII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS65

IX. CONCLUSION.....65

I, Randall A. Snyder, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Enfora, Inc., Novatel Wireless Solutions, Inc., and Novatel Wireless, Inc. as an independent expert consultant to provide expert testimony in support of Petitioner's Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (the "Petition") of Claims 1-7, 10-14, 18, and 21-30 ("Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717 ("the '717 Patent").

2. I have reviewed and am familiar with the '717 Patent and its file history, which have been provided to me as Exhibits 1001 and 1003. I understand these to be exhibits to the Petition.

3. I have also been provided, reviewed, and am familiar with Exhibits 1002 and 1004-1033, which I understand to be the remaining Exhibits to the Petition.

4. I have been asked to consider, among other things, whether certain references make obvious Claims 1-7, 10-14, 18, and 21-30 of the '717 Patent. My opinions as to these issues are set forth below.

5. I am being compensated at my normal consulting rate for the time I spend on this matter. No part of my compensation is dependent on the outcome of this proceeding or any other proceeding involving the '717 Patent. I have no other interest in this proceeding.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

6. I have over 30 years of experience in mobile telecommunications network and system architecture, engineering, design and technology.

7. I have a Bachelor's Degree in Mathematics from Franklin and Marshall College.

8. I have taught many classes and seminars on telecommunication network technologies and have been a panelist and speaker at numerous conferences at the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), the Personal Communication Society (PCS), and the Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association (CTIA) as an expert in telecommunication networks.

9. I spent seven years developing standards within the American National Standards Institute's subsidiary organization, the Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA), providing technical contributions and authoring and editing telecommunications proposed standards documents.

10. I am the co-author of the McGraw-Hill books "Mobile Telecommunications Networking with IS-41," and "Wireless Telecommunications Networking with ANSI-41, 2nd edition" published in 1997 and 2001, respectively. These books have sold several thousand copies and were required reading for mobile engineers at AT&T Wireless and Motorola for several years.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.