

Filed on behalf of: Enfora, Inc., Novatel Wireless Solutions, Inc., and Novatel Wireless, Inc.

By: Christopher W. Kennerly (chriskennerly@paulhastings.com)
Naveen Modi (naveenmodi@paulhastings.com)
Timothy P. Cremen (timothycremen@paulhastings.com)
Paul Hastings LLP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENFORA, INC., NOVATEL WIRELESS SOLUTIONS, INC., and NOVATEL
WIRELESS, INC.
Petitioners

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,648,717**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
A.	Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
1.	Related Matters Involving the '717 Patent	1
2.	Related Matters Involving the '717 Patent's Ancestors	2
3.	Related Petitions for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	2
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15 AND 42.103	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	3
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
B.	Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	3
V.	BACKGROUND	4
A.	The '717 Patent	4
1.	Background / Admitted Prior Art	4
2.	Object of the Purported Invention	5
3.	Description of Embodiments	6
B.	Prosecution History of the '717 Patent and Related Patents.....	7
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
A.	Filings Informative to the BRI of the Challenged Claims	9

1.	Prior Claim Construction Rulings Regarding the ‘717 Patent’s Ancestors.....	9
2.	Proposed Constructions and Infringement Contentions in the Related Actions Involving the ‘717 Patent.....	9
B.	Claim Terms To Be Construed.....	10
1.	“A Programmable Interface”	10
2.	“Coded Number”	11
3.	“Unique Identifier”	12
4.	“Transmission”.....	12
5.	The Number and Content of “Transmissions” Falling Within the Claim Scope	13
VII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF UNPATENTABILITY	16
A.	U.S. Patent No. 5,771,455 (Kennedy).....	16
B.	Applicant’s Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).....	22
C.	U.S. Patent No. 6,072,396 (Gaukel).....	23
D.	WO 00/17021 (Van Bergen)	25
E.	Reasons to Combine the References	26
F.	Ground 1 - A Combination of Kennedy and AAPA Render Obvious Challenged Claims 1-7, 10-18, 24-30.....	28
1.	Claim 1	28
2.	Claim 2.....	42
3.	Claim 3.....	43
4.	Claim 4.....	43
5.	Claims 5 and 12.....	44
6.	Claims 6, 7, 11, 13, and 14	45

..

7.	Claim 10.....	47
8.	Claim 15.....	48
9.	Claims 16 and 17.....	48
10.	Claim 18.....	49
11.	Claim 24.....	50
12.	Claim 25.....	51
13.	Claim 26.....	52
14.	Claim 27.....	52
15.	Claim 28.....	53
16.	Claim 29.....	53
17.	Claim 30.....	56
G.	Ground 2 - A Combination of Kennedy, AAPA, and Gaukel Render Obvious Challenged Claims 19-20.....	56
H.	Ground 3 - A Combination of Kennedy, AAPA, and Van Bergen Renders Obvious Challenged Claim 23.....	57
VIII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS.....	58
IX.	STATEMENT REGARDING OTHER PETITION.....	58
X.	CONCLUSION.....	59
	APPENDIX A.....	60

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp.</i> , 732 F.3d 1325, 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	26
<i>In re Rambus Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 42, 48 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	9
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	9
<i>In re Yamamoto</i> , 740 F.2d 1569, 1571 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	8
<i>KSR Int'l, Inc. v. Teleflex Co.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	26
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314, 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	9
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1312, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	9

Other Authorities

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,764	9
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.