UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-01666

Patent 7,434,973

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,434,973



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.		NDATORY NOTICES	
II.		MENT OF FEES	
III.		NDING	
IV.	RE(QUEST FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-5 O	
	'973	PATENT	
	A.	Technology Background	4
	В.	The Alleged Invention Of The '973 Patent	
V.	CLA	AIM CONSTRUCTION	
	A.	Standards For Claim Construction	
	В.	"deformities" (claims 1, 3, 4)	
VI.	PRI	ORITY DATE	
	A.	The Board's Adoption of a February 9, 2007 Effective Filing	
	В.	Patent Owner Is Not Entitled To A Priority Date Earlie	er Than
		November 28, 2007	
	C.	Alternatively, The Earliest Priority Date To Which The Paten	
		Is Entitled Is February 23, 1999	
VII.		MARY OF PRIOR ART TO THE '973 PATENT FORMIN	
	BAS	SIS FOR THIS PETITION	
	A.	Admitted Prior Art	
	В.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0012946 ("the	
		Publication") (Ex. 1040)	
	C.	U.S. Patent No. 6,473,554 ("Pelka") (Ex. 1009)	
	D.	U.S. Patent No. 6,167,182 ("Shinohara") (Ex. 1010)	
VIII.	GRO	OUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM	17
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-5 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 103(a)
		As Being Obvious Over The Parker Publication In View Of P	elka17
		1. The Parker Publication	17
		2. Pelka	23
		3. Motivation to Combine the Parker Publication with 1	Pelka24
	B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-5 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §	102(b)
		As Being Anticipated By Shinohara	39
IY	CON	NCLUSION	10



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
Cont'l Can Co. v. Monsanto, Co., 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	7
Delaware Display Group LLC and Innovative Display Technologies LLC v. Lenovo Group Ltd., et al., Case No. 1:13-cv-02108	1
<i>In re Chu</i> , 66 F.3d 292 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	6
<i>In re Huston</i> , 308 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	7
In re NTP, Inc., 654 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7
In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743	7
In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	6
Lockwood v. Am. Airlines Inc., 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	7
Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	7
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102	9
35 U.S.C. § 103	9
35 U.S.C. § 112	3

Patent No. 7,434,973 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

35 U.S.C. § 120	7
35 U.S.C. § 311	1
Rules	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1, 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	3
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
M.P.E.P. § 2163(II)(A)(3)(b)	7 12

PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST

Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 7,434,973	1001
Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,434,973	1002
Complaints filed in Related District Court Cases	1003
Declaration of Michael J. Escuti, Ph.D. ("Escuti Decl.")	1004
U.S. Patent No. 5,461,547 ("Ciupke")	1005
U.S. Patent No. 5,613,751 ("the '751 Patent")	1006
U.S. Patent No. 7,195,389 (the '389 Patent')	1007
U.S. Patent No. 6,712,481 ("the '481 Patent")	1008
U.S. Patent No. 6,473,554 ("Pelka")	1009
U.S. Patent No. 6,167,182 ("Shinohara")	1010
U.S. Patent No. 5,775,791 ("Yoshikawa")	1011
EP 0 878 720 ("Funamoto")	1012
U.S. Patent No. 5,477,422 ("Hooker")	1013
U.S. Patent No. 5,057,974 ("Mizobe")	1014
U.S. Patent No. 3,241,256 ("Viret")	1015
U.S. Patent No. 5,896,119 ("Evanicky")	1016
U.S. Patent No. 5,584,556 ("Yokoyama")	1017
U.S. Patent No. 5,667,289 ("Akahane")	1018
U.S. Patent No. 5,160,195 ("Miller")	1019
J. A. Castellano, Handbook of Display Technology, Academic Press	1020
Inc., San Diego, 1992, at pp. 9-13 and Ch. 8	
U.S. Patent No. 5,598,280 ("Nishio")	1021
U.S. Patent No. 5,384,658 ("Ohtake")	1022
U.S. Patent No. 5,303,322 ("Winston")	1023
U.S. Patent No. 5,050,946 ("Hathaway")	1024
European Patent Application Publication No. EP500960 ("Ohe")	1025
U.S. Patent No. 5,921,651 ("Ishikawa")	1026
U.S. Patent No. 5,931,555 ("Akahane")	1027
U.S. Patent No. 5,828,488 ("Ouderkirk")	1028
3M product brochure 75-0500-0403-7, "Brightness Enhancement Film	1029
(BEF)." 2 pages (1993)	
U.S. Patent No. 5,919,551 ("Cobb")	1030
U.S. Patent No. 5,706,134 ("Konno")	1031
U.S. Patent No. 5,944,405 ("Takeuchi")	1032

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

