

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., and  
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE TECHNOLOGY KOREA  
CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
Case IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
Case IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, and  
TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Michael H. Jones  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Decision addresses an issue that is identical in all four cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each of the four cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner, LG Electronics, Incorporated and LG Electronics U.S.A, Incorporated (collectively, “LG”), filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Michael H. Jones in each proceeding identified above. Paper 18 (“Mot.”).<sup>2</sup> Patent Owner, Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corporation, does not oppose. For the reasons provided below, LG’s Motions are *granted*.

## II. DISCUSSION

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. The representative Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear. *See* Paper 4, 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”)).

In these proceedings, lead counsel for LG, Mr. Brian A. Tollefson, is a registered practitioner. Mot. 3; Paper 1, 4–5. LG asserts that there is good cause for us to recognize Mr. Jones *pro hac vice* in these proceedings. Mot.

---

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of expediency, we refer to the papers filed in Case IPR2015-001642.

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

2–4. LG’s assertions in this regard are supported by the Declaration of Mr. Jones. Paper 19.<sup>3</sup>

Mr. Jones declares that he is a member in good standing of the Bars of the District of Columbia and the State of Virginia, and that he is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Paper 19 ¶ 2. Mr. Jones also declares that he is familiar with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings, particularly because he represents LG in at least two related district court cases where the involved patents have been asserted. Paper 19 ¶ 10. In addition, the facts alleged in Mr. Jones’s Declaration comply with all the requirements set forth in our representative Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission. *See* Mot. 2–4; Paper 19 ¶¶ 1, 3–9.

On this record, we determine that Mr. Jones has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent LG, and that there is a need for LG to have its counsel from the related district court cases involved in these proceedings. Accordingly, LG has established that there is good cause for the *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Jones in these proceedings.

### III. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of

---

<sup>3</sup> The Declaration of Mr. Jones was filed as a paper in this case, rather than as a separate exhibit. The parties are cautioned that, going forward, such evidence should be filed as an exhibit. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(a) (“Evidence consists of affidavits, transcripts of depositions, documents, and things. All evidence must be filed in the form of an exhibit.”).

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

Mr. Michael H. Jones are GRANTED. Mr. Jones is authorized to represent LG as back-up counsel in these proceedings only;

FURTHER ORDERED that LG is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jones shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jones shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), as well as the Office's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Brian A. Tollefson  
Jason M. Shapiro  
Michael V. Battaglia  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.  
[btollefson@rothwellfigg.com](mailto:btollefson@rothwellfigg.com)  
[jshapiro@rothwellfigg.com](mailto:jshapiro@rothwellfigg.com)  
[mbattaglia@rothwellfigg.com](mailto:mbattaglia@rothwellfigg.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Joseph A. Rhoa  
Jonathan A. Roberts  
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.  
[jar@nixonvan.com](mailto:jar@nixonvan.com)  
[jr@nixonvan.com](mailto:jr@nixonvan.com)