

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SERVICENOW, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

BMC SOFTWARE, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR 2015-01601  
Patent No. 6,816,898

---

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

## Table of Contents

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES .....	3
III. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE IT FAILS SEVERAL PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS.....	3
A. The Petition Should Be Denied Because It Fails to Address At Least One Graham Factor .....	4
B. The Petition Should Also be Denied Because it Fails to Articulate a Reason or Motivation to Modify or Combine Miller.....	7
C. The Petition Should Also Be Denied With Respect to Ground 2 Because ServiceNow Has Not Established that the Kernighan Reference is Prior Art.....	9
D. The Petition Should Also Be Denied Because it Fails to Provide a Full Statement of the Relevant Law, Rules, and Precedent .....	10
IV. RESPONSE TO SERVICENOW'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS.....	10
A. Service Monitor .....	10
B. Performance Management Data .....	11
C. Business-Oriented Performance Management Data .....	12
D. Other Terms.....	12
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '898 PATENT .....	13
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE ALLEGED PRIOR ART .....	15
A. Miller .....	15
B. Kernighan .....	16
C. O'Reilly .....	16
VII. GROUND 1 SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE SERVICENOW FAILS TO SHOW SEVERAL LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS 1-7 AND 9-12 ARE OBVIOUS UNDER MILLER ALONE .....	17
A. Independent Claim 1 .....	18
B. Dependent Claim 2.....	21

C.	Dependent Claims 3-5 .....	24
D.	Independent Claim 6 .....	24
E.	Dependent Claim 7 .....	26
F.	Dependent Claims 9, 11 .....	27
G.	Dependent Claim 10.....	27
H.	Dependent Claim 12.....	28
VIII.	GROUND 2 SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE SERVICENOW FAILS TO SHOW SEVERAL LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM 8 ARE OBVIOUS UNDER MILLER IN VIEW OF KERNIGHAN AND O'REILLY.....	29
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS .....	32
X.	CONCLUSION.....	32

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Graham v. John Deer Co. of Kansas City,</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	1
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.,</i> 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	4
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2006).....	7
<b>STATUTES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	1
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	6, 7
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	3
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	3
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	1
35 U.S.C. § 324(a) .....	17
<b>OTHER AUTHORITIES</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....	34
37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b) .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.301(a).....	3

37 C.F.R. § 42.301(b) .....	10
<i>Apple, Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.,</i> IPR2015-00355 .....	2
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Tech., LLC,</i> IPR2014-00454 .....	4
<i>Denso Corp. v. Beacon Navigation,</i> IPR2013-00027 .....	8
<i>Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC v. Autoalert, Inc.,</i> IPR2013-00223 .....	8
<i>Google, Inc. v. Art+Com Innovation,</i> IPR2015-00788 .....	10
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.,</i> CBM2012-00003 .....	5
<i>Tissue Transplant Tech. v. Mimedx Grp.,</i> IPR2015-00320 .....	4
<i>Wireless Seismic, Inc. v. Fairfield Indus., Inc.,</i> IPR-2014-01205 .....	2

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.