



### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. MLF-600-14 3325 08/15/2001 09/930,780 Carrel W. Ewing EXAMINER 7590 10/22/2004 Robert C. Ryan PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B Nath and Associates PLLC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1030 15th Street N. W. 6th Floor 2154 Washington, DC 20005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Raritan v. Server Technology

**SERVER TECH EXHIBIT 2002** 

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

IPR page 1



		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	- W	
			NY		1	
	Office Action Summary	09/930,780	V	EWING ET AL.		
Onice Action Guilling		Examiner	0.0	Art Unit		
		Ashok B. Pa	771	2154		
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ess	
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO ins of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this commi- riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event unication. b) days, a reply within the statuto tutory period will apply and will e will, by statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the stion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on 15 August 2001.				
		b) This action is nor	n-final.			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ C	aim(s) 10-32 is/are pending in the	application.				
	) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		ideration.			
	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
C. C	laim(s) 10-32 is/are rejected.					
	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
	laim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election req	uirement.			
Application	Papers					
9) Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.		
	oplicant may not request that any object					
R	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required	if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
11) Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.	
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:			100		
1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been	received.			
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.	☐ Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority documen	ts have been receive	d in this National Sta	age	
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		-	
* See	the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certifie	d copies not received	d.		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice o	References Cited (PTO-892)		) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)		
3) M Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Prion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fo(s)/Mail Date 2/10/04,8/15/01.	TO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te, atent Application (PTO-15	52)	
S. Patent and Trade						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041013 IPR page 2



Application/Control Number: 09/930,780

Art Unit: 2154

#### DETAILED ACTION

 Application Number 09/930, 780 was filed on 08/30/2000. Claims 10-32 are subject to examination.

## Priority

2. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The instant application's incorporation of "a user display disposed on vertical strip enclosure whereby a user may observe information relative to the amount of current flowing through the power input and plurality of power outputs as shown in Fig.1, element 104 " which Examiner was unable to locate in the applications 09/735, 471 and 08/685, 436. And as such, the priority date was considered as being 12/08/2000.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly
indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is



Page 2

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/930,780

Art Unit: 2154

suggested: REMOTELY CONTROLLED RACK MOUNT ELECTRICAL POWER
DISTRIBUTION PLUGSTRIP

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 10-23, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
   Unpatentable over Schreiber (US 5, 424, 903) in view of Lovrenich (US 5, 619, 722)
   Referring to claim 10,

The reference Schreiber teaches an electrical power distribution on plugstrip of the type for providing power to one or more electrical loads in a vertical electrical equipment rack (Fig.1, element 16, Fig.2), the electrical power distribution plugstrip comprising in combination:

- A. a vertical strip enclosure having a long length and relatively thin width (Fig.1, element 16);
- B. a power input penetrating said vertical strip enclosure (Fig.1, element 30);
- a plurality of power outputs disposed along said long length of the strip enclosure, each among the plurality of power outputs being connectable to a corresponding one of said one or more electrical loads (Fig.1, elements 32a-32f);
- a plurality of power control relays disposed in said vertical strip enclosure, each among aid plurality of power control relays being connected to independently control



Art Unit: 2154

power from said power input to one or more corresponding power outputs among said plurality of power outputs (Fig.2, elements 46a-46e). The reference fails to teach a user display disposed on said vertical strip enclosure in information-determining communication with at least one among said power input and said plurality of power outputs, whereby a user may observe information relative to the amount of current flowing through at least one among the power input and said plurality of power outputs. The reference Lovrenich teaches "a computer interface that is capable of providing an unlimited number of addressable multiplexed output ports to interface with remote peripheral devices, wherein the remote peripheral devices need not have inherent addressing circuitry, and wherein the computer interface can be inexpensively manufactured." In col. 3, lines 8-14. The reference also teaches a digital Ammeter which can be interfaced for communication over the network in Fig.1, element 24, col. 6, lines 29-59. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to enhance the functionality of the power strip of Schreiber by adding the interface and the interface-able ammeter of Lovrenich such that "The computer 32 can then signal the voltmeter 22 (ammeter 24) to perform functions such as transmitting its present measurement (whereby a user may observe information relative to the amount of current flowing through at least one among the power input and said plurality of power outputs, in person or over the network), changing the scale of measurement, clearing its memories, or initiating a calibration routine. The extent of the functions which can be performed depend on the capabilities of the device. Supposing that the computer inquires the present voltage measurement from the



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

