IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RARITAN AMERICAS, INC. D/B/A RARITAN COMPUTER, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01596

U.S. Patent No. 7,702,771
Title: Electrical Power Distribution Device Having A Current Display
Filed: October 11, 2006
Issued: April 20, 2010

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND OF THE '771 PATENT 4

III. THE BOARD SHOULD REJECT THE PETITION BECAUSE IT DOES NOT SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING BY FAILING TO ANALYZE THE PRIORITY DATE OF THE CLAIMS AND QUALIFY THE REFERENCES AS "PRIOR ART" 7

A. Raritan's Petition Fails to Allege A Credible Priority Date for the '771 Patent Claims 8

B. Raritan's Petition Fails to Qualify the Cited References as Prior Art 12

IV. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY THE PETITION IN ITS ENTIRETY BECAUSE EACH OF THE GROUNDS ARE DUPLICATIVE AND THE FACTS JUSTIFY EXERCISING DISCRETION UNDER § 325(d) 22

V. THE BOARD SHOULD REJECT THE INSTANT PETITION BECAUSE RARITAN FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CLAIMS CHALLENGED ARE UNPATENTABLE 35

A. Petitioner's Anticipation Rejection in Ground 3 and Proposed Obviousness Rejection in Ground 1 Is Based on Improper Interpretation of Claim Terms 35

B. Petitioner Does Not Provide A Proper Basis For Combining Lee With The MSVM Literature in Ground 2 36

C. The Petition Does Not Provide A Proper Basis For Combining McNally With Liu 41

D. The Petition Does Not Provide A Proper Basis For Combining Ewing '974 With Wiebe and Lee 42

E. The Petition Does Not Provide A Proper Basis For Combining the Baytech Literature with Lee 44

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 46

A. Electrical Power Distribution Device 46

B. Current-related Information Display Does Not Encompass A Single Led 47

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. To construe an LED as a "current-related information display" is inconsistent with the plain meaning of the term "display" 47
2. To construe an LED as a "current-related information display" is inconsistent with the specification of the '771 Patent 48
C. Intelligent power section/module 50
VII. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY AT LEAST SOME—IF NOT ALL—OF THE PETITION GROUNDS BECAUSE EACH IS HORIZONTALLY REDUNDANT AND MERELY CUMULATIVE 51
VIII. OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS 58
IX. CONCLUSION 59

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Actavis, Inc. v. Research Corp. Techs., Inc.,
IPR2014-01126, Paper 22 (Jan. 9, 2015) 21

Amkor Tech., Inc. v. Tessera, Inc.,
IPR2013-00242, Paper 37 (Oct. 11, 2013) 52, 53, 55, 57

Artsana USA, Inc. v. Kolcraft Enterprises, Inc.,
IPR2014-01053, Paper 14 (Dec. 19, 2014) 16, 19

Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Millenium Biologix, LLC,
IPR2013-00583, Paper 9 (Mar. 21, 2014) 12, 13

BLD Services LLC v. LMK Technologies LLC,
IPR2015-00721, Paper 9 (Aug. 24, 2015) 25

Cisco Sys., Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC,
IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (Aug. 29, 2014) 14, 15, 16

Coalition for Affordable Drugs (ADROCA) LLC v. Acorda Therapeutics, Inc.,
IPR2015-00720, Paper 15 (Aug. 24, 2015) 13

Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. The Procter & Gamble Co.,
IPR2013-00510 Paper 9 (Feb. 12, 2014) 14, 26, 33

Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. The Procter & Gamble Company,
IPR2014-00628, Paper 21 (Oct. 20, 2014) 23

CoreLogic, Inc. v. Boundary Solutions, Inc.,
IPR2015-00219, Paper 6 (May 21, 2015) 23, 24, 30

Dell et al. v. Selene Commc'n,
IPR2014-01411, Paper 23 (Feb. 26, 2015) 18

Eiselstein v. Frank,
52 F.3d 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1995) 11

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Globus Medical Inc. v. Depuy Synthes Prods. LLC,
IPR2015-00099, Paper 15 (May 1, 2015) 18

Illumina, Inc. v. Trustees of Columbia Univ.,
IPR2012-00006, Paper 43 (May 10, 2013) 54

In re Cronyn,
890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)..... 13, 18, 20

In re Hall,
781 F.2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986)..... 13

In re Klopfenstein,
380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 13

Integrated Global Concepts, Inc. v. Advanced Messaging Technologies, Inc.,
IPR2014-01027, Paper 16 (Dec. 22, 2014) 24, 33

Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc., v. Illumina Cambridge Limited,
IPR2013-00324, Paper 19 (Nov. 21, 2013)..... 26

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... 37, 38, 43, 45

L-3 Communication Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC,
IPR2014-00832, Paper 9 (Nov. 14, 2014)..... 13

Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.,
CBM2012-00003, Paper 8 (Oct. 25, 2012) ..... passim

Medtronic, Inc. v. Nuvasive, Inc.,
IPR2014-00487, Paper 8 (Sep. 11, 2014)..... 25

Medtronic, Inc. V. Robert Bosch Healthcare Systems, Inc.,
IPR2014-00436, Paper 17 (June 19, 2014) 25, 33

Merial Ltd. v. Virbac,
IPR2014-01279, Paper 13 (Jan. 22, 2015) 24, 27

Nexans, Inc. v. Belden Techs. Inc.,
IPR2013-00057, Paper 11 (Apr. 16, 2013) 52

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.