### SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT

### **SJ-N.I.REPLY EXHIBIT 4**





```
13226
                                                                        1
 1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
 2
 3
                        INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION
 4
      IN RE: METHOD OF PROCESSING MASTER CASE NO.
 5
      ETHANOL BYPRODUCTS AND 1:10-ml-2181-LJM-DML
 6
 7
      RELATED SUBSYSTEMS ('858)
      PATENT LITIGATION
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
                          VIDEO DEPOSITION OF
18
                         DAVID ROCKSTRAW, PH.D.
19
20
21
23
24
25
     Date: June 12, 2013
                               Reporter: Lisa Peterson
```

151 restricting the witness solely to the letter and 1 excluding all other communications, correct? 2 BY MR. RYE: 3 Yes. 4 5 I guess the term inherently requires separation of corn oil. It suggests the 6 7 separation of corn oil. It indicates that is what the purpose of the unit is for. 8 But is any method or way of 9 10 separating the corn oil inherently required in this 11 letter? 12 Α. If you are asking if the method is expressly stated, I don't see it in the letter 13 itself. I'm not sure what you mean by inherently 14 required. 15 16 Is there anything inherent -- Is there a method -- There's more than one way to 17 18 extract corn oil, correct, back at the time of this letter, is that correct? 19 20 That's correct. 21 Q. If there isn't any specific method 22 for separating the corn oil stated as in the letter, 23 there isn't -- since there were a number of potential 24 different ways of extracting corn oil, no particular 25 method was inherently required, isn't that correct?



		152
1	A. I think the one word required is	
2	what's hanging me up.	
3	Q. There's no method that is inherent	
4	to extract corn oil through this letter?	
5	A. When the letter is taken by itself,	
6	it's not apparent there's a method that is described.	
7	Q. Or required?	
8	A. Some method is required because you	
9	are achieving a separation.	
10	Q. It's just some method though,	
11	correct?	
12	A. Some method is required, yes.	
13	Q. You have read the court's claim	
14	construction order in connection with this matter,	
15	haven't you?	
16	A. I have.	
17	Q. You understand that the court	
18	construed the meaning of the phrase substantially	
19	free of oil to mean mostly or largely free of oil?	
20	A. That is what I read in the claim	
21	construction, yes.	
22	Q. You understand that a process	
23	recovering 51 percent of the oil meets that claim	
24	construction?	
25	A. That is what I understand, yes.	



- 1		
		153
1	Q. Because 51 percent is mostly?	
2	MR. TEMPESTA: Objection. You can	-
3	answer.	
4	A. I understand what the claim	
5	construction was. Whether I agree with it or not is	
6	a different subject, I guess.	
7	BY MR. RYE:	
8	Q. Paragraph 92 of your report on page	
9	40 you reference a letter from June 29 of 2003. Do	
LO	you see that?	
۱1	A. I do.	
12	Q. I'm going to show you a letter	
L3	Bates numbered AGRI 473 to 474 dated July 29, 2003	
L <b>4</b>	from David Cantrell to Gerald Winter and Jay Sommers.	
L5	Is this the letter you were referencing in paragraph	
L6	92?	
L7	A. Yes.	
L8	Q. For the record, this has previously	
L9	been marked Exhibit 212. It starts out, "We are very	
20	excited about potential to remove oil from your waste	
21	syrup."	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A. I do.	
24	Q. The second paragraph beginning with	
25	the second sentence it says, "With this a decanter	- 7

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

