UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE _____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., Petitioner

v.

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01585 Patent No. 5,917,405

CORRECTED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*



This Corrected Petition for *Inter Partes* Review is filed in response to the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition dated August 5, 2015 (Paper No. 3). which required Petitioner to add information from Exhibit 1018 to the Statement of Related Matters section at page 1 of the Petition. ("The statement of related matters at page 1 of the Petition must be corrected to include the information provided in the first bullet point of page 1 of Exhibit 1018 and the fifth and sixth bullet points of page 3 of Exhibit 1018."). Petitioner has added this requested information. Also, the format of the claim construction section (Part VIII.A) has been edited to move FIG. 1 to the end of the section. This Corrected Petition contains no substantive changes, and no other changes have been made.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | Intro | oduction1 | | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| II. | Formalities | | | | | |
| | Α. | Real Party in Interest | | | | |
| | В. | Related Matters | | | | |
| | C. | Fee | 2 | | | |
| | D. | Designation of Lead Counsel and Back-up Counsel | 2 | | | |
| | Е. | Service Information | 2 | | | |
| | F. | Power of Attorney | 2 | | | |
| | G. | Standing | 3 | | | |
| III. | State | tatement of Relief Requested | | | | |
| IV. | Summary of the Prior Art | | | | | |
| | Α. | Background of Relevant Technology | 4 | | | |
| | В. | Summary of Frossard | 4 | | | |
| | C. | Summary of Pagliaroli | 5 | | | |
| | D. | Statement of Non-redundancy | 5 | | | |
| V. | Moti | otivations to Combine | | | | |
| VI. | Sumi | mmary of the '405 Patent7 | | | | |
| VII. | Factual Background | | | | | |
| | Α. | Declaration Evidence | 7 | | | |
| | В. | Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art of the '405 patent | 8 | | | |
| VIII. | Claim Construction | | | | | |
| | Α. | Claim 2: "monitoring device" | 9 | | | |




| | В. | Claim 3: "positioning device" | | | | |
|------|--|---|----------|----|--|--|
| | C. | Claim 11: "voice synthesizing device" | | | | |
| IX. | Full Statement of the Reasons for the Relief Requested | | | | | |
| | Α. | Clain | 16 | | | |
| | | 1. | Claim 1 | 16 | | |
| | | 2. | Claim 16 | 21 | | |
| | В. | Clain of Pa | 27 | | | |
| | | 1. | Claim 2 | 27 | | |
| | | 2. | Claim 17 | 31 | | |
| | C. | Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Frossard in view of Simms | | | | |
| | D. | Claim 11 is rendered obvious by Frossard in view of Shimizu | | | | |
| | Е. | Claims 1, 2, 16, and 17 are anticipated by Pagliaroli | | | | |
| | | 1. | Claim 1 | 39 | | |
| | | 2. | Claim 16 | 46 | | |
| | | 3. | Claim 2 | 52 | | |
| | | 4. | Claim 17 | 54 | | |
| X. | Claim | laim 3 is rendered obvious by Pagliaroli in view of Simms55 | | | | |
| XI. | Claim | Claim 11 is rendered obvious by Pagliaroli in view of Shimizu57 | | | | |
| XII. | Conc | Conclusion | | | | |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| Innolux Corp. v. Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd., IPR2013-00064 (April 30, 2013) | 8 |
|---|--------|
| KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007) | 6, 7 |
| Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Space Systems/Loral, Inc., 324 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2003) | 8 |
| Philips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 75 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2005) | 8 |
| Regents of Univ. of Minn. V. AGA Medical Corp., 717 F.3d 929 (Fed. Cir. 2013) | 14 |
| TRW Automotive US LLC v. Magna Electronics Inc., Case IPR 2014-00261 (June 16, 2014) | 4 |
| Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC, 2015 WL 3687459 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015) | passim |
| Statutes | |
| 35 U.S.C § 112(f) | 9 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) | passim |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112 | 10, 11 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112(f) | 12, 14 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 311 | 3 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(1) | 2 |
| 35 U.S.C. §102(a) | 3 |
| Other Authorities | |
| MPEP § 2143(C) | passim |
| MPEP § 2181 | 9 |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

