### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., Petitioner

v.

# JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC Patent Owner

Patent No. 5,917,405

Filing Date: July 18, 1996 Issue Date: June 29, 1999

Title: CONTROL APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR VEHICLES

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.* 



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I.    | Introduction       |  |   |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|--|--|
| II.   | Formalities        |  |   |  |  |
|       | A.                 | Real Party in Interest                                 |   |  |  |
|       | B.                 | Related Matters  | 1 |  |  |
|       | C.                 | Fee  | 1 |  |  |
|       | D.                 | Designation of Lead Counsel and Back-up Counsel        | 2 |  |  |
|       | E.                 | Service Information                                    | 2 |  |  |
|       | F.                 | Power of Attorney                                      | 2 |  |  |
|       | G.                 | Standing   | 2 |  |  |
| III.  | State              | tatement of Relief Requested                           |   |  |  |
| IV.   | Sumr               | Summary of the Prior Art                               |   |  |  |
|       | A.                 | Background of Relevant Technology                      | 4 |  |  |
|       | B.                 | Summary of Frossard                                    | 4 |  |  |
|       | C.                 | Summary of Pagliaroli                                  | 5 |  |  |
|       | D.                 | Statement of Non-redundancy                            | 5 |  |  |
| V.    | Motiv              | ivations to Combine                                    |   |  |  |
| VI.   | Sumr               | ummary of the '405 Patent6                             |   |  |  |
| VII.  | Factual Background |  |   |  |  |
|       | A.                 | Declaration Evidence                                   | 7 |  |  |
|       | B.                 | Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art of the '405 patent | 7 |  |  |
| VIII. | Claim Construction |  |   |  |  |
|       | A.                 | Claim 2: "monitoring device"                           | 8 |  |  |



|      | B.  | . Claim 3: "positioning device"11                                      |   |    |  |  |  |
|------|---|--|---|----|--|--|--|
|      | C.  | Claim 11: "voice synthesizing device"                                  |   |    |  |  |  |
| IX.  | Full Statement of the Reasons for the Relief Requested          |  |   |    |  |  |  |
|      | A.  | Clair  | ms 1 and 16 are anticipated by Frossard | 16 |  |  |  |
|      |   | 1.   | Claim 1                                 | 16 |  |  |  |
|      |   | 2.   | Claim 16                                | 21 |  |  |  |
|      | B.  | Claims 2 and 17 are rendered obvious by Frossard in view of Pagliaroli |   |    |  |  |  |
|      |   | 1.   | Claim 2                                 | 27 |  |  |  |
|      |   | 2.   | Claim 17                                | 31 |  |  |  |
|      | C.  | Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Frossard in view of Simms               |   |    |  |  |  |
|      | D.  | Claim 11 is rendered obvious by Frossard in view of Shimizu.           |   |    |  |  |  |
|      | E.  | Claims 1, 2, 16, and 17 are anticipated by Pagliaroli                  |   |    |  |  |  |
|      |   | 1.   | Claim 1                                 | 39 |  |  |  |
|      |   | 2.   | Claim 16                                | 46 |  |  |  |
|      |   | 3.   | Claim 2                                 | 52 |  |  |  |
|      |   | 4.   | Claim 17                                | 54 |  |  |  |
| X.   | Clair   | Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Pagliaroli in view of Simms55           |   |    |  |  |  |
| XI.  | Claim 11 is rendered obvious by Pagliaroli in view of Shimizu57 |  |   |    |  |  |  |
| XII. | Conclusion60  |  |   |    |  |  |  |



# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

| Innolux Corp. v. Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd., IPR2013-00064 (April 30, 2013)    | 8         |
|---|-----------|
| KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,<br>550 U.S. 398 (2007)                                  | 6         |
| Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Space Systems/Loral, Inc., 324 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2003)      | 8         |
| Philips v. AWH Corp.,<br>415 F.3d 1303, 75 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2005)             | 8         |
| Regents of Univ. of Minn. V. AGA Medical Corp., 717 F.3d 929 (Fed. Cir. 2013)           | 13        |
| TRW Automotive US LLC v. Magna Electronics Inc.,<br>Case IPR 2014-00261 (June 16, 2014) | 3         |
| Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC,<br>2015 WL 3687459 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015)          | passim    |
| Statutes  |           |
| 35 U.S.C § 112(f)   |           |
| 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)  | passim    |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112   | 9, 10, 11 |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112(f)  | 11, 14    |
| 35 U.S.C. § 311   | 2         |
| 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(1)   | 2         |
| 35 U.S.C. §102(a)   | 3         |
| Other Authorities   |           |
| MPEP § 2143(C)  | passim    |
| MPEP § 2181   | 8         |



| MPEP § 2258 I.G        | 8 |
|------------------------|---|
| Regulations            |   |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)   | 2 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.15      | 1 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) | 1 |



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

