

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01585
Patent 6,542,405 B1

Before STACEY G. WHITE, JASON J. CHUNG, and BETH Z. SHAW,
Administrative patent Judges.

WHITE, *Administrative patent Judge.*

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION

35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

I. INTRODUCTION

Nissan North America, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a Corrected Petition (Paper 6, “Pet.”) requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 11, 16, and 17 of U.S. patent No. 5,917,405 (Ex. 1001, “the ‘405 patent”) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319. Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC, (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response. (Paper 10, “Prelim. Resp.”). Based on our review of these submissions, we instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 11, 16, and 17 of the ‘405 patent on the proposed grounds of unpatentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103. Paper 11 (“Dec.”). Specifically, we authorized this *inter partes* review to proceed as to the following grounds:

Reference(s)	Basis	Claim(s) Instituted
Frossard ¹	§ 102	1 and 16
Frossard and Pagliaroli ²	§ 103	2 and 17
Frossard and Simms ³	§ 103	3
Frossard and Shimizu ⁴	§ 103	11
Pagliaroli	§ 102	1, 2, 16, and 17
Pagliaroli and Simms	§ 103	3
Pagliaroli and Shimizu	§ 103	11

Id. at 25.

Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 22, “PO Resp.”), and Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 26, “Reply”). An oral hearing

¹ EP 0505266 A1 (Ex. 1004); English translation (Ex. 1005) (“Frossard”).

² U.S. patent No. 5,276,728 (Ex. 1006) (“Pagliaroli”).

³ U.S. patent No. 5,334,974 (Ex. 1007) (“Simms”).

⁴ U.S. Patent No. 4,373,116 (Ex. 1008) (“Shimizu”).

was conducted on October 20, 2016. A transcript of the oral hearing is included in the record. Paper 31 (“Tr.”).

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. For the reasons discussed below, Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1–3, 11, 16, and 17 of the ‘405 patent are unpatentable.

A. Related Proceedings

The parties inform us that the ‘405 patent is at issue in approximately one dozen lawsuits pending in courts around the country. Paper 21, Pet. 1, Ex. 1020. In addition, *ex parte* reexamination No. 90/013,300 was filed with respect to the ‘405 patent and has been stayed in light of this proceeding. Paper 25. The ‘405 patent also is the subject of a co-pending petition for *inter partes* review (IPR2015-01613).

B. The ‘405 patent

The ‘405 patent describes a remote-controlled control, monitoring, and/or security apparatus and method for vehicles. Ex. 1001, 1:18–22. The apparatus described in the ‘405 patent allows an owner, occupant, or other authorized individual to control or to perform various monitoring and security tasks in regards to a vehicle from a remote location and at any time. *Id.* at 2:64–3:3.

An embodiment of the apparatus of the ‘405 patent includes a transmitter system which is “a remote system, which may or may not be physically connected to the remainder of the apparatus. Further, the transmitter system is not located in the [vehicle] . . . , but rather, is located external from, and/or separate and apart from, the vehicle.” *Id.* at 3:29–35. The apparatus also includes a CPU that is connected electrically and/or

linked to one or more vehicle equipment systems (e.g., vehicle ignition or anti-theft systems). *Id.* at 4:12–17; 4:41–62. The vehicle equipment systems may be activated, de-activated, reset, or controlled by the apparatus. *Id.* at 4:63–67. This activation or control may be achieved by a user entering a code on the transceiver of the transmitter system. *Id.* at 6:9–15. The code is transmitted to the CPU and then the CPU communicates with the appropriate vehicle equipment system. *Id.* at 6:64–7:2.

C. Illustrative Claim

As noted above, we instituted *inter partes* review as to claims 1, 2, 3, 11, 16, and 17 of the '405 patent, of which claims 1 and 16 are independent. Claim 1 is illustrative of the instituted claims and is reproduced below:

1. A control apparatus for a vehicle, which comprises:

a first control device, wherein said first control device one of generates and transmits a first signal for one of activating, deactivating, enabling, and disabling, one of a vehicle component, a vehicle device, a vehicle system, and a vehicle subsystem, wherein said first control device is located at the vehicle;

wherein said first control device is responsive to a second signal, wherein the second signal is one of generated by and transmitted from a second control device, wherein the second control device is located at a location which is remote from the vehicle, and further wherein the second control device is responsive to a third signal, wherein the third signal is one of generated by and transmitted from a third control device, wherein the third control device is located at a location which is remote from the vehicle and remote from the second control device.

II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

As acknowledged by the parties, the '405 patent has expired. *See* Pet. 8; PO Resp. 5. We construe expired patent claims according to the principles set forth in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc). *See In re Rambus*, 694 F.3d 42, 46 (Fed. Cir. 2012). “In determining the meaning of the disputed claim limitation, we look principally to the intrinsic evidence of record, examining the claim language itself, the written description, and the prosecution history, if in evidence.” *DePuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.*, 469 F.3d 1005, 1014 (Fed. Cir. 2006) (citing *Phillips*, 415 F.3d at 1312–17). A patentee may act as a lexicographer by giving a term a particular meaning in the specification with “reasonable clarity, deliberateness, and precision.” *In re Paulsen*, 30 F.3d 1475, 1480 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

A. *Terms Preliminarily Construed in the Decision to Institute*

For purposes of the Decision to Institute, we construed the terms “monitoring device,” “positioning device,” “voice synthesizing device,” and “control device.” Dec. 5–14. Neither party raised any concerns regarding the construction of “control device,” “monitoring device,” and “voice synthesizing device.” *See* PO Resp. 8, 12–13; Reply 1. Based on our review of the full record, we discern no reason to modify or further discuss in this Final Written Decision our construction for these claim terms. For convenience, the claim construction is reproduced in the table below.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.