

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
Petitioner

v.

BANDSPEED, INC.
Patent Owner

IPR2015-01581
U.S. Patent 7,477,624

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER
UNDER 35 U.S.C. 315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Bandspeed, Inc. v. Broadcom Corporation</i> , 1:14-cv-00433	3
<i>Bandspeed, Inc. v. Marvell Semiconductor, Inc.</i> , 1:14-cv-00434	3
<i>Bandspeed, Inc. v. MediaTek, Inc.</i> , 1:14-cv-00435	3
<i>Bandspeed, Inc. v. Qualcomm Incorporated et al</i> , 1:14-cv-00436	3
<i>Bandspeed, Inc. v. STMicroelectronics NV et al</i> , 1:14-cv-00437	3
<i>Bandspeed, Inc. v. Texas Instruments Incorporated</i> , 1:14-cv-00438	3
Rules and Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 315(a)(11).....	7
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	4, 5
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	1, 4
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 2.196.....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c).....	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(a).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	1, 4, 5
157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011).....	5
PTAB Proceedings	
<i>Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00385	4

Enzymotec Ltd. v. Neptune Techs & Bioresources, Inc.,
IPR2014-005565

Nintendo of America Inc., v. Babbage Holdings LLC
IPR 2015-005682

I. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

Petitioner Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm”) respectfully submits this Motion for Joinder together with a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,624 (“Petition”). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), Qualcomm requests *inter partes* review and joinder with *Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. v. Bandspeed, Inc.*, Case IPR2015-00316 (the “’316 IPR”), in which trial was instituted on Grounds 1, 2, 3 (as modified to exclude the listing of a challenge of claims 11 and 23 pursuant to the institution decision), and 4, of the ’316 IPR petition on June 11, 2015. Qualcomm’s petition is in all material respects the same as the petition in the ’316 IPR. No new arguments, no new patent claims and no new grounds of unpatentability are added by Qualcomm’s Petition. Qualcomm’s Motion for Joinder and accompanying Petition are being filed within one month of the decision instituting trial in the ’316 IPR, and are therefore timely.

Qualcomm is filing this petition and joinder motion to ensure that the instituted trial is completed in the event that the current petitioners in the ’316 IPR reach settlement with the Patent Owner. Joinder is appropriate here because Qualcomm’s Petition is the same as the ’316 IPR petition on which the Board instituted trial. Additionally, joinder will not adversely impact the trial schedule in the ’316 IPR, as Qualcomm’s legal theories and analysis are identical to those in the ’316 IPR and Qualcomm relies on the same expert declaration relied on by the existing

Petitioners in the '316 IPR. Consequently, no additional expert discovery will be required if joinder is allowed, simplifying discovery. Furthermore, Qualcomm will adhere to all applicable deadlines set forth by the June 11, 2015 Scheduling Order currently in place in the '316 IPR. Qualcomm will coordinate with counsel for the '316 IPR petitioners regarding the consolidation of all filings and will not submit any separate filings unless Qualcomm's position differs from the position of the '316 IPR petitioners, in which case Qualcomm would limit any additional filing to seven (7) pages or less.¹ See *Nintendo of America Inc., v. Babbage Holdings LLC* IPR 2015-00568 (allowing joinder in when this provision was requested). This procedure and continued cooperation of counsel will greatly simplify briefing if the Board permits joinder. Moreover, Qualcomm would be prejudiced if the Board denies the motion for joinder because of the possibility that all petitioners will reach a settlement and successfully move to terminate the proceedings prior to the issuance of a final determination.

Any additional costs incurred by the existing parties to the '316 IPR will be minor and do not outweigh the prejudice to Qualcomm that would result from a denial of joinder. Accordingly, joinder is appropriate and will not prejudice any

¹ Qualcomm will continue on this basis unless and until the '316 IPR is terminated as to all other petitioners. Qualcomm would further forego the contingent request for additional briefing if needed in order to allow for joinder.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.