

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SERVICENOW, INC.
Petitioner

v.

BMC SOFTWARE, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01555
Patent No. 8,646,093
Filed December 9, 2009
Issued February 4, 2014

Title: Method and system for configuration management database software
license compliance

Filed electronically via the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) on
October 19, 2015

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Table of Contents

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,646,093	2
III. RESPONSE TO SERVICENOW’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS	4
A. Claims of the ’093 Patent.....	5
B. Disputed Constructions.....	7
1. “license certificate”	7
2. “model” and “modeling”	8
3. “exception indication”	8
IV. THE PETITION FAILS TO MEET SERVICENOW’S BURDEN TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON ITS INVALIDITY GROUNDS.....	10
A. Legal Standards.....	10
B. Overview of the Alleged Prior Art.....	13
1. U.S. Patent No. 6,810,389 (“Meyer”) (Ex. 1003).....	13
2. Best Practice for Software Asset Management (2003) (“Best Practice”) (Ex. 1004)	14
3. Effective IT Service Management, To ITIL and Beyond! (2007) (“Addy”) (Ex. 1005).....	15
4. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0071276 A1 to Joachim Bruchlos et al. (“Bruchlos”) (Ex. 1006)	15
C. Ground 1: Claims 1, 5, 10, and 16 Are Not Obvious Over Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy, Which are Combined Using Impermissible Hindsight.....	16
D. Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy Fails to Suggest “generating a license certificate corresponding to the software license contract”	19
E. Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy Fails to Suggest “evaluating the deployment of the software product”	20

F.	Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy Fails to Suggest “evaluating the deployment of the software product for compliance with the software license contract”	21
G.	Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy Fails to Suggest “comparing the first configuration item with the license certificate”	21
H.	Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy Fails to Suggest “connecting the license certificate with the second configuration item responsive to comparing the first configuration item with the license certificate”	23
I.	Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy fails to suggest the limitations of Claim 16.	24
J.	Meyer in View of Best Practice and Addy fails to suggest the limitations of dependent claims 5, and 10-13.	25
K.	Secondary Considerations.....	25
V.	CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>BMC Software, Inc. v. ServiceNow, Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-903 (E.D. Tex.).....	26
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	11
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	11
<i>In re Dow Chem. Co.</i> , 837 F.2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	11
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	12
<i>In re Wright</i> , 866 F.2d 422 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	11
<i>Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.</i> , 774 F.2d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	11
<i>Star Scientific, Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	11
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	10
35 U.S.C. § 313.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	1
35 U.S.C. § 324.....	1
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	1

77 Fed. Reg. at 48,756	1
77 Fed. Reg. at 48,757	1
<i>Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC v. Autoalert, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00223	12
<i>Heart Failure Tech. v. Cardiokinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183	12
<i>Intri-Plex Techs. v. St. Gobain</i> , IPR2014-00309	11
<i>Sony Corp. of Am. v. Network-1 Sec. Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00092	12
<i>Travelocity.com L.P., et al. v. Cronos Techs., LLC</i> , CBM2014-00082	2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.