Case 3:14-cv-04274-MLC-DEA Document 1 Filed 07/07/14 Page 1 of 25 PageID: 1

Charles M. Lizza William C. Baton SAUL EWING LLP One Riverfront Plaza, Suite 1520 Newark, NJ 07102-5426 (973) 286-6700

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Helsinn Healthcare S.A. and Roche Palo Alto LLC Of Counsel:

Joseph M. O'Malley, Jr. Bruce M. Wexler Eric W. Dittmann David M. Conca Gary Ji Angela C. Ni PAUL HASTINGS LLP 75 East 55th Street New York, NY 10022 (212) 318-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Helsinn Healthcare S.A.

Mark E. Waddell LOEB & LOEB LLP 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154 (212) 407-4127

Attorneys for Plaintiff Roche Palo Alto LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. and ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,

RM

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

(Filed Electronically)

Plaintiffs Helsinn Healthcare S.A. and Roche Palo Alto LLC (collectively,

"Plaintiffs"), for their Complaint against Defendants Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd. and Dr.

Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. (collectively, "Reddy" or "Defendar

Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al. v. Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 3:14-cv-04274-MLC-DEA Document 1 Filed 07/07/14 Page 1 of 25 PageID: 1

Charles M. Lizza William C. Baton SAUL EWING LLP One Riverfront Plaza, Suite 1520 Newark, NJ 07102-5426 (973) 286-6700

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Helsinn Healthcare S.A. and Roche Palo Alto LLC Of Counsel:

Joseph M. O'Malley, Jr. Bruce M. Wexler Eric W. Dittmann David M. Conca Gary Ji Angela C. Ni PAUL HASTINGS LLP 75 East 55th Street New York, NY 10022 (212) 318-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Helsinn Healthcare S.A.

Mark E. Waddell LOEB & LOEB LLP 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154 (212) 407-4127

Attorneys for Plaintiff Roche Palo Alto LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. and ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,

RM

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

(Filed Electronically)

Plaintiffs Helsinn Healthcare S.A. and Roche Palo Alto LLC (collectively,

"Plaintiffs"), for their Complaint against Defendants Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd. and Dr.

Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. (collectively, "Reddy" or "Defendants"), hereby allege as follows:

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Helsinn Healthcare S.A. is a Swiss corporation having its principal place of business at Via Pian Scairolo, 9, CH-6912 Lugano-Pazzallo, Switzerland.

2. Plaintiff Roche Palo Alto LLC is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at One DNA Way, South San Francisco, California 94080-4990.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Reddy Ltd. is an Indian corporation having a place of business at 7-1-27, Ameerpet, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India. Upon information and belief, Reddy Ltd., itself and through its wholly owned subsidiary and agent Defendant Reddy Inc., a New Jersey corporation, manufactures generic drugs for sale and use throughout the United States, including in this judicial district. Reddy Ltd. has previously consented to personal jurisdiction in this Court, including in the related actions *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al.*, Civil Action No. 11-3962 (MLC)(DEA), *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al., et al., civil* Action No. 11-5579 (MLC)(DEA), and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., civil Action No. 11-3962, and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., et al., et al., civil Action No. 11-3962, and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., civil Action No. 11-3962, and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., civil Action No. 11-3962, and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., civil Action No. 11-3962, and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., civil Action No. 11-3962, and *Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's* Laboratories, Ltd., et al., Civil Action No. 12-2867 (MLC)(DEA).

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Reddy Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, having a place of business at 200 Somerset Corporate Boulevard, Floor 7, Bridgewater, New Jersey 08807, and is a wholly owned subsidiary and agent of Defendant Reddy Ltd. Upon information and belief, Reddy Inc. is registered to do business in New Jersey and does business in this judicial district. Reddy Inc. has previously consented to personal jurisdiction in this Court, including in the related actions Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al., Civil Action No. 11-3962 (MLC)(DEA), Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al., Civil Action No. 11-5579 (MLC)(DEA), and Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al., Civil Action No. 13-5815 (MLC)(DEA), the latter two of which were consolidated with Civil Action No. 11-3962, and Helsinn Healthcare S.A., et al. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd., et al., Civil Action No. 12-2867 (MLC)(DEA).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is a civil action concerning the infringement of United States Patent
No. 8,729,094 ("the '094 patent"). This action arises under the patent laws of the United States,
35 U.S.C. §§ 100 *et seq.*, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02.

7. This Court may declare the rights and other legal relations of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 because this case is an actual controversy within the Court's jurisdiction.

Venue is proper in this Court as to each Defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
\$\$ 1391(b), (c), and/or (d) and 1400(b).

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants by virtue of the fact that, *inter alia*, each Defendant has committed, aided, abetted, contributed to, and/or participated in the commission of a tortious act of patent infringement that has led to foreseeable harm and injury to Plaintiffs. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants for the additional reasons set forth below and for other reasons that will be presented to the Court if such jurisdiction is challenged.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Reddy Ltd.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Reddy Inc.

THE PATENT

On May 20, 2014 the '094 patent, titled "Liquid Pharmaceutical
Formulations of Palonosetron," was duly and legally issued to Plaintiffs as assignees. A copy of
the '094 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

13. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1), the '094 patent has been listed in the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") publication titled Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (also known as the "Orange Book") as covering Helsinn's Aloxi[®] brand palonosetron hydrochloride intravenous solutions.

ACTS GIVING RISE TO THIS ACTION

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF THE '094 PATENT BY REDDY'S ANDA

14. Plaintiffs reallege paragraphs 1-13 as if fully set forth herein.

15. Upon information and belief, Reddy submitted ANDA No. 201533 to the FDA under § 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 355(j)). ANDA No. 201533 seeks the FDA approval necessary to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, and/or importation of generic palonosetron hydrochloride intravenous solutions prior to the expiration of the '094 patent. ANDA No. 201533 specifically seeks FDA approval to market a generic version of Helsinn's Aloxi[®] brand palonosetron hydrochloride intravenous solutions prior to the expiration of the '094 patent.

16. The '094 patent had not been issued at the time Reddy made its§ 505(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) certification regarding Plaintiffs' other Orange Book-listed patents.

The '094 patent shares the same expiration date as Plaintiffs' other Orange
Book-listed patents. By seeking FDA approval of its ANDA No. 201533 prior to expiration of

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.