

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.  
Petitioner

v.

ELBRUS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED  
Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2015-01524  
U.S. Patent No. 6,366,130

---

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM R. HUBER, D.Sc., P.E. IN SUPPORT OF  
PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**

*Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"*  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

IPR2015-01524

**Table of Contents**

I.	Executive Summary_____	1
II.	Introduction_____	3
III.	Qualifications_____	5
IV.	Obviousness Law_____	8
V.	Level of Skill in the Art_____	10
VI.	The 6,366,130 Patent_____	10
VII.	Disputed Claims of the ‘130 Patent_____	13
VIII.	Claim Construction_____	15
IX.	Alleged Prior Art_____	17
X.	Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decision to Institute_____	19
XI.	Discussion of ‘130 Claims and Alleged Prior Art_____	20
A.	Independent Claim 1_____	22
1.	What is K?_____	24
2.	The Sukegawa ‘241 Reference_____	25
3.	Nodes and Buses_____	26
4.	Precharging of Nodes “C”_____	31
5.	The Lu JSSC Reference_____	37
B.	Dependent Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 9_____	41
C.	Dependent Claim 3_____	41
1.	The Watanabe ‘254 Reference_____	42
D.	Dependent Claim 5_____	47
E.	Dependent Claim 7_____	51
1.	The Hardee ‘469 Reference_____	51
XII.	Oath_____	57

Appendix A

**List of Figures**

Figure A—Annotated FIG’s. 1 and 2 of the ‘130 Patent_____	21
Figure B—Annotated FIG. 2 of the ‘130 Patent_____	23
Figure C—Annotated FIG. 1 of the ‘241 Patent_____	25
Figure D—Portion of Sukegawa FIG. 1 with Dr. Baker’s Changes for Vdd/2 Precharging of Nodes N3 and N4_____	34
Figure E—Portion of Sukegawa FIG. 1 with Attempt at Vdd/2 Precharging_____	35
Figure F—Annotated Fig. 4 of the Lu JSSC paper_____	38
Figure G—Portion of Sukegawa FIG. 1 with Added Precharge Device per Lu_____	40
Figure H—Annotated Portion of FIG. 2 of ‘130 Patent and FIG. 7 of Watanabe ‘254_____	42
Figure I—Annotated Portion of FIG. 2 of ‘130 Patent Showing Sources and Drains Required by Claim 3_____	44
Figure J—Annotated Portion of FIG. 2 of ‘130 Patent With PMOS Input Pass Transistors Added_____	45
Figure K—Annotated Portion of FIG. 2 of ‘130 Patent With Only PMOS Input Pass Transistors of Watanabe ‘254_____	46
Figure L—Logic Low Voltage and Logic High Voltage_____	50
Figure M—FIG. 1 of Podlesny ‘130; Portion of FIG. 1 of Sukegawa ‘241; and FIG. 5 of Hardee ‘469_____	52

## **I. Executive Summary**

1. This Declaration is in support of patent owners in *inter partes* review before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of U.S. Patent 6,366,130 to Podlesny et al.

2. The Board has decided to institute review with respect to the following grounds:

A. Whether independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2, 5, 6, and 9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over the combination of U. S. Patent 5,828,241 to Sukegawa and Lu JSSC;

B. Whether dependent claim 3 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over the combination of Sukegawa, Lu, and U. S. Patent 6,108,254 to Watanabe; and

C. Whether dependent claim 7 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over the combination of Sukegawa, Lu, and U. S. Patent 6,249,469 to Hardee;

3. Evidence detailed in this Declaration demonstrates that

A. Regarding Issue A above:

1) The combination of Sukegawa and Lu fails to disclose or suggest the differential data bus required by claim 1.

- 2) The combination of Sukegawa and Lu fails to disclose or suggest precharging the nodes identified as the differential data bus to a voltage  $V_{pr}$  between  $V_{dd}$  and ground, as required by claim 1.
- 3) Modifications to Sukegawa and Lu necessary to provide precharging of the nodes identified as the differential data bus to the required voltage would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention of the '130 patent.
- 4) The combination of Sukegawa and Lu fails to disclose or suggest the following elements required by claim 5: “logic high voltage” and “logic low voltage.”

B. Regarding Issue B above:

- 1) The combination of Sukegawa, Lu and Watanabe fails to disclose or suggest the following requirement stated in claim 3: “...wherein the drains of the input pass transistors are coupled to the drains of the cross-coupled latch amplifier NMOS and PMOS transistors, each source terminal of the input pass transistors is coupled to an input...”.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.