UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC., Petitioner

v.

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC Patent Owner

> Case IPR2015-01508 Patent No. 6,542,076

## PETITIONER NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper No. 11), Petitioner Nissan North America, Inc. respectfully submits this Request for Oral Argument. As set forth in the Scheduling Order, the Board has scheduled oral argument for October 20, 2016. Paper No. 11, at 5.

Petitioner specifies the following issues to be argued:

• The unpatentability of claims 3, 20, 73, 103, and 205 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of Exhibit 1004, E.P. 0505266 to Frossard et al. ("Frossard");

• The unpatentability of claim 65 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Frossard and Exhibit 1006, U.S. 5,276,728 to Pagliaroli et al. ("Pagliaroli");

• The unpatentability of claim 93 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Frossard and Exhibit 1008, U.S. 5,081,667 to Drori et al. ("Drori");

• The unpatentability of claim 104 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Frossard and Exhibit 1009, U.S. 6,236,365 to LeBlanc et al. ("LeBlanc");

• The unpatentability of claim 108 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Frossard and Exhibit 1007, U.S. 5,334,974 to Simms et al. ("Simms"); and Pagliaroli and Simms;

• The unpatentability of claims 3, 20, 65, 73, 93, and 205 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of Pagliaroli;

• The unpatentability of claim 103 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Pagliaroli and Frossard;

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

• The unpatentability of claim 104 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Pagliaroli and LeBlanc;

• The unpatentability of claim 108 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Pagliaroli and Simms;

• Any other issues identified by Patent Owner for oral argument or any other issues raised in papers yet to be filed; and

• Any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Petitioner requests permission to use audio/visual equipment at the oral argument, including a projector and screen for displaying evidence or demonstrative exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/David C. Holloway</u>/ David C. Holloway Registration No. 58,011 Lead Counsel for Petitioner Nissan North America, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

## The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of this PETITIONER

## NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

has been served electronically via e-mail to PG\_JCMS@hgdlawfirm.com (as

consented to by the Patent Owner) on September 8, 2016, and upon the following:

Raymond A. Joao Registration No. 35,907 122 Bellevue Place Yonkers, NY 10703 Email: <u>rayjoao@optonline.net</u> Tel.: (914) 969-2992

Lead Counsel for Patent Owner Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC

DOCKF

RM

René A. Vazquez Registration No. 38,647 **HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC** 18296 St. Georges Ct. Leesburg, VA 20176 Email: <u>rvazquez@hgdlawfirm.com</u> <u>rvazquez@sinergialaw.com</u> Tel.: (571) 206-1375

Back-Up Counsel for Patent Owner Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC

Steven W. Ritcheson Admitted Pro Hac Vice INSIGHT A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 9800D Topanga Canyon Blvd. #347 Chatsworth, CA 91311 Email: swritcheson@insightplc.com

Tel.: (818) 882-1030

Back-Up Counsel for Patent Owner Joao Control & Monitoring Systems, LLC Dated: September 8, 2016

/David C. Holloway /

David C. Holloway Registration No. 58,011 Lead Counsel for Petitioner Nissan North America, Inc.