UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC., and LG ELECTRONICS, INC., Petitioners,

V.

PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCHITECTURE LLC, Patent Owner

Case IPR No.: IPR2015-01500, -1501, -01502 U.S. Patent Nos. 7,321,368, 7,777,753, & 7,542,045

REPLY DECLARATION OF HAROLD S. STONE, PH.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		1
II.	SHARED MEMORY V. DEDICATED MEMORY		
III.	BOWES AND VIDEO DECODING		3
IV.	DSP'S FOR COMPRESSION AND DECOMPRESSION		6
V.	DEDICATED DSP MEMORY		
VI.	BLOCK READ AND BLOCK WRITE TO SHARED MEMORY		
VII.	ARBI	TER GRANTS BUS ACCESS AND GRANTS ACCESS TO	
	SHARED MEMORY AT THE SAME TIME		33
	A.	The Bowes DSP does not have to write to main memory when	
		it is granted access to the bus.	33
	B.	The DSP cannot monopolize the bus when it is granted access	
		to the bus.	38
	C.	Arbiter Access to a Bus to Control Access to Memory	47
VIII.	COMPATIBILITY OF BOWES AND MPEG		49
	A.	Bowes' disclosure of a video decoder	49
	B.	Advantages of a Shared Memory.	50
	C.	Bowes' arbitration scheme is compatible with the MPEG	
		standard.	51
	D.	Bowes' arbitration scheme can decode images in real time, even	
		with the presence of a watchdog timer.	54
	E.	It Would Have Been Obvious To Combine Bowes With MPEG	56
IX.	IDLE	STATE ('753 PATENT)	58



I, Harold S. Stone, Ph.D., declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I am the Harold S. Stone who has previously submitted declarations in these three proceedings (Exs. 1030 in each proceeding). The terms of my engagement, my background, qualifications and prior testimony, and the legal standards and claim constructions I am applying are set forth in my previous declarations. I offer this declaration in reply to the testimony of Prof. Thornton provided in each proceeding (Exs. 2009). Because Prof. Thornton's testimony and the issues it raises are substantially identical between proceedings, I intend to reply to his testimony in each proceeding in parallel. In forming my opinion, I have considered the materials noted in my previous declarations in these proceedings, as well as the following additional materials:
 - Ex. 1033 U.S. Patent No. 5,682,484 ("Lambrecht '484")
 - Ex. 1034 U.S. Patent No. 5,375,068 ("Palmer")
 - Ex. 1035 U.S. Patent No. 5,557,538 ("Retter")
 - Ex. 1036 K. Konstantinides and V. Bhaskaran, "Recent Developments in the Design ofImage and Video Processing ICs," Chapter 2 - VLSI Signal Processing Technology, Kluwer Academic Press, 1994
 - Ex. 1037 Deposition Transcript of Dr. Mitchell A. Thornton, Ph.D. (June 17, 2016)



- Ex. 1038 Information technology Generic Coding of Moving Pictures and Associated Audio Information: Systems, ISO/IEC 13818-1:1996 (1996) ("MPEG-2 Standard")
- Ex. 1039 Srinath V. Ramaswamy and Gerald D. Miller, "Efficient Implementation of the Two Dimensional Discrete Cosine Transform for Image Coding applications on the DSP96002 Processor," Proc. of the Midwest Conf. on Circuits and Systems, (IEEE 1993)

II. SHARED MEMORY V. DEDICATED MEMORY

- 2. Prof. Thornton writes:
- 29. Typically, a decoder requires its own dedicated memory. For instance, traditional MPEG decoders require a 2 Mbyte dedicated memory which is utilized during the decoding process. This dedicated memory is necessary to allow the decoder to decode images in real-time without dropping frames which would result in a deterioration of the video quality at the receiver. This prior art implementation is shown, for example, in Figure 1c of the `368 Patent.

[IPR2015-01500, Ex. 2009 at ¶29; see also IPR2015-01501, Ex. 2009 at ¶29; IPR2015-01502, Ex. 2009 at ¶29].

3. There is no support for this opinion. On the contrary, the Petitioners have cited to various prior art references that disclose MPEG decoders based on shared memory and which do not require dedicated memory. [See, e.g., S. Rathnam et al., "An Architectural Overview of the Programmable Multimedia Processor, TM-1," IEEE Proceedings of COMPCON '96, pp. 319-326 (1996)



("Rathnam") (Ex. 1005); U.S. Patent No. 5,774,676, Figs 3 & 4 ("Stearns") (Ex, 1007); U.S. Patent No. 5,797,028 ("Gulick 028") (Ex. 1023); U.S. Patent No. 5,432,900 ("Rhodes") (Ex. 1028); see also U.S. Patent No. 5,682,484 ("Lambrecht '484") (Ex. 1032).]

III. BOWES AND VIDEO DECODING

4. Prof. Thornton also writes:

The word "video" is only mentioned four times in Bowes. [Bowes, 1:34; 1:37; 1:41; 6:16]. The first three times the term "video" is used in conjunction with a description of related art and the fourth time, the term "video" is used in reference to a NuBus peripheral bus video controller and not in reference to a processing application. The words "decode" or "decoding" never appear in Bowes.

44. Instead, Bowes specifically teaches that the DSP in the preferred embodiment is suitable for audio processing, image signal processing, speech processing, and modem emulation. [Bowes Pat., 1:48-49; 6:32-37]. Bowes does not state that the DSP is suitable for video compression and decompression applications such as the implementations of the MPEG Standard. A POSA would recognize that audio processing, speech processing and modem emulation are clearly distinct from video compression and decompression. The same is true with respect to "image processing."



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

