

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC.,¹
Petitioner,

v.

PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCHITECTURE LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)
Case IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)
Case IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)²

Before JAMES B. ARPIN, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, and
SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARPIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of
Justin Chen
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and LG Electronics, Inc. were terminated from this proceeding. *See, e.g.*, IPR2015-01500, Papers 28 and 43.

² This Order addresses issues pertaining to all three proceedings. Therefore, we exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)
IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)
IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)

Patent Owner, Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC, moves for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Justin Chen. IPR2015-01500, Paper 47; IPR2015-01501, Paper 46; IPR2015-01502, Paper 45. Patent Owner provides Declarations from Mr. Chen in support of its Motions. IPR2015-01500, Ex. 2014; IPR2015-01501, Ex. 2014; IPR2015-01502, Ex. 2014. Patent Owner also states that it has conferred with Petitioner, HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc., regarding Mr. Chen's admission *pro hac vice* and that Petitioner does not oppose Patent Owner's Motions. IPR2015-01500, Paper 47, 2; IPR2015-01501, Paper 46, 2; IPR2015-01502, Paper 45, 2.

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations from Mr. Chen, we conclude that Mr. Chen has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these cases, that Mr. Chen has demonstrated the necessary familiarity with the subject matter of these cases, and that there is a need for Patent Owner to have counsel with experience as a litigation attorney in patent matters involved in these cases. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for Mr. Chen's *pro hac vice* admission. Mr. Chen will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in these cases as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Justin Chen are *granted*, and Mr. Chen is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these cases;

IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)

IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)

IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Chen shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42, of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Chen is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

For PETITIONER:

Joseph A. Micallef
Stephen M. Everett
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
jmicallef@sidley.com
stephen.everett@sidley.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Masood Anjom
Scott Clark
Gregory J. Gonsalves
AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI & MENSING P.C.
manjom@azalaw.com
sclark@azalaw.com
gonsalves@gonsalveslawfirm.com