

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HTC CORPORATION,  
HTC AMERICA, INC.,  
LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCHITECTURE LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before JAMES B. ARPIN, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, and  
SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARPIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are not authorized to use a multiple proceeding caption. They must file individual papers separately in each proceeding to which they pertain.

IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)

IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)

IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)

As noted in the Scheduling Order for the above-captioned proceedings, if no Motions to Amend are filed in these proceedings, Due Date 3 is moot, and the panel may advance Due Dates 4–7 *sua sponte*. Because no Motions to Amend were filed in these proceedings, we advance Due Dates 4–7, as indicated below.

The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 4 and 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be filed promptly. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7, and, if either party anticipates the need to alter Due Date 7, the parties shall seek jointly to schedule a conference call with the panel *immediately* upon the identification any conflict or potential conflict with Due Date 7.

In stipulating to different dates, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on due dates to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony.

IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)  
IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)  
IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)

## REVISED DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 2 ..... July 18, 2016<sup>2</sup>

Petitioner's reply to Patent Owner's response to the Petition

DUE DATE 4 ..... August 15, 2016

Motion for observation regarding cross-examination of reply witness

Motion to exclude evidence

Request for oral argument<sup>3</sup>

DUE DATE 5 ..... August 29, 2016

Response to observation

Opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 6 ..... September 6, 2016

Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 7 ..... September 19, 2016

Oral argument (if requested)

---

<sup>2</sup> Due Date 2 changed by stipulation. *See, e.g.*, IPR2015-01501, Paper 30.

<sup>3</sup> The parties may not stipulate to a change in Due Date 4 with respect to requesting oral argument. Any request for oral argument in these proceedings must be filed by August 15, 2016.

IPR2015-01500 (Patent 7,321,368 B2)

IPR2015-01501 (Patent 7,777,753 B2)

IPR2015-01502 (Patent 7,542,045 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Allan M. Soobert

Naveen Modi

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

[Samsung-PUMA-IPR@paulhastings.com](mailto:Samsung-PUMA-IPR@paulhastings.com)

Rajeev Gupta

Darren M. Jiron

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

[LGE\\_Finnegan\\_PUMAIPR@finnegan.com](mailto:LGE_Finnegan_PUMAIPR@finnegan.com)

Joseph A. Micallef

Stephen M. Everett

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

[jmicallef@sidley.com](mailto:jmicallef@sidley.com)

[stephen.everett@sidley.com](mailto:stephen.everett@sidley.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Alisa Lipski

Masood Anjom

AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI & MENSING P.C.

[alipski@azalaw.com](mailto:alipski@azalaw.com)

[manjom@azalaw.com](mailto:manjom@azalaw.com)