

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC Corporation,
HTC America, Inc.,
LG Electronics, Inc.,
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
Petitioners

v.

Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,777,753
Case IPR No.: *To Be Assigned*

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,777,753
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-19 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION - 1 -

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b) - 2 -

 A. Real Parties-in-Interest - 2 -

 B. Related Matters..... - 2 -

 C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel..... - 4 -

 D. Service Information - 5 -

III. PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) - 5 -

IV. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) - 5 -

V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES UNDER 37 C.F.R.
§§ 42.22 AND 42.104(b)..... - 5 -

 A. Statutory Grounds of Challenge..... - 5 -

 B. The Proposed Grounds are Not Redundant..... - 7 -

VI. THE '753 PATENT..... - 8 -

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION - 8 -

 A. Claim Terms To Be Construed..... - 9 -

 1. “decoder” - 9 -

 B. Expiration of the '753 Patent - 10 -

VIII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART - 11 -

IX. SPECIFIC GROUNDS OF CHALLENGE..... - 12 -

 A. Ground A: *Gulick 983* in view of *MPEG Standard* and
Shanley, renders obvious, under 35 U.S.C. § 103, claims
1-4 and 7-10..... - 12 -

 1. *Gulick 983* in view of *MPEG Standard* and
Shanley, renders claim 1 obvious..... - 12 -

 2. *Gulick 983* in view of *MPEG Standard* and
Shanley, renders claim 2 obvious..... - 23 -

 3. *Gulick 983* in view of *MPEG Standard* and
Shanley, renders claim 3 obvious..... - 25 -

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,777,753

4.	<i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 4 obvious.....	- 26 -
5.	<i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 7 obvious.....	- 28 -
6.	<i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 8 obvious.....	- 32 -
7.	<i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 9 obvious.....	- 34 -
8.	<i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 10 obvious	- 35 -
B.	Ground B: <i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Shanley</i> , and <i>Gove</i> , renders obvious, under 35 U.S.C. § 103, claim 12.	- 36 -
1.	<i>Gulick 983</i> in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Shanley</i> , and <i>Gove</i> , renders claim 12 obvious	- 36 -
C.	Ground C: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , renders obvious claims 1 and 2.	- 38 -
1.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , renders claim 1 obvious	- 38 -
2.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , renders claim 2 obvious	- 46 -
D.	Ground D: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Stearns</i> , renders obvious claim 3.	- 47 -
1.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Stearns</i> , renders claim 3 obvious	- 47 -
E.	Ground E: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders obvious claim 4.	- 49 -
1.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 4 obvious	- 49 -
F.	Ground F: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Whai</i> , renders obvious claims 7-8.	- 50 -
1.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Whai</i> , renders claim 7 obvious	- 50 -

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Pat. No. 7,777,753

2.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> and <i>Whai</i> , renders claim 8 obvious	- 54 -
G.	Ground G: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Whai</i> , and <i>Shanley</i> , renders obvious claims 9 and 10.....	- 55 -
1.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Whai</i> , and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 9 obvious.....	- 55 -
2.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Whai</i> , and <i>Shanley</i> , renders claim 10 obvious	- 56 -
H.	Ground H: <i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Whai</i> , and <i>Gove</i> , renders obvious claim 12.	- 57 -
1.	<i>Bowes</i> , in view of <i>MPEG Standard</i> , <i>Whai</i> , and <i>Gove</i> , renders claim 12 obvious.....	- 57 -
X.	CONCLUSION.....	- 58 -

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Cases	Page(s)
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	8, 10
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-00621-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. HTC Corp. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00690-RSP (E.D. Tex.)	3
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Huawei Techs. Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00687-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. LG Elecs., Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00691-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Motorola Mobility, Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00689-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Qualcomm Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00930-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00902-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-00225-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).....	3
<i>In re Rambus, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	10
<i>STMicroelectronics, Inc. v. Motorola Inc. et al.</i> , No. 4:03-cv-00276-LED (E.D. Tex.).....	3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.