

Paper No. _____
Filed: May 24, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC Corporation,
HTC America, Inc.,
LG Electronics, Inc.,
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01501
Patent No. 7,777,753

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING

I. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and the Board’s authorization of the filing of this joint motion in its email to the parties on May 20, 2016, Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, “Samsung”) and Patent Owner Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC (“PUMA”) jointly request that this *inter partes* review proceeding involving U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753 (“the ’753 Patent”) be terminated with respect to Samsung based on a settlement between Samsung and Patent Owner (“the Parties”).

II. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE MOTION

Generally, the Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement. *See, e.g.*, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The Board authorized the filing of the instant motion on May 20, 2016. IPR2013-00428, Paper No. 56 provides guidance as to the content of a motion to terminate. There, the Board indicates that a joint motion, such as this one, should (a) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (b) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patent at issue; (c) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office, and (d) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding. *Id.* at 2. This motion satisfies each of the above requirements and is accompanied by a copy of

the Parties' settlement agreement, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

a. Brief Explanation of Why Termination is Appropriate

With respect to Samsung, termination is appropriate because a final written decision has not been reached in this proceeding and Samsung will no longer be participating in this proceeding. The Parties have settled their disputes and executed a settlement agreement to terminate this proceeding, as well as the Parties' related district court litigation regarding the '753 Patent: *Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.*, Case Number 2:14-cv-00902 (E.D. Tex.). The district court litigation was dismissed with prejudice on May 20, 2016.

b. All Parties in Any Pending Related Litigation Involving the Patents at Issue

Samsung and PUMA are the only Parties to the related district court litigation, Case Number 2:14-cv-00902. The '753 patent is also at issue in other pending litigation. In *Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. HTC Corp. et al.*, Case Number 2:14-cv-00690 (E.D. Tex.), the parties include PUMA, HTC America, Inc., HTC Corp., LG Elecs. USA, Inc., and LG Elecs., Inc. In *Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. LG Elecs. MobileComm, USA*, Case Number 2:15-cv-01950 (E.D. Tex.), the parties include PUMA and LG Elecs. MobileComm USA, Inc. In *Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. ZTE*

Corp. et al., Case Number 2:15-cv-00225 (E.D. Tex.), the parties include PUMA, ZTE (TX) Inc., ZTE Corp., and ZTE USA, Inc. In *Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Apple Inc.*, Case Number 2:15-cv-00621 (E.D. Tex.), the parties include PUMA and Apple Inc. No other litigation or proceeding involving the '753 patent is contemplated in the foreseeable future.

c. Related Proceedings Currently Before the Office

In addition to the instant proceeding, Samsung and PUMA are Parties to IPR2015-01500, IPR2015-01502, IPR2015-01944, and IPR2014-01946. The Parties are currently filing motions to terminate in these related proceedings. Moreover, the '753 patent is at issue in IPR2016-00670, where a motion for joinder to the instant proceeding is pending.

d. Current Status of Each Such Related Litigation or Proceeding With Respect to Each Party to the Litigation or Proceeding

Sections II.b and c above indicate the status of each related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding.

III. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the Parties' settlement agreement is in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed concurrently herewith as Exhibit 1031.¹ The Parties are also filing concurrently

¹ The settlement agreement is being filed via the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) with access to the "Parties and Board only."

herewith a joint request under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information and keep it separate from the files of the '753 Patent. There are no collateral agreements or understandings made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the inter partes review.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all of these reasons, the Parties respectfully request termination of this proceeding with respect to Samsung.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 24, 2016

By: /Allan M. Soobert/
Allan M. Soobert
Reg. No. 36,284

Counsel for Samsung

Dated: May 24, 2016

By: /Masood Anjom/
Masood Anjom
Reg. No. 62,167

Counsel for Patent Owner Parthenon
Unified Memory Architecture, LLC

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.