#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC Corporation,
HTC America, Inc.,
LG Electronics, Inc.,
Samsung Electronics, Co., Ltd., and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
PETITIONERS

V.
Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC
PATENT OWNER

Case IPR No: 2015-01501

Patent No. 7,777,753

Title: ELECTRONIC SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SELECTIVELY ALLOWING ACCESS TO A SHARED MEMORY

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 316 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.120



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction	1
II. THE `753 PATENT	.2
III. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE	3
A. Bowes and the MPEG Standard [claims 1 and 2]	3
The Combination of Bowes and the MPEG Standard Does Not Disclose     Every Element of the Challenged Claims	.3
a. The proposed combination does not disclose "providing access to the main memory for a request for access to the main memory when the arbiter circuit i in an idle state" [claim 1]	S
b. The proposed combination does not disclose "wherein the video circuit is further configured to receive data from the main memory corresponding to at least one previously decoded video image" [claim 2]	.5
c. The proposed combination does not disclose an arbiter that controls access to the main memory [claim 1]	
2. No Motivation to Combine Bowes and the MPEG Standard	2
B. Bowes in view of the MPEG Standard and Stearns [claim 3]4	1
C. Bowes in view of the MPEG Standard and Shanley [claim 4]4	1
IV. Conclusion4	2



# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

C.R Bard, Inc. v. M3 Sys., Inc., 157 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	31
<i>In re Fine</i> , 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	40, 41
In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382 (CCPA 1970)	3
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	31
Rules	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	1



## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753 ("`753 Patent") <sup>1</sup>	
1002 File History of `753 Patent		
1003	•	
1004		
1005	S. Rathnam <i>et al.</i> , "An Architectural Overview of the Programmable Multimedia Processor, TM-1," IEEE Proceedings of COMPCON '96, pp. 319-326 (1996) ("Rathnam")	
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,774,676 ("Stearns")	
1030	Expert Declaration of Dr. Harold Stone ("Stone Decl.")	
2001	DSP3210 Information Manual	
2002	Affidavit of Mitchell A. Thornton Relating to Ex. 2003	
2003	AT&T DSP3210 Digital Signal Processor The Multimedia Solution, Data Sheet, AT&T Microelectronics, March 1993 ("DSP3210 Datasheet")	
2004	Affidavit of Mitchell A. Thornton Relating to Ex. 2005	
2005	Developer Note – Macintosh Quadra 840AV and Macintosh Centris 660AV Computers ("Quadra Developer Notes")	
2006	Deposition testimony of Harold S. Stone, Phd. dated March 17, 2016 ("Stone Depo")	
2007	Excerpts from Stone, H.S., High-Performance Computer Architecture, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1993, ISBN 0-201-52688-3.	
2008	Kitson, F. and Bhaskaran, V., Interactive Video from Desktops to Settops, HPL-95-58, Hewlett-Packard white paper, June 1995	
2009	Declaration of Mitchell A. Thornton ("Thornton Decl.")	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ex. 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1007, 1030 and 2001 are already of record and not attached to this Response.



### I. <u>Introduction</u>

The patent owner Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC ("Patent Owner") hereby submits the following response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* review ("Petition") filed by HTC Corp., HTC America, Inc., LG Electronics, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioner") regarding certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753 ("'753 Patent") filed on June 24, 2015 and Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes Review* 37 C.F.R. 42.108 issued on January 6, 2016 ("Institution Decision").

The Board instituted an *Inter Partes* review with respect to the following three proposed grounds:

- 1. Alleged Ground C: Obviousness of claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Bowes and the MPEG Standard;
- 2. Alleged Ground D: Obviousness of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Bowes, the MPEG Standard and Stearns; and
- 3. Alleged Ground E: Obviousness of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Bowes, the MPEG Standard and Shanley.

For the reasons discussed below, Bowes and the MPEG Standard do not render claims 1 and 2 obvious. Claims 3 and 4 are allowable for at least the



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

