

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
14/100,717	12/09/2013	Mary Jane Helenek	30015730-0065	2813
26263 DENTONS US	7590 02/07/201 LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080			LAU, JONATHAN S	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2014	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



	Application No. 14/100,717	Applicant(s) HELENEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Jonathan S. Lau	Art Unit 1673	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9 Dec 2013</u> .						
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on						
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims*						
5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
* If any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a						
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see						
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov.						
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/3	a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Certified copies:						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certific	eu copies not receiveu.					
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SPaper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [• •				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-13) Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 20140204			



Application/Control Number: 14/100,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 1673

DETAILED ACTION

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

This application is made special as a Track I application.

This application is a domestic application, filed 9 Dec 2013; and claims benefit as a CON of 13/847,254, filed 19 Mar 2013; which claims benefit as a CON of 12/787,283, issued as Patent 8,431,549, filed 25 May 2010; which claims benefit as a CON of 11/620,986, issued as Patent 7,754,702, filed 8 Jan 2007; which claims benefit of provisional application 60/757,119, filed 6 Jan 2006.

Claims 1-20 are pending in the current application and are examined on the merits herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geisser et al. (WIPO Publication WO 2004/037865 A1, published 6



Application/Control Number: 14/100,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 1673

May 2004, cited in PTO-892, English language equivalent US Patent 7,612,109 provided, cited in PTO-892). As WO 2004/037865 A1 is not in English, US Patent 7,612,109 is provided as an English language equivalent and is cited as Geisser et al. hereafter.

Geisser et al. discloses an iron carbohydrate complex of iron and the oxidation product of maltodextrins as a medicament for treatment of iron deficiency conditions (abstract). Geisser et al. discloses the iron carbohydrate complex for treatment of iron deficiency anemia and especially useful for parenteral application (column 1, lines 15-20), meeting limitations of instant claims 4, 5 and 18. Geisser et al. discloses the complexes shall have reduced toxicity and shall avoid dangerous anaphylactic shocks which can be induced by dextran (column 1, lines 35-40), meeting limitations of instant claim 3. Geisser et al. discloses in the complexes theoretically it is assumed that the oxidation occurs mainly at the terminal aldehyde group (acetal or semiacetal group respectively) of the maltodextrin molecules (column 2, lines 25-30), implying the iron carbohydrate complex is an iron carboxymaltose complex, meeting limitations of instant claim 13. Geisser et al. discloses the complexes are prepared from an iron (III) salt and a strong base such as a potassium, calcium or magnesium hydroxide (column 3, lines 1-15), implying the iron carbohydrate complex is a polynuclear iron (III)-hydroxide carboxymaltose complex and implicitly meeting limitations of instant claim 14. Geisser et al. discloses the advantage that the LD₅₀ lies at over 2000 mg Fe/kg and it is possible to apply the medicaments of the invention parenterally in the form of a single dose of,



Application/Control Number: 14/100,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 1673

for example, 500 to 1000 mg iron; and it can be applied, for example, during the course of one hour (column 4, lines 50-65), meeting limitations of instant claim 1 and 8.

Claims 1-3, 7-12 and 18-20 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helenek et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0180849 A1, published 16 Sep 2004, cited in PTO-892).

Helenek et al. discloses a method of treating restless leg syndrome by administering to a subject an iron complex (abstract), meeting limitations of instant claim 7. Helenek et al. discloses the iron carbohydrate complexes administered include iron polyisomaltose (iron dextran), iron polymaltose (iron dextrin), iron gluconate, iron sorbital and iron hydrogenated dextran (page 3, paragraph 0021), meeting limitations of instant claim 1. Helenek et al. discloses the iron carbohydrate complexes avoid the risks of anaphylaxis associated with IDI when administered intravenously due to antibodies against the dextran moiety not being present in other iron complexes (page 3, paragraph 0017), meeting limitations of instant claims 2 and 3. Helenek et al. discloses the appropriate dosage level will generally be about 10 mg to 1000 mg of elemental iron per dose, which can be administered in single or multiple doses, for example particularly at least 600.0, 750.0, 800.0, 900.0, 1000.0, and 2000.0 milligrams of elemental iron, and furthermore up to the maximal tolerated dose (MTD) per administration (page 5, paragraph 0051), meeting limitations of instant claims 1 and 8-10. Helenek et al. discloses the embodiments of 1000 mg of elemental iron administered in an injectable



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

