REEXAM-6549130

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Reexamination of: PATENT OF RAYMOND A. JOAO

Patent No.: 6,549,130

For: CONTROL APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR VEHICLES AND/OR FOR

PREMISES

Control No.: 90/013,301

Issue Date: APRIL 15, 2003

Examiner: MINH T. NGUYEN

Group Art Unit: 3992

Confirmation No.: 1082

Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam Central Reexamination Unit Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This is a Response To Office Action in response to the Office Action, mailed January 20, 2015, in the above-referenced Ex Parte Reexamination of Claim 48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130 (the '130 Patent), wherein the Examiner rejected Claim 48 in view of prior art references.

Based on the following Remarks, the Patent Owner respectfully submits that Claim 48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130 is patentable over the prior art.



REMARKS

Claim 48 of the '130 Patent is subject to reexamination. The Examiner has rejected Claim 48 in view of prior art references. In view of the following Remarks, the Patent Owner respectfully submits that Claim 48 of the '130 Patent is patentable over the prior art.

I. THE 35 U.S.C. §102 REJECTIONS:

The Examiner has rejected Claim 48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130 (the '130 Patent) under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ramono, U.S. Patent No. 5,070,320 (Ramono) (Issue 1). The Examiner has also rejected Claim 48 of the '130 Patent under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kniffin, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,072,402 (Kniffin) (Issue 2). The Examiner has also rejected Claim 48 of the '130 Patent under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ryoichi, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,113,427 (Ryoichi) (Issue 3). Lastly, the Examiner has rejected Claim 48 of the '130 Patent under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Pagliaroli, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,276,728 (Pagliaroli) (Issue 4).

In view of the following Remarks, the Patent Owner respectfully submits that Claim 48 of the '130 Patent is patentable over the prior art.

1A. The Claim Construction Standard:

The Patent Owner respectfully notes that U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130 is expired, that the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130 are thus not subject to amendment in this reexamination proceeding and, as a result, the words of Claim 48 should be given their



ordinary and customary meaning. See MPEP §2258(I)(G). The pertinent portion of MPEP §2258(I)(G) provides:

In a reexamination proceeding involving claims of an expired patent, claim construction pursuant to the principle set forth by the court in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 1316, 75 USPQ2d 1321, 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (words of a claim "are generally given their ordinary and customary meaning" as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of the invention) should be applied since the expired claim are not subject to amendment.

IB. Background: The Invention of Claim 48 of the '130 Patent:

The invention of Claim 48 of the '130 Patent can be described as being a distributed control system for vehicles, wherein control functions for a vehicle or for a vehicle system, vehicle component, vehicle device, vehicle equipment, vehicle equipment system, or vehicle appliance, of a vehicle, can be distributed among three separate and distinct control devices, each of which can generate or transmit a separate and distinct signal in order to control a separate fourth device of or at the vehicle, which is the respective vehicle system, vehicle component, vehicle device, vehicle equipment, vehicle equipment system, or vehicle appliance.

Each of the first control device, the second control device, and the third control device can generate or transmit a separate and distinct signal, and each of the first control device, the second control device, and the third control device is not merely a relay device and is not a device which simply retransmits a signal that it receives. As and for an illustrative example, see Col. 48, lines 15-28, Figure 11A, Col. 33, line 46 to Col. 37, line 58, and Figures 6A, 6B, and 6C, steps 68, 69, 70, 73, 74, or 75, of the '130 Patent, which describe and illustrate an embodiment wherein an access code (a third signal) can be transmitted from a transmitter 2 (a third control device) to the apparatus 950 containing



computer 970 (a second control device), which is located remote from the vehicle, and wherein access and command codes (a second signal) are transmitted from the apparatus 950 to the receiver 3 of apparatus 1 and with the CPU 4 (a first control device), which is located at the vehicle, generating or transmitting a respective control signal (a first signal) for respectively activating, de-activating, disabling, and re-enabling, a respective vehicle system, vehicle component, vehicle device, vehicle equipment, vehicle equipment system, or vehicle appliance (a fourth device).

Claim 48 of the '130 Patent recites:

48. A control apparatus, comprising:

a first control device, wherein the first control device is capable of at least one of activating, de-activating, disabling, and re-enabling, one or more of a plurality of at least one of a vehicle system, a vehicle component, a vehicle device, a vehicle equipment, a vehicle equipment system, and a vehicle appliance, of a vehicle, wherein the first control device at least one of generates and transmits a first signal for at least one of activating, deactivating, disabling, and re-enabling, the at least one of a vehicle system, a vehicle component, a vehicle device, a vehicle equipment, a vehicle equipment system, and a vehicle appliance, wherein the first control device is located at the vehicle, and further wherein the first control device is responsive to a second signal, wherein the second signal is at least one of generated by and transmitted from a second control device,


wherein the second control device is located at a location which is remote from the vehicle, and wherein the second signal is transmitted from the second control device to the first control device, and further wherein the second signal is automatically received by



the first control device,

wherein the second control device is responsive to a third signal, wherein the third signal is at least one of generated by and transmitted from a third control device, wherein the third control device is located at a location which is remote from the vehicle and remote from the second control device, wherein the third signal is transmitted from the third control device to the second control device, and further wherein the third signal is automatically received by the second control device.

The control apparatus of Claim 48 can be depicted as follows:



The invention of Claim 48 of the '130 Patent provides many benefits and advantages over prior art systems. For example, the utilization of the second control device in the distributed control system provides for the ability to perform a wide range of control and monitoring functionality for, and regarding, any number, variety, types, or kinds, of motor vehicles, boats, ships, water vessels, aircraft, spacecraft, and/or various recreational vehicles, both manned and/or unmanned, and for any number, variety, types, or kinds, of systems, components, devices, equipment, equipment systems, or appliances, of these vehicles. The invention of Claim 48 of the '130 Patent also provides for a distributed control system which can allow for greater and enhanced control and monitoring functionality and which can be utilized in connection with a wide range and/or



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

