Filed on behalf of: Gold Standard Instruments, LLC

Paper _____

By: Joseph A. Hynds, Lead Counsel R. Elizabeth Brenner-Leifer, Back-up Counsel Jason M. Nolan, Back-up Counsel ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-783-6040 Facsimile: 202-783-6031 Emails: jhynds@rothwellfigg.com ebrenner@rothwellfigg.com

jnolan@rothwellfigg.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

US ENDODONTICS, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2015-01476 Patent 8,727,773 B2

DECLARATION OF STEVEN LIEBERMAN IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I, Steven Lieberman, declare as follows:

1. I have been practicing in the field of intellectual property, and particularly, patent litigation, for twenty-four years.

2. I am a member in good standing of the Bars of the State of New York and the District of Columbia. I am admitted to practice in the United States District Courts for the District of Columbia, Maryland, the Northern District of California, and the Northern, Eastern, and Southern Districts of New York. I am also admitted to practice in the Courts of Appeals for the District of Columbia, the Second Circuit, the Fourth Circuit, the Federal Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court.

I am a past President of the Giles S. Rich American Inn of Court, the
D.C. Inn devoted to the practice of intellectual property law.

4. I have been in private practice since 1985 and have been litigating patent cases since 1990, primarily as lead counsel.

5. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

6. I have never had a court or administrative body deny my application for admission to practice.

7. No sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against me by any court or administrative body.

8. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of 37 C.F.R.

9. I agree to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). I also agree to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct as set forth in Changes to Representation of Others Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office; Final Rule, 78 Fed. Reg. 20180 (Apr. 3, 2013) (effective May 3, 2013).

10. In the past three (3) years, I have been admitted *pro hac vice* in the following proceedings before the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

- International Securities Exchange, LLC v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, CBM2013-00049;
- International Securities Exchange, LLC v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, CBM2013-00050;
- International Securities Exchange, LLC v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, CBM2013-00051;

- International Securities Exchange, LLC v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, IPR2014-00097;
- International Securities Exchange, LLC v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, IPR2014-00098;
- International Securities Exchange, LLC v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, IPR2014-00099;
- Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corporation v. LG Electronics, Inc., IPR2014-00204;
- Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corporation v. LG Electronics, Inc., IPR2014-00205; and
- US Endodontics, LLC v. Gold Standard Instruments, LLC, IPR2015-00632.

11. I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I am lead counsel in the *Dentsply International, Inc. and Tulsa Dental Products LLC v. US Endodontics, LLC* patent infringement litigation (Case No. 2:14-cv-00196-JRG-DHI), which is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee and involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding.

12. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own

knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code

Case IPR2015-01476 Patent 8,727,773 B2

and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of U.S. Patent

No. 8,727,773.

Date: July 16, 2015

Δ

By:

Steven Lieberman ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 607 14th St., N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-783-6040 Facsimile: 202-783-6031 Email: slieberm@rothwellfigg.com

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.