

By: Andy H. Chan, Reg. No. 56,893
Pepper Hamilton LLP
333 Twin Dolphin Drive
Suite 400
Redwood City, CA 94065
(650) 802-3602 (telephone)
(650) 802-3650 (facsimile)
chana@pepperlaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., AND APPLE INC.,
Petitioner
v.

IXI IP, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01444
Patent 7,039,033

**IXI IP, LLC'S
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ALLEGED GROUNDS	2
III. SUMMARY OF THE '033 Patent	3
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7
A. Legal Standard.....	7
B. A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	8
C. Claim Construction.....	8
V. LEGAL STANDARDS	10
A. Petitioner Has The Burden Of Proof.....	10
B. Legal Standard For Obviousness.....	10
VI. ARGUMENTS	12
A. Dr. Kiaei's Declaration Regarding The Alleged Prior Art Is Unreliable	12
1. Bluetooth.....	12
2. Dr. Kiaei Did Not Review And Did Not Understand Bluetooth Technology When Rendering His Opinions	15
B. The Combination Of Marchand, Nurmann, and Vilander Fails To Render Obvious Claims 1, 4, 7, And 14	16
1. Overview of the Asserted Art	16
a. Marchand	16
b. Nurmann	24
c. Vilander	24
2. A Person Of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Have Combined Marchand, Nurmann and Vilander to Arrive at the Challenged Claims	25
a. Claim 1	26
(1) "wherein the software component includes a service repository software component"	26

(2)	“wherein the software component includes a network address translator software component”	37
(3)	“a first Internet Protocol (“IP”) address provided to the first wireless device from the cellular network and a second address for the second wireless device provided by the first wireless device”	39
b.	Dependent Claims 4 and 14.....	41
c.	Dependent Claim 7	42
C.	The Combination of Marchand, Nurmann, Vilander, And RFC 2543 Fails To Render Obvious Claim 5.....	43
D.	The Combination of Marchand, Nurmann, Vilander, And Larsson Fails To Render Obvious Claims 6 and 23.....	46
E.	The Combination of Marchand, Nurmann, Vilander, And JINI Spec Fails To Render Obvious Claims 12, 15, 22, 34, 39, 40, 42, And 46	48
a.	Dependent Claim 12	49
b.	Dependent Claims 15 and 22.....	52
c.	Independent Claim 34.....	52
d.	Dependent Claim 40	54
e.	Independent Claim 42.....	55
f.	Dependent Claim 46	56
F.	The Combination of Marchand, Vilander, And JINI Spec Fails To Render Obvious Claims 25 and 28	56
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	57

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. American-Maize Prods. Co.</i> , 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	11
<i>In Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183, Paper No. 12 (July 31, 2013)	11
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	11
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	7
<i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGO Comm’s, Inc.</i> , 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 8745 (Fed. Cir. May 9, 2014)	11
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	10, 11
<i>Motorola Mobility LLC, et al. v. Arendi S.A.R.L.</i> , IPR2014-00203, Paper 10 (June 5, 2014).....	11
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	7
<i>Star Scientific, Inc., v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	12
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	10
<i>Wowza Media Systems, LLC et al. v. Adobe Systems Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00054, Paper No. 12 (Apr. 13, 2013)	10
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. §316(e)	10
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.120	1

MPEP § 2141 (8th Ed., Rev. 9, August 2012)..... 10

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,766 (Aug. 14,
2012) 7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.