

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC

Patent Owner

CASE: To Be Assigned

Patent No. 7,908,343 B2

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,908,343 B2**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXHIBIT LIST	ii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)	1
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	2
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE 343 PATENT.....	4
A. SUMMARY OF THE 343 PATENT	4
B. SUMMARY OF PROSECUTION FILE HISTORY.....	8
C. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9
D. PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	9
V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE 343 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	9
A. IDENTIFICATION OF THE REFERENCES AS PRIOR ART.....	10
B. SUMMARY OF INVALIDITY POSITIONS	10
VI. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF CLAIMS 1-20 OF 343 PATENT.....	10
A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-9, 13 AND 17-19 ARE OBVIOUS OVER POTMESIL IN VIEW OF HORNBACKER.....	11
B. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 10-12, 14-16 AND 20 ARE OBVIOUS OVER POTMESIL IN VIEW OF HORNBACKER AND LINDSTROM.....	27
C. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1, 2, 4-11 and 13-19 ARE OBVIOUS OVER RUTLEDGE IN VIEW OF LIGTENBERG AND COOPER	36
D. GROUND 4: CLAIM 3 IS OBVIOUS OVER RUTLEDGE IN VIEW OF LIGTENBERG, COOPER AND HASSAN	58
E. GROUND 5: CLAIMS 12 AND 20 ARE OBVIOUS OVER RUTLEDGE IN VIEW OF LIGTENBERG, COOPER AND AUSTRENG.....	59
VII. CONCLUSION.....	60

EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,908,343 B2 to Levanon et al. (“the 343 Patent”)
- Ex. 1002 Declaration of Judea d’Arnaud, attaching the article *Maps Alive: Viewing Geospatial Information on the WWW*, Michael Potmesil, *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems* Vol. 29, issues 8-13, pp. 1327-1342 (“Potmesil”) as Exhibit A.
- Ex. 1003 PCT Publication No. WO 99/41675 to Cecil V. Hornbacker, III (“Hornbacker”)
- Ex. 1004 *An Integrated Global GIS and Visual Simulation System* by P. Lindstrom *et al.*, Tech. Rep. GIT-GVU-97-07, March 1997 (“Lindstrom”).
- Ex. 1005 U.S. Pat. No. 5,682,441 to Adrianus Ligtenberg et al (“Ligtenberg”)
- Ex. 1006 U.S. Pat. No. 6,650,998 to Charles Wayne Rutledge et al (“Rutledge”)
- Ex. 1007 U.S. Pat. No. 6,118,456 to David G. Cooper (“Cooper”)
- Ex. 1008 WO 98/15920 to David Kelly Austreng (“Austreng”)
- Ex. 1009 Declaration of Prof. William R. Michalson
- Ex. 1010 Provisional Applications to which the 343 Patent claims priority
- Ex. 1011 EP1070290 to Cecil V. Hornbacker, III
- Ex. 1012 U.S. Pat. No. 5,940,117 to Amer Hassan et al (“Hassan”)
- Ex. 1013 Numbering of Claim Elements of Challenged Claims of the 343 Patent
- Ex. 1014 Declaration of Dr. Peter Lindstrom (including Exhibits A, B and C) regarding the publication of the 1997 article entitled “An Integrated Global GIS and Visual Simulation System” which is Ex. 1004 (“Lindstrom”)

Ex. 1015 Declaration of Mr. Charles Randall Carpenter (including Exhibits A, B, C and D) regarding the publication of 1997 article entitled “An Integrated Global GIS and Visual Simulation System” which is Ex. 1004 (“Lindstrom”)

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 311 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft” or “Petitioner”) petitions for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims 1-20 of U.S. Pat. No. 7,908,343 B2 (“the 343 Patent,” Ex. 1001), currently owned by Bradium Technologies LLC (“Bradium” or “Patent Owner”). This Petition shows there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail with respect to at least one of the claims 1-20 challenged under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). As demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence in this Petition in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 316(e), claims 1-20 are unpatentable under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §103. Petitioner respectfully requests the Office to institute a trial for IPR and to cancel claims 1-20. This Petition is a remedial measure for correcting the issuance of invalid claims in the original examination and is necessitated by Patent Owner’s improper enforcement of the invalid claims.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST: Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §312(a)(2) and 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1), Petitioner Microsoft constitutes all real parties in interest for this proceeding. RELATED MATTERS: The 343 Patent and two other patents in the same family, U.S. Patent Nos. 7,139,794 and 8,924,506, are being asserted against Petitioner in an on-going patent infringement lawsuit brought by Patent Owner in *Bradium Techs. LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*, 1:15-cv-00031-RGA, filed in

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.