

EXHIBIT B

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

VISTEON GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)
and VISTEON TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)

Defendant.)

Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-10578-PDB-MAR

EXPERT REPORT OF WILLIAM R. MICHALSON, PH.D.
REGARDING THE INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 5,544,060 (“THE ‘060
PATENT”), 5,654,892 (“THE ‘892 PATENT”), 5,832,408 (“THE ‘408 PATENT”), and
5,987,375 (“THE ‘375 PATENT”)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 5

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS 5

III. OPINIONS AND BASES THEREFORE..... 8

A. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS 8

B. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 9

C. BASES FOR OPINIONS AND MATERIALS REVIEWED 9

D. LEGAL STANDARDS 10

 1. *Understanding of Anticipation*..... 10

 2. *Understanding of Obviousness* 11

 3. *Understanding of Inherency*..... 14

 4. *Understanding of Written Description, Enablement and Best Mode*..... 14

E. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 16

 1. *Claim Construction of the '060 Patent Claims* 16

 2. *Claim Construction of the '892 Patent Claims* 18

 3. *Claim Construction of the '408 Patent Claims* 20

 4. *Claim Construction of the '375 Patent Claims* 21

IV. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND 22

A. VEHICLE NAVIGATION SYSTEMS IN GENERAL 23

B. PROVISION OF ELECTRONIC MAPS 30

C. DISPLAY OF VEHICLE POSITION ON AN ELECTRONIC MAP 33

D. CALCULATING AN OPTIMUM ROUTE 34

E. DISPLAYING THE MULTIPLE LINKS OF A ROUTE TO THE USER 37

F. PROVIDING ROUTE GUIDANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR A USER 38

G. PROVIDING ROUTE GUIDANCE INSTRUCTIONS SEQUENTIALLY TO THE USER 39

H. PROVIDING ADVANCE WARNING FOR UPCOMING MANEUVERS ON THE ROUTE 40

I. VISIBLY DISPLAYING UPCOMING MANEUVERS TO THE USER 41

J. CALCULATING ALTERNATIVE ROUTES (FOR EXAMPLE, TO AVOID CONGESTION) 43

K. STORING AND RECALLING POINTS OF INTEREST 45

L. SORTING DESTINATIONS BY CATEGORY AND SEARCHING WITHIN AND ACROSS CATEGORIES 48

V. EXAMPLE COMMERCIAL EMBODIMENTS 49

A. THE TRAVELPILOT SYSTEM 49

B. THE TRAVTEK SYSTEM 50

C. THE ETAK NAVIGATOR SYSTEM 52

D. AUTOROUTE / AUTOMAP 54

E. MOTOROLA 56

VI. U.S. PATENT NO. 5,544,060..... 57

A. OVERVIEW 57

 1. *Overview of the '060 Patent* 57

 2. *Claims* 59

 3. *Prosecution History Discussion* 60

B. OVERVIEW OF '060 PRIOR ART INVALIDITY OPINIONS 69

C.	THE PRIOR ART ANTICIPATES THE '060 PATENT	69
1.	<i>Wootton Anticipates Claims 3 and 4</i>	69
2.	<i>Kirson Anticipates Claims 3 and 4</i>	88
3.	<i>Takasaki Anticipates Claims 3 and 4</i>	109
D.	THE PRIOR ART RENDERS THE '060 PATENT OBVIOUS	123
1.	<i>The AutoRoute Manual and Kirson Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	123
2.	<i>The AutoRoute System and Kirson Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	154
3.	<i>The AutoMap System and Kirson Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	209
4.	<i>The AutoRoute Manual and Smith Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	264
5.	<i>The AutoRoute System and Smith Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	272
6.	<i>The AutoMap System and Smith Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	277
7.	<i>The AutoRoute Manual, Kirson, and Smith Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	282
8.	<i>The AutoRoute System, Kirson, and Smith Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	285
9.	<i>The AutoMap System, Kirson, and Smith Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	288
10.	<i>The AutoRoute Manual and Lefebvre Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	291
11.	<i>The AutoRoute System and Lefebvre Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	297
12.	<i>The AutoMap System and Lefebvre Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	302
13.	<i>Wootton and Smith Renders Claim 6 Obvious</i>	307
14.	<i>Wootton and Takasaki Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	311
15.	<i>Wootton, Takasaki, and Smith Renders Claim 6 Obvious</i>	319
16.	<i>Kirson and Martin Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	321
17.	<i>Kirson, Martin, and Smith Renders Claim 6 Obvious</i>	328
18.	<i>Kirson and Takasaki Renders Claims 3, 4, and 6 Obvious</i>	331
19.	<i>Kirson, Takasaki, and Smith Renders Claim 6 Obvious</i>	335
E.	THE '060 PATENT IS INVALID UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112.....	338
1.	<i>Enablement/Written Description Regarding Alternate Optimal Route Calculation</i>	338
VII.	U.S. PATENT NO. 5,654,892.....	342
A.	OVERVIEW	342
1.	<i>Overview of the '892 Patent</i>	342
2.	<i>Claims</i>	345
3.	<i>Prosecution History Discussion</i>	346
B.	OVERVIEW OF '892 PRIOR ART INVALIDITY OPINIONS	354
C.	THE PRIOR ART ANTICIPATES THE '892 PATENT.....	354
1.	<i>Yamada Anticipates Claim 8</i>	354
D.	THE PRIOR ART RENDERS THE '892 PATENT OBVIOUS	362
1.	<i>Itoh and Yamada Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	362
2.	<i>Itoh and Ikeda Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	373
3.	<i>Itoh, Yamada, and Smith Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	384
4.	<i>Itoh, Yamada, and Futoshi Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	396
5.	<i>Itoh, Ikeda, and Smith Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	409
6.	<i>Itoh, Ikeda, and Futoshi Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	421
7.	<i>Itoh and Common Sense Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	434
8.	<i>Yamada and Itoh Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	436
9.	<i>Yamada and Smith Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	446
10.	<i>Yamada and Futoshi Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	457
11.	<i>Yamada, Itoh, and Smith Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	468
12.	<i>Yamada, Itoh, and Futoshi Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	479

13.	<i>Yamada and Common Sense Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	491
14.	<i>Ikeda and Itoh Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	493
15.	<i>Ikeda and Yamada Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	504
16.	<i>Ikeda, Itoh, and Ordinary Skill/Common Sense Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	516
17.	<i>Ikeda, Yamada, and Ordinary Skill/Common Sense Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	518
18.	<i>Smith and Ikeda Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	520
19.	<i>Smith, Ikeda, and Ordinary Skill/Common Sense Renders Claim 8 Obvious</i>	531
E.	THE '892 PATENT IS INVALID UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112	533
1.	<i>Enablement</i>	533
2.	<i>Written Description</i>	536
VIII.	U.S. PATENT NO. 5,832,408	538
A.	OVERVIEW	538
1.	<i>Overview of the '408 Patent</i>	538
2.	<i>Claims</i>	540
3.	<i>Prosecution History Discussion</i>	541
B.	OVERVIEW OF '408 PRIOR ART INVALIDITY OPINIONS	543
C.	THE PRIOR ART ANTICIPATES THE '408 PATENT	543
1.	<i>Norman Anticipates Claims 1-6</i>	543
2.	<i>Global Explorer Anticipates Claims 1-6</i>	562
D.	THE PRIOR ART RENDERS THE '408 PATENT OBVIOUS	580
1.	<i>Norman Renders Claim 3 Obvious</i>	580
2.	<i>Norman and Morimoto Renders Claim 3 Obvious</i>	584
3.	<i>Nimura and Morimoto Renders Claims 1-6 Obvious</i>	588
4.	<i>Nimura, Morimoto, and Norman Renders Claims 4 and 5 Obvious</i>	608
5.	<i>Global Explorer Renders Claims 1-6 Obvious</i>	613
6.	<i>Global Explorer and Norman Renders Claims 1-6 Obvious</i>	618
7.	<i>Global Explorer and Keeler Renders Claims 1-6 Obvious</i>	622
IX.	U.S. PATENT NO. 5,987,375	623
A.	OVERVIEW	623
1.	<i>Overview of the '375 Patent</i>	623
2.	<i>Claims</i>	624
3.	<i>Prosecution History Discussion</i>	625
B.	THE '375 PATENT IS INVALID UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112	627
1.	<i>Enablement</i>	627
2.	<i>Written Description</i>	630
X.	DISCUSSION REGARDING POTENTIAL SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS...	631

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.