

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01432  
Patent 7,139,794 B2

---

**Paper 27**

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

**June 23, 2016**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST .....	III
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE RELEVANT FIELD OF ART INCLUDES GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS .....	1
III. A POSITA WOULD HAVE COMBINED THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES IN THE PETITION .....	2
A. Combining 3D visualization techniques with 2D geographic imagery was well-known in the art of GIS .....	2
B. A POSITA would have combined Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Cooper because accessing map tiles according to a 3D viewpoint is an obvious and straightforward application of Cooper .....	5
1. A POSITA would recognize that Cooper's priority algorithm would improve either 2D or 3D visualization of map data.....	5
2. A POSITA would use the prioritization of Cooper to optimize retrieval and display of the multi-resolution map tiles of Rutledge and Ligtenberg.....	9
C. A POSITA would have applied the Cooper priority queue to Rutledge and Ligtenberg .....	14
D. A POSITA would have combined Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper and Migdal.....	15
IV. CLAIM 1 IS OBVIOUS OVER RUTLEDGE, LIGTENBERG, AND COOPER.....	19
A. The combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Cooper teaches retrieving the map tiles of Rutledge and Ligtenberg according to the priority-based algorithm of Cooper.....	19
B. The combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Cooper teaches the determination of priority based on a predetermined resolution .....	20
V. CLAIM 2 IS OBVIOUS OVER RUTLEDGE, LIGTENBERG, COOPER, AND MIGDAL.....	22

A. The combination teaches retrieving image parcels in a priority order.....22

B. The combination teaches progressive regional resolution enhancement.....22

C. The combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper, and Migdal discloses “wherein said step of rendering limits the selective rendering of said image parcels to image parcels having associated resolutions less than a predetermined level.” .....25

VI. CONCLUSION.....26

### UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,139,794 B2 to Levanon et al. (“the 794 Patent”)
- Ex. 1002 Declaration of Judea d’Arnaud, attaching the article *Maps Alive: Viewing Geospatial Information on the WWW*, Michael Potmesil, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems Vol. 29, issues 8-13, pp. 1327-1342 (“Potmesil”) as Exhibit A.
- Ex. 1003 PCT Publication No. WO 1999/041675 by Cecil V. Hornbacker, III (“Hornbacker”)
- Ex. 1004 U.S. Pat. No. 5,682,441 to Adrianus Ligtenberg et al. (“Ligtenberg”)
- Ex. 1005 U.S. Pat. No. 6,650,998 to Charles Wayne Rutledge et al. (“Rutledge”)
- Ex. 1006 U.S. Pat. No. 6,118,456 to David G. Cooper (“Cooper”)
- Ex. 1007 U.S. Pat. No. 5,760,783 to Migdal et al. (“Migdal”)
- Ex. 1008 Declaration of Prof. William R. Michalson
- Ex. 1009 Six Provisional Applications from which the 794 Patent claims priorities.
- Ex. 1010 EP1070290 to Cecil V. Hornbacker, III from a European national application based on PCT Publication No. WO 1999/041675 (Ex. 1003)
- Ex. 1011 *An Integrated Global GIS and Visual Simulation System* by P. Lindstrom *et al.*, Tech. Rep. GIT-GVU-97-07, March 1997 (“Lindstrom”)
- Ex. 1012 Declaration of Dr. Peter Lindstrom (including Exhibits A, B and C) regarding the publication of the 1997 article entitled “An Integrated Global GIS and Visual Simulation System” which is Ex. 1011 (“Lindstrom”)

- Ex. 1013 Declaration of Mr. Charles Randall Carpenter (including Exhibits A, B, C and D) regarding the publication of 1997 article entitled "An Integrated Global GIS and Visual Simulation System" which is Ex. 1011 ("Lindstrom")
- Ex. 1014 Affidavit of Matthew C. Bernstein in Support of Petitioner Microsoft Corporation's Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission Under 37 C.F.R. §42.10(c)
- Ex. 1015 Declaration of Prof. William R. Michalson in Support of Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.