

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC

Patent Owner

CASE: IPR2015-01432

Patent No. 7,139,794 B2

**DECLARATION OF PROF. WILLIAM R. MICHALSON
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE**

DECLARATION OF PROF. WILLIAM R. MICHALSON
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S REPLY
TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

I hereby declare that all the statements made in this Declaration are of my own knowledge and true; that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that all statements made in this Declaration are true and correct.

Executed 23 June 2016 in Douglas, MA.

//William R Michalson/

William R. Michalson

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF APPENDICES	iv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	2
III. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.....	3
A. Compensation	3
B. Documents and Other Materials Relied Upon	3
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	3
V. RESPONSE TO DR. BAJAJ'S SUMMARY OF THE BACKGROUND IN THE ART	7
A. Dr. Bajaj Ignores Relevant Art, Particularly in the GIS Field	7
B. Dr. Bajaj's Discussion of the Technology Background is Overly Narrow	7
C. Compression and Dynamic Display of Image Data in a Networked Environment Were Well-Known in the Art	10
D. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Recognized the Applicability of 2D Texture Tiles to 3D Image Rendering	14
1. Dr. Bajaj's Discussion of "2D" Map Data is Incomplete	14
2. Dr. Bajaj's Discussion of "3D" Scene Rendering is Incomplete.....	16
3. Techniques for Visualizing 2D Data in 3D Were Well- Known in the Art.....	19
4. Dr. Bajaj's Hypothetical Rendering Pipeline Does Not Show That a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Combine 2D and 3D rendering techniques.....	22
VI. Response to Dr. Bajaj's characterization of the prior art references.....	31
A. Rutledge (Bajaj ¶¶ 64-66)	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
B. Ligtenberg (Bajaj ¶ 67)	36
C. Cooper (Bajaj ¶¶ 68-72)	39
D. Migdal (Bajaj ¶¶ 73-75)	44
VII. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art would have Combined the Prior Art References Discussed in the Petition	48
A. The Combination of 3D Visualization Techniques with 2D Geographic Imagery was Well-Known in the art of GIS.....	48
B. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art would have combined Cooper, Ligtenberg, and Rutledge	52
1. 3D Visualization Techniques can Utilize 2D Map Tiles	52
2. Patent Owner's "Fundamental Difference" Arguments are Misguided and Incorrect	56
3. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Use Cooper's Priority Algorithm to Prioritize the Retrieval of Map Tiles Such as Those Taught by Rutledge and Ligtenberg	61
C. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Combined Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper, and Migdal.....	70
1. The Hypothetical Combination of Migdal with Rutledge or Ligtenberg.....	71
2. The Hypothetical Combination of Cooper with Midgal.....	76
VIII. Claim 1 is obvious over Rutledge and Ligtenberg in view of Cooper.....	79
A. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Combine Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Cooper.....	79
B. The Combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Cooper Teaches Requesting Image Parcels According to a Priority Order.....	80

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
C. The Combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Cooper Teaches an Assigned Priority Based on the Predetermined Resolution of an Image Display.....	81
IX. Claim 2 is obvious over Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper, and Migdal.....	86
A. The combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper, and Migdal teaches retrieving image parcels in a priority order.....	86
B. The combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper, and Migdal teaches a priority order that is determined to provide a progressive regional resolution enhancement.....	87
C. Limiting selective rendering of image parcels to those having less than a resolution of a predetermined level would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art over the combination of Rutledge, Ligtenberg, Cooper, and Migdal.....	90
X. CONCLUSION.....	91

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.