

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC

Patent Owner

CASE IPR2015-01432

Patent No. 7,139,794

**DECLARATION OF CHANDRAJIT
BAJAJ IN SUPPORT OF RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO
37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background and Qualifications	2
B. Materials Considered.....	5
C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSITA”)	7
D. Claim Construction.....	8
II. Summary of opinions.....	9
III. Legal	11
IV. Background on the State of the Art	13
A. Compression and Dynamic Display of Image Data in a Networked Environment	13
B. Composite Image Rendering (2D Image Display, in the Context of the References Rutledge and Ligtenberg)	14
C. Polygon-Based Rendering (3D Scene Display, in the Context of the Cooper Reference).....	16
D. An Example of a Rendering Pipeline for 3D Display of Images	19
V. The Prior Art on which the Instituted Grounds Rely	23
A. Rutledge.....	23
B. Ligtenberg.....	24
C. Cooper.....	25
D. Migdal.....	29
VI. A POSITA WOULD NOT HAVE COMBINED COOPER WITH LIGTENBERG OR RUTLEDGE	32

A.	A POSITA Would Not Apply the Prioritization of 3D Polygonal Objects of Cooper to the 2D Image-Based Systems of Ligtenberg / Rutledge.....	32
B.	A POSITA Would Further Understand That Applying the Prioritization Algorithm of Cooper to Ligtenberg / Rutledge Would Not Yield a Useful Result.....	34
C.	A POSITA Would Understand that Cooper Assumes Frame Coherence, But This Assumption Does Not Hold for Rutledge / Ligtenberg.....	44
VII.	A POSITA WOULD NOT HAVE COMBINED MIGDAL WITH RUTLEDGE OR LIGTENBERG	45
VIII.	A POSITA WOULD NOT HAVE COMBINED COOPER WITH MIGDAL	49
A.	The High Computational and I/O Requirements of Migdal Would Have Been Understood to Be Incompatible with the limited Computational and I/O Bandwidth of Cooper	49
B.	The Inefficient Memory Usage of Cooper Would Have Been Understood to be Incompatible with the Goal of Efficient Memory Usage Described By Migdal.....	51
IX.	CLAIM 1 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF THE COMBINATION OF COOPER WITH RUTLEDGE AND LIGTENBERG	52
A.	A POSITA Would Not have Combined These References.....	52
B.	The Combination of Cooper with Rutledge and Ligtenberg Does Not Teach or Suggest a Parcel Request Queue in Which Image Parcel Requests Are Placed According to a Priority Order	52
C.	The Combination of Cooper with Rutledge and Ligtenberg Does Not Teach or Suggest a Parcel Rendering Subsystem that Determines an Assigned Priority Based on the Predetermined Resolution of an Image Display	55
X.	CLAIM 2 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF THE COMBINATION OF COOPER WITH RUTLEDGE, LIGTENBERG	

AND MIGDAL.....	57
A. A POSITA Would Not Have Combined These References.....	58
B. The Combination of Cooper with Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Migdal Does Not Teach or Suggest Requesting Image Parcels in Priority Order	58
C. The Combination of Cooper with Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Migdal Does Not Teach or Suggest a Priority Order for Image Parcels that Is Determined to Provide a Progressive Regional Resolution Enhancement	60
D. The Combination of Cooper with Rutledge, Ligtenberg, and Migdal Does Not Teach or Suggest Limiting Selective Rendering of Image Parcels to Those Having Less Than a Resolution of a Predetermined Level	63
XI. Concluding Statement.....	66

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A Dr. Chandrajit Bajaj Curriculum Vitae
- APPENDIX B IEEE/SIGGRAPH Symposium Publication
- APPENDIX C '794 Patent Claims
- APPENDIX D List of Claim Elements as Asserted by Petitioner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.