Page 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VALEO NORTH AMERICA, INC., VALEO S.A., VALEO GMBH, VALEO SCHALTER UND SENSOREN GMBH, AND CONNAUGHT ELECTRONICS LTD.,

Petitioner, Case IPR2015-01410

VS.

Patent 8,643,724 B2

MAGNA ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Telephonic Hearing

~ Before ~

Hon. J. Arbis

Hon. M. Fitzpatrick

Hon. R. Weinschenk

Thursday, May 26, 2016

REPORTED BY: JEANESE JOHNSON

REF: 16479

VALEO Ex. 1071 VALEO v. MAGNA IPR2015-01410



```
Page 2
     APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
 3
 4
     KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP
     Attorneys for Petitioner
 5
          BY:
               HARI SANTHANAM, ESQ.
          300 North LaSalle
 6
          Chicago, Illinois
                              60654
          (312) 862-7172
 7
          (312) 862-2200
          hari.santhanam@kirkland.com
 8
 9
10
     STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN, FOX
     Attorneys for Patent Owner
11
         BY:
              SALVADOR M. BEZOS, ESQ.
         1100 New York Avenue NW, Suite 600
12
         Washington, DC
                          20005
         (202) 371-2600
         (202) 371-2540
13
          sbezos@skqf.com
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 3
                       --000--
 1
 2
                      9:00 a.m.
 3
 5
               HON. J. ARBIS: Good morning,
      everyone. This is Judge Arbis with the
 6
      Patent Trial and Appeal Board.
                                       I have
      with me on the line Judge Fitzpatrick and
      Judge Weinschenk. This is a call in
 9
      IPR2015-01410.
10
               Are there counsel for the
11
12
      Petitioner on the line?
13
               MR. SANTHANAM: Yes, Your
      Honor. This is Hari Santhanam from
14
      Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the
15
16
      Petitioners.
17
               HON. J. ARBIS: Okay.
               And counsel for the Patent
18
19
      Owner.
               MR. BEZOS: Yes, good morning,
20
      Your Honors. This is Sal Bezos from
21
22
      Sterne Kessler, counsel for the Patent
      Owner, Magna Electronics.
23
24
               HON. J. ARBIS: Great.
                                        Thank
25
           And do we have a court reporter on
```

Page 4

1 the line?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, we

3 do, Your Honor. Good morning.

4 HON. J. ARBIS: Thank you. And

5 was the court reporter retained by

6 Petitioner or Patent Owner?

7 MR. SANTHANAM: By the

8 petitioners, Your Honor.

9 HON. J. ARBIS: Okay.

MR. SANTHANAM: And again,

11 we'll arrange to have a transcript filed

12 with the court as soon as feasible.

13 HON. J. ARBIS: Thank you very

14 much. We appreciate that.

15 Okay. The issue today to

discuss I believe is Exhibits 1045,

17 1046, 1054, 1055 and 1060.

18 So as I understand the facts,

19 the exhibits were not filed with

20 Petitioner's reply, were inadvertently

21 omitted, but then petitioners sought the

22 ability to file those afterwards.

23 As we understand it, Patent

Owner does not object to the late filing

of the exhibits but does object to them



Page 5

- 1 being filed in reply at all.
- 2 So we can hear from counsel for
- 3 Patent Owner first.
- 4 Do we have the facts correct,
- 5 and if so, what relief would you be
- 6 seeking?
- 7 MR. BEZOS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 8 That sounds like an accurate description.
- 9 Just to add to that, it would not just be
- 10 the exhibits, but of course the relevant
- 11 portions of the reply we think would need
- to be stricken or, you know, a new copy
- of the reply filed with those sections
- 14 referencing these exhibits omitted.
- The reason why we think that
- this is an appropriate remedy is that
- 17 these exhibits -- and the reason why we
- 18 thought it was permissible for
- 19 Petitioner to submit the exhibits into
- 20 the record late, is that we did, in fact,
- 21 have these, but they were being used by
- the Petitioner in response to evidentiary
- 23 objections, and so that's such a
- 24 completely different purpose from which
- 25 they are trying to use these exhibits



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

