

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VERIZON SERVICES CORP. AND  
VERIZON BUSINESS NETWORK SERVICES INC.  
Petitioners

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.  
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS INNOVATIVE COMMUNICATIONS  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)  
Patent Owner

---

*INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,108,704  
Case IPR No.: *To Be Assigned*

---

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,108,704  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

---

Filed on behalf of Petitioners

By: Rajeev Gupta, Reg. No. 55,873  
Darren M. Jiron, Reg. No. 45,777  
**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.**  
901 New York Ave., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20001-4413  
Telephone: 202-408-4000  
Facsimile: 202-408-4400

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	4
	A. Real Party-in-Interest.....	4
	B. Related Matters.....	4
	C. Counsel and Service Information.....	7
III.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	8
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	8
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '704 PATENT (EX. 1001).....	10
	A. Summary of the Alleged Invention .....	10
	1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses .....	11
	2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with a Connection Server .....	12
	3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to Connection Server, Which Returns IP Address of Second Processing Unit .....	12
	4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit .....	13
	5. Using a “User Interface” to Control the Process.....	14
	B. Original Prosecution of the '704 Patent.....	14
	C. Prior <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination of the '704 Patent .....	14
	D. The Sipnet <i>Inter Partes</i> Review for the '704 Patent (Ex. 1010).....	16
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....	16
	A. WINS (Ex. 1003) .....	16
	1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses from DHCP Servers.....	18
	2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the WINS Server .....	20
	3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to WINS Server and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit .....	24

4.	Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit .....	25
B.	NetBIOS (Ex. 1004) .....	26
1.	Step 1: Processing Units Have Assigned IP Addresses .....	27
2.	Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the NBNS .....	27
3.	Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to the NBNS and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit.....	29
4.	Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communications with Second Processing Unit .....	30
C.	Pinard (Ex. 1020) .....	31
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	34
A.	“Point-to-Point Communication Link” (Claims 1, 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 21-23, 27, 30-31).....	35
B.	“[Program Code for / Program Logic Configured to] Transmitting/Transmit to the Server a Network Protocol Address Received By the First Process Following Connection to the Computer Network” (Claims 12-14).....	35
C.	“Connection To The Computer Network” (Claim 3, 9-14) / “Connected To The Computer Network” (Claims 4, 6-8, 13).....	36
VIII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	36
IX.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION .....	37
A.	Ground 1: Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over WINS and NetBIOS.....	37
1.	A Person Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine WINS and NetBIOS .....	37
2.	Claim 1 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.....	38
B.	Ground 2: Claims 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 27, and 30-31 Would Have Been Obvious Over WINS, NetBIOS, and Pinard.....	46
1.	One Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine WINS, NetBIOS, and Pinard .....	46
2.	Claim 11 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled. ....	47
3.	Claim 12 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled. ....	51

4.	Claim 14 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled. ....	52
5.	Claim 16 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled. ....	54
6.	Claim 19 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled. ....	55
7.	Claim 22 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled. ....	55
8.	Claim 23 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled. ....	57
9.	Claim 27 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled. ....	57
10.	Claim 30 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled. ....	58
11.	Claim 31 (Depends from Claim 30) Should Be Cancelled. ....	58
X.	CONCLUSION.....	60

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Federal Cases</b>	
<i>In re ICON Health &amp; Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	34
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303. (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	34, 35, 36
<b>Commission Cases</b>	
<i>Certain Point-to-Point Network Communication Devices and Products Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-892 (U.S.I.T.C.).....	36
<b>Federal Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102(a) .....	9, 10
35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	9, 10
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....	10
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	7
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	37, 46
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	8
35 U.S.C. § 315.....	4
35 U.S.C. § 315(c).....	1
35 U.S.C. § 325.....	4
<b>Rules</b>	
Rules 42.22(a) .....	9
Rule 42.104(a).....	8
Rule 42.104(b)(1)-(2) .....	8

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.