

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and AVAYA INC.

Petitioners

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

(FORMERLY KNOWN AS INNOVATIVE COMMUNICATIONS
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)

Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,108,704

Case IPR No.: IPR2015-01398

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,108,704
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

Filed on behalf of Petitioners

By: David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476

Jason D. Kipnis, Reg. No. 40,680

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING

HALE AND DORR LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 663-6000

Fax: (202) 663-6363

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	3
	A. Real Party-in-Interest	4
	B. Related Matters.....	4
	C. Counsel and Service Information.....	7
III.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	8
IV.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	9
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '704 PATENT (EX. 1001)	11
	A. Summary of the Alleged Invention	11
	1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses	12
	2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with a Connection Server	13
	3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to Connection Server, Which Returns IP Address of Second Processing Unit.....	13
	4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit	14
	5. Using a “User Interface” to Control the Process	15
	B. Original Prosecution of the '704 Patent	15
	C. Prior <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination of the '704 Patent.....	15
	D. The Sipnet <i>Inter Partes</i> Review for the '704 Patent (Ex. 1010).....	17
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....	17
	A. WINS (Ex. 1003).....	17
	1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses from DHCP Servers.....	19
	2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the WINS Server	21
	3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to WINS Server and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit ...	25

4.	Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit	26
B.	NetBIOS (Ex. 1004)	26
1.	Step 1: Processing Units Have Assigned IP Addresses	28
2.	Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the NBNS	28
3.	Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to the NBNS and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit	30
4.	Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communications with Second Processing Unit	31
C.	Pinard (Ex. 1020)	31
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	34
A.	“Point-to-Point Communication Link” (Claims 1, 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 21-23, 27, 30-31)	35
B.	“[Program Code for / Program Logic Configured to] Transmitting / Transmit to the Server a Network Protocol Address Received By the First Process Following Connection to the Computer Network” (Claims 12-14)	36
C.	“Connection To The Computer Network” (Claim 3, 9-14) / “Connected To The Computer Network” (Claims 4, 6-8, 13)	36
VIII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	37
IX.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION	38
A.	Ground 1: Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over WINS and NetBIOS.	38
1.	A Person Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine WINS and NetBIOS	38
2.	Claim 1 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.	39
B.	Ground 2: Claims 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 27, and 30-31 Would Have Been Obvious Over WINS, NetBIOS, and Pinard	46
1.	One Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine WINS, NetBIOS, and Pinard	47
2.	Claim 11 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.	48

3.	Claim 12 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	52
4.	Claim 14 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	52
5.	Claim 16 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	54
6.	Claim 19 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	55
7.	Claim 22 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.	56
8.	Claim 23 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled.	57
9.	Claim 27 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled.	58
10.	Claim 30 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled.	58
11.	Claim 31 (Depends from Claim 30) Should Be Cancelled.	59
X.	CONCLUSION.....	60

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>Certain Point-to-Point Network Communication Devices and Products Containing Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-892 (U.S.I.T.C.)</i>	37
<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)</i>	35
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303. (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)</i>	35, 36, 37
FEDERAL STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	10, 11
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	10, 11
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	11
35 U.S.C. § 103	9
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	38, 47
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-19.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	9
RULES	
Rules 42.22(a) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2).....	9
Rule 42.104(a).....	8
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.1 <i>et seq.</i>	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.