

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-01395

Patent 6,363,345

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,363,345**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
II. PAYMENT OF FEES	3
III. STANDING.....	3
IV. REQUEST TO HOLD CLAIMS 1-25, AND 38-47 OF THE '345 PATENT UNPATENTABLE	4
A. The Alleged Invention Of The '345 Patent	4
B. Summary of the Prosecution History of the '345 Patent	4
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	6
A. Standards for Claim Construction.....	6
1. Broadest Reasonable Construction	6
VI. PRIOR ART TO THE '345 PATENT FORMING THE BASIS FOR THIS PETITION	7
A. Prior Art Documents	7
B. Summary of Unpatentability Arguments.....	8
VII. GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM.....	10
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 12, 21, 23, And 24 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) As Being Anticipated By U.S. Patent No. 6,035,048 Diethorn.	10
B. Ground 2: Claims 4-11, 13, 25, 38-42 And 46 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Diethorn In View Of Martin.....	16
C. Ground 3: Claims 13-20, 38, And 47 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Diethorn In View Of Boll.....	28
D. Ground 4: Claims 21-24 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Diethorn In View Of Uesugi And/Or Lindemann.	34
E. Ground 5: Claims 43 Is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Diethorn And Martin, And Further In View Of Boll.....	39

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,363,345

F. Ground 6: Claims 44 And 45 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Diethorn And Martin, And Further In View Of Uesugi.41

G. Ground 7: Claims 1-11, 13, 25, 38-41 And 46 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Martin.....43

H. Ground 8: Claims 12, 14-20, 42, 43, And 47 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Martin In View Of Boll.....51

I. Ground 9: Claims 21, 22, 23, 44, And 45 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Martin In View Of Uesugi.56

J. Ground 10: Claim 24 Is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Martin And Uesugi, And Further In View Of Diethorn Or Lindemann.....58

VIII. CONCLUSION.....59

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST

Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345, “System, Method and Apparatus For Cancelling Noise” to Joseph Marash and Baruch Berdugo, issued on Mar. 26, 2002 (“’345 Patent”)	1001
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Acer Inc. and Acer America</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04488, Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 28 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014)	1002
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Acer Inc. and Acer America</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04488, Defendants’ Answers and Defenses, Dkt. No. 32 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1003
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Lenovo Holding Co. and Lenovo (U.S.) Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04489, Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 35 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014)	1004
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Lenovo Holding Co. and Lenovo (U.S.) Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04489, Defendants’ Answer and Counterclaims, Dkt. No. 39 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1005
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Lenovo Holding Co. and Lenovo (U.S.) Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04489, Plaintiff’s Answer and Counterclaims, Dkt. No. 45 (E.D.N.Y. Dec. 15, 2014)	1006
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Toshiba Corp.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04492, Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 34 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014)	1007
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Toshiba Corp. and Toshiba Am. Information Sys., Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04492, Toshiba Corp.’s Answer and Affirmative Defenses, Dkt. No. 38 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1008
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Toshiba Corp. and Toshiba Am. Information Sys., Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04492, Toshiba America Info. Sys., Inc.’s Answer and Affirmative Defenses, Dkt. No. 39 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1009
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , Civil Action No.	1010

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,363,345

Description	Exhibit #
2:15-cv-00208, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Dell Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00209, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	1011
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. ASUSTek Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer Int'l</i> , Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00214, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	1012
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Realtek Semiconductor Corp.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00215, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	1013
<i>Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Realtek Semiconductor Corp.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00215, Court's Notice of Related Case, Dkt. No. 4 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 21, 2015)	1014
<i>In re Certain Audio Processing Hardware and Software and Products Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-949, Verified Complaint Under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (U.S.I.T.C. Mar. 12, 2015)	1015
<i>In re Certain Audio Processing Hardware and Software and Products Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-949, Notice of Institution of Investigation (U.S.I.T.C. Mar. 12, 2015)	1016
Table 1 – List Of Each Claim Element Annotated With Its Claim Number and A Reference Letter	1017
Petitioner's List of Related Litigation Matters, And Patents at Issue	1018
Petitioner's List of IPR Petitions and Challenged Patent Claims of the Andrea Patents	1019
Prosecution History of Application No. 09/252,874 which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345	1020
PCT Application No. PCT/US00/03538, "System, Method and Apparatus For Cancelling Noise," to Joseph Marash and Baruch Berdugo, Publication No. WO 00/49602 issued on Aug. 24, 2000	1021

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.