### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-01393

Patent 6,049,607

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607



## PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	MAN	NDATORY NOTICES	1	
II.	PAY	MENT OF FEES	3	
III.	STA	NDING	3	
IV.	_	UEST TO HOLD CLAIMS 1-12 AND 25-37 OF THE '607 PATEN ATENTABLE		
	A.	The Alleged Invention Of The '607 Patent	4	
	B.	Summary Of The Prosecution History Of The '607 Patent	5	
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION6			
	A.	Standards For Claim Construction	6	
		1. Broadest Reasonable Construction	6	
	A.	"interference signal" (claims 1-2 and 25-26)	7	
VI.		OR ART TO THE '607 PATENT FORMING THE BASIS FOR PETITION	9	
	A.	Prior Art Documents	9	
	B.	Summary of Unpatentability Arguments	.10	
VII.	GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM13			
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-4 And 25-28 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Chu	.13	
	В.	Ground 2: Claims 5, 6, 12, 29, 30, 36 And 37 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Chu In View Of Wu	.23	
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 7 And 31 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Chu In View Of Crochiere	.36	



## PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607

	D.	Ground 4: Claims 8, 10, 32, And 34 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Chu In View Of Kellermann	.38
	Е.	Ground 5: Claims 9 And 33 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Chu And Kellermann In Further View Of Koizumi	.42
	F.	Ground 6: Claims 11 and 35 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Chu And Kellermann In Further View Of Kuo	.45
	G.	Ground 7: Claims 1-4, 8, 10, 25-28, 32, And 34 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Kellerman In View Of Chu.	.48
	H.	Ground 8: Claims 5, 6, 12, 29, 30, 36 and 37 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Kellerman And Chu In Further View Of Wu	.57
	I.	Ground 9: Claims 7 and 31 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Kellermann And Chu In Further View Of Crochiere	.57
	J.	Ground 10: Claims 9 and 33 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Kellermann And Chu In Further View Of Koizumi	.58
	K.	Ground 11: Claims 11 And 35 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious Over Kellermann And Chu In Further View Of Kuo	.59
VIII.	CONC	CLUSION	.60



## **PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607, "Interference Canceling Method And Apparatus," to Joseph Marash and Baruch Berdugo, issued on Apr. 11, 2000 ("the '607 Patent")	1001
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Acer Inc. and Acer America, Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04488, Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 28 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014)	1002
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Acer Inc. and Acer America, Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04488, Defendants' Answers and Defenses, Dkt. No. 32 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1003
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Lenovo Holding Co. and Lenovo (U.S.) Inc., Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04489, Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 35 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014)	1004
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Lenovo Holding Co. and Lenovo (U.S.) Inc., Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04489, Defendants' Answer and Counterclaims, Dkt. No. 39 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1005
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Lenovo Holding Co. and Lenovo (U.S.) Inc., Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04489, Plaintiff's Answer to Defendants Lenovo Holding Company Inc., and Lenovo (United States) Inc.'s Counterclaims, Dkt. No. 45 (E.D.N.Y. Dec. 15, 2014)	1006
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Toshiba Corp., Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04492, Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 34 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 10, 2014)	1007
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Toshiba Corp., Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04492, Toshiba Corp.'s Answer and Affirmative Defenses, Dkt. No. 38 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1008
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Toshiba Corp., Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-04492, Toshiba America Info. Sys., Inc.'s Answer and Affirmative Defenses, Dkt. No. 39 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2014)	1009
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., Civil Action No.	1010



## PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607

Description	Exhibit #
2:15-cv-00208, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Dell Inc., Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00209, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	1011
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. ASUSTek Computer Inc., Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00214, Plaintiff's Complaint For Patent Infringement, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2015)	1013
Andrea Electronics Corp. v. Realtek Semiconductor Corp., Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00215, Court's Notice of Related Case, Dkt. No. 4 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 21, 2015)	1014
In re Certain Audio Processing Hardware and Software and Products Containing Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-949, Verified Complaint Under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (U.S.I.T.C. Jan. 23, 2015)	1015
In re Certain Audio Processing Hardware and Software and Products Containing Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-949, Notice of Institution of Investigation (U.S.I.T.C. Mar. 12, 2015)	1016
Table 1 – List Of Each Claim Element Annotated With Its Claim Number and A Reference Letter	1017
Petitioner's List of Related Litigation Matters, And Patents at Issue	1018
Petitioner's List of IPR Petitions and Challenged Patent Claims of the Andrea Patents	1019
Prosecution History of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/157,035 which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607	1020
International Application No. PCT/US99/21186, "Interference Canceling Method and Apparatus," to Joseph Marash and Baruch Berdugo, issued on Mar. 30, 2000 as International Publication No. WO00/18099	1021
U.S. Patent No. 5,263,019, "Method And Apparatus For Estimating The Level of Acoustic Feedback Between A Loudspeaker And	1022



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

