

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

v.

LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC., and  
LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC.

Defendants.

Civil No. 2:14-cv-04489-KAM-GRB

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**PLAINTIFF ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S ANSWER TO  
DEFENDANTS LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. AND LENOVO  
(UNITED STATES) INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS TO PLAINTIFF'S  
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Andrea Electronics Corporation ("Andrea") answers defendants Lenovo Holding Company, Inc. and Lenovo (United States) Inc.'s (collectively, "Lenovo") counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement below. Any allegations not specifically admitted herein are denied.

**COUNTERCLAIMS**

1. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 1 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required.

**THE PARTIES**

2. Andrea is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 2 of Lenovo's counterclaims and therefore denies them.

3. Andrea is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 3 of Lenovo's counterclaims and therefore denies them.

4. Admitted.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. Admitted that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of Lenovo's counterclaims under, without limitation, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202 and that venue for Lenovo's counterclaims are proper in this District. All other allegations are denied.

6. Admitted.

**FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

7. Admitted.

8. Denied.

9. Admitted that there is an actual case or controversy between the parties over the non-infringement, invalidity, and/or unenforceability of the Asserted Patents, but denied that the Asserted Patents are not infringed, invalid, and/or unenforceable.

**COUNT ONE**

**(Declaratory Judgment of Non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,898)**

10. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 10 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Andrea incorporates by reference its answers in paragraphs 1 through 9 of its answer to Lenovo's counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint.

11. Admitted that an actual case or controversy exists between Lenovo and Andrea as to whether the '898 patent is infringed by Lenovo, but denied that the '898 patent is not infringed by Lenovo.

12. Andrea lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegation set forth in Paragraph 12 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such denies those allegations.

13. Denied.

14. Denied.

**COUNT TWO**  
**(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,898)**

15. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 15 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Andrea incorporates by reference its answers in paragraphs 1 through 14 of its answer to Lenovo's counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint.

16. Admitted that an actual case or controversy exists between Lenovo and Andrea regarding the validity of the '898 patent, but denied that the '898 patent is invalid.

17. Andrea lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegation set forth in Paragraph 17 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such denies those allegations.

18. Denied.

19. Denied.

**COUNT THREE**  
**(Declaratory Judgment of Non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607)**

20. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 20 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Andrea incorporates by reference its answers in paragraphs 1 through 19 of its answer to Lenovo's counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint.

21. Admitted that an actual case or controversy exists between Lenovo and Andrea as to whether the '607 patent is infringed by Lenovo, but denied that the '607 patent is not infringed by Lenovo.

22. Andrea lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegation set forth in Paragraph 22 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such denies those allegations.

23. Denied.

24. Denied.

**COUNT FOUR**  
**(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607)**

25. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 25 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, incorporates by reference its answers in paragraphs 1 through 24 of its answer to Lenovo's counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint.

26. Admitted that an actual case or controversy exists between Lenovo and Andrea regarding the validity of the '607 patent, but denied that the '607 patent is invalid.

27. Andrea lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegation set forth in Paragraph 27 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such denies those allegations.

28. Denied.

29. Denied.

**COUNT FIVE**  
**(Declaratory Judgment of Non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345)**

30. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 30 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Andrea incorporates by reference its answers in paragraphs 1 through 29 of its answer to Lenovo's counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint.

31. Admitted that an actual case or controversy exists between Lenovo and Andrea as to whether the '345 patent is infringed by Lenovo, but denied that the '345 patent is not infringed by Lenovo.

32. Andrea lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegation set forth in Paragraph 32 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such denies those allegations.

33. Denied.

34. Denied.

#### **COUNT SIX**

#### **(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345)**

35. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 35 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Andrea incorporates by reference its answers in paragraphs 1 through 34 of its answer to Lenovo's counterclaims to Andrea's First Amended Complaint.

36. Admitted that an actual case or controversy exists between Lenovo and Andrea regarding the validity of the '345 patent, but denied that the '345 patent is invalid.

37. Andrea lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegation set forth in Paragraph 37 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such denies those allegations.

38. Denied.

39. Denied.

#### **COUNT SEVEN**

#### **(Declaratory Judgment of Non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,483,923)**

40. No allegations are set forth in Paragraph 40 of Lenovo's counterclaims, and as such, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Andrea

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.