Case IPR2015-01377 U.S. Patent No. 7,435,982

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASML NETHERLANDS B.V., EXCELITAS TECHNOLOGIES CORP., AND QIOPTIQ PHOTONICS GMBH & CO. KG, Petitioners

v.

ENERGETIQ TECHNOLOGY, INC., Patent Owner

> Case IPR2015-01377 U.S. Patent No. 7,435,982

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	INTRODUCTION1			
II.	THE STATE OF THE ART AND THE CLAIMED INVENTION2			
	A.	State	e of the Art and Prior Arc Lamps	.2
	B.	Ener	getiq's Patented Laser Driven Light Source	.3
III.	CLA	CLAIM INTERPRETATION		
	A.	"Light source"		
	B.	"Hig	h brightness light"	.7
IV.	THE	DEFI	NITION OF AN ORDINARY ARTISAN IN THE FIELD1	12
	A.	Activ	ve Workers In The Field And The Inventor	14
	B.		lems In The Art, Prior Art Solutions, Rapidity with Which vations are Made, and Sophistication of the Technology	14
	C. Petitioners Provide <i>No</i> Factual Support for their Definition a Do Not Rely on Any of the Relevant Factors		ioners Provide <i>No</i> Factual Support for their Definition and Not Rely on Any of the Relevant Factors	15
V.	GROUND 1: OBVIOUSNESS UNDER § 103 BY GÄRTNER IN VIEW OF BETEROV16			
	A.	Overview of Gärtner		
	B. Gärtner in view of Beterov does not render the challenge claims obvious			17
		1.	Gärtner does not provide one skilled in the art with sufficient direction or guidance to obtain the claimed "high brightness light" without undue experimentation (<i>Wands</i> factors 1, 2)?	20
		2.	Gärtner's lack of guidance regarding working examples weigh heavily against a finding that it enables the claimed "high brightness light" (<i>Wands</i> factor 3)	
		3.	The state of the prior art (arc lamps) further supports a lack of enablement (<i>Wands</i> factors 4, 5)	
VI.	CONCLUSION25			25

DOCKET

I. INTRODUCTION

This case is about a light source that generates a "high brightness light" that is so much brighter than what preceded it, that it has essentially replaced the arc lamps previously used in semiconductor wafer inspection, lithography, and metrology tools.

Energetiq's invention solved a fundamental problem – how to generate a light *brighter* than arc lamps. Energetiq patented a novel approach that uses a laser that provides energy to a gas in a chamber to produce a "high brightness light."

Petitioners allege that the challenged claims—all of which require a "high brightness light"—are rendered obvious based on an incomplete system described in a 20 year old reference (Gärtner) that would be *incapable* of achieving the claimed "high brightness light." For certain of the claim terms, Petitioners cite Beterov for the concept of providing laser energy near a resonance transition line, as required by these dependent claims, but fail to explain how such an addition would remedy Gärtner's failure to enable a high brightness light. Since Petitioners rely only on Gärtner (not Beterov) for the "high brightness light" limitation, and Gärtner does not disclose, let alone enable, to one of ordinary skill in the art the claimed "high brightness light"—which properly construed must be at least as bright as arc lamps—Petitioners' obviousness arguments must fail and the claims must be confirmed.¹

II. THE STATE OF THE ART AND THE CLAIMED INVENTION

A. State of the Art and Prior Arc Lamps

For at least a decade prior to the invention, the semiconductor industry used xenon or mercury arc lamps to produce a light for use in wafer inspection and metrology systems. (See Smith Decl. at ¶ 8 (Ex. 2016); '982 Patent at 1:20-22 (Ex. 1201) ("The state of the art in, for example, wafer inspection systems involves the use of xenon or mercury arc lamps to produce light.").)

Arc lamps use an anode and cathode to provide an electrical discharge to a gas within the lamp that excites the gas, causing it to emit light. (See '982 Patent at 1:20-35 (Ex. 1201).) However, they suffer from a number of shortcomings that constrain the accuracy and efficiency of the equipment that uses them. These problems include instability of the arc, undesirably short time to failure, and limits on how bright such sources can get (the spectral brightness of arc lamps is limited by the maximum current density—if too high, it would melt the arc lamps'

¹ This Response is supported by the declaration of Dr. Philip H. Bucksbaum, a professor in Physics, Applied Physics, and Photon Science at Stanford University.

electrodes). (See, e.g., '982 Patent at 1:20-35 (Ex. 1201); Smith Decl. at ¶ 8 (Ex. 2016).)

Over time, the industry demanded improvements in the brightness level of light sources beyond that which could be met by traditional xenon and mercury arc lamps (ordinarily in the range of about 1 to 9 mW/mm²-sr-nm). (Smith Decl. at ¶ 8 (Ex. 2016).) For instance, in 2005, Energetiq was approached by an industry leader to see whether Energetiq could use a plasma to develop a high brightness light source. The industry required light that was at least many times higher brightness than that of existing arc lamps. (Smith Decl. at ¶ 10 (Ex. 2016).) Petitioner ASML agrees that "[s]ignificant . . . brightness improvements" are necessary over arc lamps. (*Id.*; U.S. Pub. No. US 2013/0329204 A1 at ¶ 0008 (Ex. 2009).)

B. Energetiq's Patented Laser Driven Light Source

To satisfy the industry's need for a higher brightness light source, Energetiq developed a laser-driven light source that uses fundamentally different technology and physics principles than arc lamps.

Energetiq's invention is directed at a light source comprising a chamber, an ignition source for ionizing a gas within the chamber, and at least one laser for providing energy to the ionized gas to produce a "high brightness light." Energetiq's patented laser-driven light source produces a "high brightness light"

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.