

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASML NETHERLANDS B.V., EXCELITAS TECHNOLOGIES CORP., AND
QIOPTIQ PHOTONICS GMBH & CO. KG,
Petitioners

v.

ENERGETIQ TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01375
U.S. Patent No. 9,048,000

**MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
MOTION TO FILE CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL
PURUSANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 & 42.54**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54, Patent Owner Energetiq Technology, Inc. (“Energetiq”) respectfully submits this Motion to Seal portions of Energetiq’s Patent Owner Response and certain exhibits thereto.

I. Background

Energetiq is submitting materials in this proceeding that contain highly sensitive technical and business information belonging to Energetiq as well as a third party, a competitor of Petitioner ASML. On February 16, 2016, a conference call was held among respective counsel for Petitioner and Patent Owner, and Judges Medley, Chang, and Parvis, to discuss submission of these materials under a revised Protective Order.

The same day, the Board issued paper 18, which, among other things, urged Patent Owner to contact the third party regarding de-designating or redacting to eliminate third party confidential information that Patent Owner seeks to submit. Paper 18 at 3, 5. The Board additionally required that the Patent Owner remind the third party that information submitted in these IPR proceedings may be made public, as set forth in the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, so as to ensure that the third party’s agreement to the use of its information in these IPR proceedings is with the knowledge of the risks associated with the submission of the information to the Board.

Patent Owner has complied with the Board’s requests. In response to Patent

Owner's relaying the above information to the third party, the third party has agreed to Patent Owner's submitting redacted copies of its materials under seal, with certain material withheld due to the competitive situation that exists between it and ASML Netherlands B.V. Patent Owner now moves to the Board to enter the default Protective Order, attached as Appendix A, and moves to file these redacted third party papers—in addition to certain other materials discussed below—under seal.

II. Good Cause Exists for Sealing Certain Confidential Information

Under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, papers filed in an *inter partes* review are open and available to the public by default; however, a party may file a motion to seal, in which case the information at issue remains sealed pending the outcome of the motion. *Id.* Generally, only “confidential information” is protected from disclosure. 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(7). The standard for granting a motion to seal is “for good cause.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a).

Patent Owner submits that portions of its Response and each of the Proposed Exhibits summarized in the table below contains confidential trade secret, business, and/or commercial information of either Energetiq or a third party. In the table below, Patent Owner provides detailed reasons demonstrating good cause to seal each of the Proposed Exhibits.

Exhibit	Good Cause for Filing Under Seal
2008	<p><i>“A Novel Electrodeless Light Source for Wafer Inspection Applications,”</i> (January 4, 2006)</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq proprietary design information and third party business strategy information.</p>
2010	<p>Bucksbaum Declaration</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of multiple references to documents having proprietary design information and business strategy information of Energetiq and third parties.</p> <p>Patent Owner is also submitting a redacted (public) version of this Exhibit.</p>
2016	<p>Smith Declaration</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of multiple references to documents having proprietary design information and business strategy information of Energetiq and third parties.</p> <p>Patent Owner is also submitting a redacted (public) version of this Exhibit.</p>
2027	<p><i>“Light Source Proposal...”</i> (12/15/2005)</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq proprietary design information and third party business strategy information.</p>
2028	<p>2007 ASML/Energetiq Email</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq proprietary technical and business strategy information and confidential ASML information.</p>
2030	<p>Project Agreement for Feasibility Study (May 3, 2006)</p>

	<p>This document contains Energetiq and third-party proprietary business strategy and technical information.</p>
2036	<p>Presentation to ASML, “LDLS Laser Driven Light Sources for i-Line” (10/25/2007)</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq proprietary design information.</p>
2037	<p>Presentation to ASML, “Next Generation LDLS UV Lamp for ASML” (2/12/2008)</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq proprietary design information and confidential ASML information.</p>
2040	<p>2007 Energetiq License Agreement</p> <p>This document contains Energetiq and third party confidential licensing and financial information.</p>
2041	<p>2010 Energetiq License Agreement</p> <p>This document contains Energetiq and third party confidential licensing and financial information.</p>
2042	<p>Email from Energetiq to ASML</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq business strategy information and confidential ASML information.</p>
2043	<p>Email from Qioptiq to Energetiq dated September 29, 2014</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq business strategy information.</p>
2065	<p>ASML BV LDLS Roadmap (6/11/2013)</p> <p>This document contains confidential information in the form of Energetiq business strategy and technical information and confidential ASML information.</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.