	Case 2:14-cv-01991-RSL Document 66	Filed 08/06/15	Page 1 of 6
1 2		THE HONO	RABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
10	AT SEATTLE		
11	SEYMOUR LEVINE,	Case No. 2:14-cv	-1991-RSL
12	Plaintiff,	JOINT CLAIM	CONSTRUCTION AND
13	vs.	PREHEARING	SIAIEMENI
14	THE BOEING COMPANY,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			LEVINE
		hout watermarks at :	Ex 2001

Pursuant to LPR 132 and the Court's scheduling order (Dkt. 53), Plaintiff Seymour Levine
 and Defendant The Boeing Company ("Boeing") submit this Joint Claim Construction and
 Prehearing Statement.

4

A. LPR 132(a): Terms Proposed for Construction on Which the Parties Agree

The parties agree that the terms "transmitter portable" and "transmitter positionable," in
claims 4 and 14, respectively, should each be construed to mean "a removable device for
generating radio frequency signals."

8

9

B. LPR 132(b): Each Party's Proposed Claim Constructions and Supporting Evidence

A side-by-side comparison of the parties' respective proposed constructions, an identification of the party proposing the construction, and an identification of the intrinsic evidence that each party intends to rely upon supporting that party's construction, and the extrinsic evidence that each party intends to rely upon, either to support its proposed construction of the claim terms or to oppose the other party's proposed construction, are provided in Exhibit 1.

Each party has served (or, concurrently with this filing, will serve) the extrinsic evidence
on which it intends to rely, and the parties intend to file the relevant intrinsic and extrinsic
evidence in conjunction with their claim construction briefs. The parties will provide the Court
with copies of the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence before the filing of the claim construction briefs
if the Court so instructs.

19 20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

C.

D.

LPR 132(c): Identification of Significant Terms

The parties dispute fewer than ten claim terms.

The parties believe that construction of the terms identified would be most helpful in narrowing the infringement and validity issues, and thus the most productive in setting the groundwork for possible settlement.

25

LPR 132(d): Length of Claim Construction Hearing

The parties agree that approximately half a day, divided equally between the parties, would be an appropriate and adequate time to set aside for the claim construction hearing.

> LEVINE Fx 2001

1	Е.	LPR 132(e): Proposed Order of Presentation at Claim Construction Hearing			
2	The parties suggest a term-by-term order of presentation at the claim construction hearing,				
3	3 with the party presenting first to alternate from term to term. Specifically, the parties suggest the				
4	4 following order of presentation:				
5	•	Plaintiff will address "digital aircraft performance data" and "aircraft performance			
6		and control parameters," then Defendant will address those terms, and then Plaintiff			
7		will reply;			
8	•	Defendant will address the "central station" and "ground based station" terms, then			
9		Plaintiff will address those terms, and then Defendant will reply;			
10	•	Plaintiff will address "configuration label," then Defendant will address that term,			
11		and then Plaintiff will reply; and			
12	•	Defendant will address "sensor multiplexer," then Plaintiff will address that term,			
13		and then Defendant will reply.			
14	F.	LPR 132(f): Witness and/or Expert Testimony			
15	Neith	er party intends to call any witnesses at the claim construction hearing. The parties			
16	16 agree that the claim construction hearing will not be an evidentiary hearing.				
17	Boeing intends to rely on the declaration of an expert, Professor R. John Hansman, and				
18	18 will serve Mr. Levine with a copy of Professor Hansman's declaration concurrently with this				
19	filing.				
20	If the	Court decides to consider Boeing's expert declaration, over Levine's objection,			
21	21 Levine intends to offer a declaration from his expert, Mr. John Grabowsky, in response to the				
22	22 Hansman declaration. The parties have agreed that Levine will serve Boeing with the Grabowsky				
23	declaration no later than August 27, 2015.				
24	G.	LPR 132(g): Tutorial			
25	The p	parties agree that a tutorial to assist the Court in understanding the underlying			
26	technology may be helpful. Each party is available to present its respective tutorial either				
27					
28	28 hearing, at the Court's convenience.				

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

H. LPR 132(h): Prehearing Conf

As stated above, if the Court desires tutorials in advance of the claim construction hearing, both parties are available at the Court's convenience before the claim construction hearing. Otherwise, the parties agree that a prehearing conference before the claim construction hearing should not be necessary.

LPR 132(i): Appointment by the Court of an Independent Expert

I. As previously stated in the Joint Status Report (Dkt. 52 at 8), Levine does not believe that the straightforward issues in this case warrant the appointment and expense of a technical advisor. As previously stated in the Joint Status Report (Dkt. 52 at 8), Boeing believes that the Court should appoint a technical advisor to aid in understanding the technology underlying the patent and the specification and claim terms, with the costs to be shared equally between the parties. LEVINE Fx 2001

	Case 2:14-cv-01991-RSL Docum	ent 66 Filed 08/06/15 Page 5 of 6		
1	DATED: August 6, 2015			
2	s/ Bruce R. Zisser	s/ Adam R. Lawton		
3	Jenny A. Durkan, WSBA 15751 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	Ted Dane (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Peter E. Gratzinger (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
4	SULLIVAN LLP	Adam R. Lawton (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
	600 University Street, Suite 2800	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
5	Seattle, WA 98101	355 S. Grand Ave., 35th Floor		
6	jennydurkan@quinnemanuel.com Tel: 206.905.7074	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: 213.683.9100		
-	101. 200.905.7074	ted.dane@mto.com		
7	Frederick A. Lorig	peter.gratzinger@mto.com		
8	Amar L. Thakur	adam.lawton@mto.com		
9	Bruce R. Zisser			
9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP	Rohit K. Singla (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
10	865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	560 Mission Street, 27th Floor		
11	Los Angeles, CA 90017	San Francisco, CA 94105-2907		
	Tel: 213.443.3000	Tel: 415.512.4000		
12	Attorneys for Planitiff Seymour Levine	rohit.singla@mto.com		
13	nitorneys for Trannig Seymour Levine	Ryan J. McBrayer WSBA #28338		
14		PERKINS COIE LLP		
14		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900		
15		Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Tel: 206.359.3073/Fax: 206.359.4073		
16		RMcBrayer@perkinscoie.com		
17		Attorneys for Defendant The Boeing Company		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28		LEVINE Fx_2001		
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.