Relationship Between Daily Dose of Oral Medications and Idiosyncratic Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Search for Signals

Craig Lammert,¹ Stefan Einarsson,² Chandan Saha,³ Anna Niklasson,² Einar Bjornsson,² and Naga Chalasani³

Idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is traditionally thought not to be dose-related. However, it has been pointed out that most medicines that were withdrawn from marketing or received a black-box warning because of hepatotoxicity were prescribed at daily doses greater than 50 mg/day. To examine the relationship between daily dose of medications and idiosyncratic DILI, we conducted a study with two aims. First, using two pharmaceutical databases, we examined the relationship between daily dose of commonly prescribed medicines in the United States and reported frequency of their selected hepatic adverse events. Second, we examined serious DILI cases reported to the Swedish Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee (1970-2004) for any signals supporting the relationship between daily dose and idiosyncratic DILI. Medications were categorized into ≤10 mg/day, 11-49 mg/day, and \geq 50 mg/day groups. Among US prescription medicines, a statistically significant relationship was observed between daily dose of oral medicines and reports of liver failure (P = 0.009), liver transplantation (P < 0.001), and death caused by DILI (P = 0.004) but not alanine aminotransferase (ALT) > $3 \times$ upper limit of normal (P = 0.10) or jaundice (P = 0.16). Of 598 eligible Swedish DILI cases, 9% belonged to the \leq 10 mg/day group, 14.2% to the 11-49 mg/day group, and 77% of cases were caused by medications given at dose \geq 50 mg/day. A statistically significant relationship was noted between daily dose and poor outcome (death or liver transplantation) of Swedish DILI cases $(2\%, 9.4\%, \text{and } 13.2\% \text{ in } \le 10, 11-49, \text{ and } \ge 50 \text{ mg/day groups, respectively, } P = 0.03)$. Conclusion: These data suggest a relationship between daily doses of oral prescription medications and idiosyncratic DILI. More studies are needed to validate these observations and to explore their implications. (HEPATOLOGY 2008;47:2003-2009.)

See Editorial on Page 1813

Received November 12, 2007; accepted January 30, 2008.

Supported in part by National Institutes of Health grant K 24 DK 072101 (N.C.).

Address reprint requests to: Naga Chalasani, M.D., Associate Professor of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, RG 4100, 1050 Wishard Boulevard, Indianapolis, IN 46202. E-mail: nchalasa&iupui.edu; fax: 317-630-6815.

Copyright © 2008 by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI 10.1002/hep.22272

Potential conflict of interest: Dr. Chalasani is a consultant for Takeda, Pfizer, Advanced Life Sciences, Atherogenics, Metabasis, and Lilly. He also received grants from Sanofi-Roche.

diosyncratic drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is rare, but when it occurs it may have serious consequences.¹ It is one of the most frequent causes of acute liver failure in the United States^{1,2} and in fact is one of the most common reasons for not receiving approval or for withdrawal from marketing by the United States Food and Drug Administration.³ The pathogenesis of idiosyncratic DILI is not well understood but is generally thought to be unpredictable and not dose-dependent. However, it has been pointed out that most drugs that either have been withdrawn from the market or have received a black box warning due to hepatotoxicity were prescribed at daily doses greater than 50 mg, suggesting some dose relationship⁴ (Table 1). There is some relationship between daily dose of a medication (for example, statins, bosentan) and the reported frequency of elevated aminotransferases, suggesting that in some instances DILI may be dose-dependent.^{5,6} Anecdotally, we have observed many instances in which individuals developed clear-cut hepatotoxicity on

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; APAP, acetaminophen; DILI, drug-induced liver injury.

From the ¹Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden; and ³Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN.

 Table 1. Daily Doses of Medications That Either Have Been

 Withdrawn from the United States Market or Have Received

 Hepatotoxicity Warning by the FDA

Compound	Withdrawn from Year	Marketing	Daily Dosage
Iproniazid	1956		100-250 mg
Ticrynafen	1979		250-500 mg
Benoxaprofen	1982		600 mg
Bromlenac	1998		75-100 mg
Troglitazone	2000		400-600 mg
Ximelagalran*	2006		48-72 mg
	Hepatotoxicity	Warnings	
Strong Warnin	g of Serious	Moderate Wa	rning of Serious

Hepatotoxicity		atotoxicity
Daily Dosage	Compound	Daily Dosage
250-1250 mg	Zileuton	1600-2400 mg
200-800 mg	Tacrine	40-160 mg
100-4500 mg	Labetalol	200-400 mg
300-1200 mg	Diclofenac	75-200 mg
750-3000 mg	Dantrolene	25-400 mg
300 mg		
25-400 mg		
200-600 mg		
800 mg		
200-400 mg		
40-100 mg		
5 mg/kg		
	Daily Dosage 250-1250 mg 200-800 mg 100-4500 mg 300-1200 mg 300-1200 mg 25-400 mg 200-600 mg 800 mg 200-400 mg 40-100 mg 5 mg/kg	Daily DosageCompound250-1250 mgZileuton200-800 mgTacrine100-4500 mgLabetalol300-1200 mgDiclofenac750-3000 mgDantrolene300 mg25-400 mg200-600 mg800 mg200-400 mg200-400 mg40-100 mg5 mg/kg

Assigned as Second-line Agents Because of Hepatotoxicity

Compound Daily Dosag		
Pemoline	mean 56-75 mg, max 112.5 mg	
Tolcapone	300 mg	
Trovafloxacin	50-200 mg	
Febamate	1200-3600 mg	

NOTE. It is striking that almost all these compounds were given at doses greater than 50 mg per day.

*Was not approved by FDA for marketing in the USA and was withdrawn from worldwide marketing.

ceived at stable doses for a lengthy period. For example, a middle-aged woman without known underlying liver disease or alcoholism developed pronounced hepatotoxicity caused by duloxetine very soon after increasing its dose to 60 mg/day, although she received 30 mg/day duloxetine for many weeks with no complications (unpublished data). These observations raise the possibility that some relationship may exist between daily dose of a medication and its propensity to exhibit hepatotoxicity. However, this has not been previously studied in a formal fashion.

To explore for an association between daily dose of medications and idiosyncratic DILI, we conducted a study with two specific aims. First, using two comprehensive pharmaceutical databases, we examined the relationship between daily dose of oral medications that are commonly prescribed in the United States and reported amined the daily doses of oral medications that have been implicated in the reports of suspected hepatic adverse drug reactions submitted to the Swedish Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee during the period 1970 to 2004.

Materials and Methods

First Aim. We used a publicly available pharmacy database (www.drugtopics.com) to extract the names of the top 200 brand and top 200 generic medications by prescription volume in the United States during the year 2005.^{7,8} Duplicate compounds were reduced to a single entry, and nonoral medications were removed. List compilation yielded 230 medications available for further consideration. These compounds were further categorized into dosage groups of 10 mg or less, 11 to 49 mg, and 50 mg or greater based on daily recommended doses (Table 2). The compounds with broad ranges of recommended daily dose were placed within dosage groups based on the average of maximum and minimum recommended daily dose. For example, atorvastatin, with a daily recommended dose ranging between 10 and 80 mg (average, 45 mg) was placed in the 10-mg to 50-mg group. We subsequently reviewed each of these medications to assess whether they were ever reported to have caused selected hepatic adverse events, using the Thompson's Micromedex Drugdex System. Drugdex is one of the most comprehensive pharmacy databases, consisting of package insert data and published literature.9 Hepatic adverse events selected for this specific aim were alanine aminotransferase (ALT) greater than 3 times the upper limit of normal, cholestatic jaundice, liver failure, liver transplantation, and death caused by hepatic injury. To ensure completeness, each listed compound was crosschecked in the PubMed, Adverse Event Reporting System database, and the Physicians' Desk Reference.¹⁰⁻¹² For each listed compound, we extracted whether these selected hepatic adverse events were reported rather than the number of events reported.

Second Aim. All reports of suspected drug-induced liver injury received by the Swedish Adverse Drug Advisory Committee since 1970 have been computerized. The reporting of fatal, otherwise serious, and new reactions has been compulsory since 1975. In a previous paper, the number and nature of suspected adverse drug-induced liver disease associated with fatalities or leading to liver transplantation between 1966 and 2002 were reported (Swedish DILI death/transplant cases).¹³ In a subsequent paper, all cases of suspected DILI with concomitant jaundice reported between 1970 and 2004 were reviewed for

 \leq 10 mg/day (n = 54)

Actonel

Altace

Amaryl

Aricept

Arimidex Avandia

Benazepril

Benztropine

Bumetanide

Clonazepam

Colchicine

Coumadin Detrol LA

Diazepam

Digitek

Piroxicam

Pravachol

rodnicolo

 \bigcirc

Ditropan

Doxazosin

Beoestin

Clannex

Cialis

Bisoprolol/HCTZ

Alprazolain

Table 2. (Continued)

Table 2. Most Commonly Prescribed Medicines in the United **States Cat**

Catagorizad into Three Dase Groups					
11-49 mg/day	≥50 mg/day	≤10 mg/day (n = 54)	11-49 mg/day (n = 83)	≥50 mg/day (n = 93)	
(n = 83)	(n = 93)		Prevacid	Nabumetone	
Ahilify	Acvclovir		Prochlorperaz mal	Naproxen	
Accupril	Allegra-D 12 hour		Promethazine tabs	niacin	
Aciphex	Allopurinol		Protonix	Nurtriptyline	
Actos	Amiodarone		Relpax	Nitrofurantoin	
Adderall XR	Amitriptyline		Ritalin-LA	Oseltamivir phosphate	
Ambien	Amoxicillin		Singulair	oxcarbazepine	
Atacand	Amoxicillin/clavulanate		Spironolactone	Penicillin VK	
Baclofen	Aspirin, Enteric-Coat		Tamoxifen	Pentoxifylline	
Benicar	Atenolol		Thyroid, Armour	Phenazopyridine HCI	
Benicar HCT	Atenolol chlorthal		Timolol Maleate GFS	Phenobarbital	
Bextra	Atomoxctine hyrdochloride		Tuprol XL	Phenytoin	
Buspirone HCI	Azithromycin		Tramadol	Progesterone	
Captopril	Benzonatate		Triamterene w/HCTZ	Propranolol hydrochloride	
Citalopram HBR	Bupropion hydrochloride		Tussionex	Quetiapine furnarate	
Clorazepate dipot	Carhamazepine		Viagra	Quinine sulfate	
Concerta	Carbidopa/levodopa		Vytorin	Raloxifene hydrochloride	
Coreg	Carisoprodol		Zelnorm	Ranitidine hydrochloride	
Cozaar	Cefdinir		Zetia	Scrtraline	
Crestor	cefprozil		Zocor	Sotalol	
Cymbalta	Celuroxime Axctil		Zvprexa	Telithromvcin	
Diovan	Celecoxib			Terbinafine hydrochloride	
Diphenhydramine tabs	Cephalexin			Tetracvcline	
Diphenoxylate w/Atro	Cimetidine			Theophylline SR	
Doxepin	Ciprofloxacin HCI			Topiramate	
Effexor XR	Clarithromycin			Trandolapril/verapamil	
Farnotidine	Clopidrogel hydrogen sulfate			Trazodone HCI	
Flexeril	Diclofenac Sodium			Trimethoprim/Sulfa	
Fluoxetine	Diclofenac sodium/misoprostol			Valacyclovir hydrochloride	
Fosamax	Dicyclomine HCI				
Furoscmide oral	Diltiazem hydrochloride			sodium)	
Geodon oral	Docusate sodium			Veranamil SR	
Hydralazine	Doxycycline				
Hydrochlorothiazida	Etodolac				

undice cases).¹⁴ Cases contained within ts were retrieved, and duplicate entries m further consideration. The causality ssessed according to the International a,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and all cases had least "possible" ship. Apart from the daily dose of the ation, the following information was reeports: drug(s) suspected, results of peak ansferase, ALT, alkaline phosphatase, ues, and outcome (recovery, death, or on). Cases with more than one drug caused DILI and acetaminophen alone n with other drugs were excluded. Only were included in the analysis. The imons were categorized into 10 mg or less, d 50 mg or greater based on the daily tives were to examine how many Swedelonged to each of these dosage groups e relationship between daily dose and слр outcome of Swedish DILI cases.

For aim 2, as a supplement to the Swedish DILI data,

EE/ethynodiol di	Diphenoxylate w/Atro	Cimetidine	
Felodipine ER	Doxepin	Ciprofloxacin HCI	
Flomax	Effexor XR	Clarithromycin	
Fosinopril sod	Farnotidine	Clopidrogel hydrogen sulfate	
Glipizide XL	Flexeril	Diclofenac Sodium	
Glyburide	Fluoxetine	Diclofenac sodium/misoprostol	
Hyoscyamine	Fosamax	Dicyclomine HCI	
Indapamide	Furoscmide oral	Diltiazem hydrochloride	
Levonorgestrel	Geodon oral	Docusate sodium	
Lorazepam	Hydralazine	Doxycycline	
Lotrel	Hydrochlorothiazide	Etodolac	
Lowogestrel	Hydroxyzine	Fenofibrate	
Lunesta	Imitrex Oral	Fexofenadine hydrochloride	(a. 1) 1
Mavik	Indomethacin	Fluconazole	(Swedish DILI jau
Metolazone	Isosorbide mononitrate	Gabapentin	these two data set
Mirapex	Lescol	Gemfibrozil	ware evaluded fre
Mobic	Levitra	Glyburide/metformin HCl	were excluded filo
Namenda	Lexapro	Hydrochlorothiazide/valsartan	was rigorously as
Norethindrone/ee	Lipitor	Hydrocholorthiazide/irbesartan	Consensus Criteri
Norgestimate/ee	Lisinopril	Hydroxychloroquine	causality relations
Norvasc	Lisinopril/HCTZ	Hydroxyzine Pamoate	
Prednisone oral	Lovastatin	Ibuprofen	implicated medica
Premarin	Meclizine HCI	Imipramine HCI	trieved from the re
Prempro	Methadose	Irbesartan	aspartate aminotr
Proscar	methlyprednis	Labetalol	
Risperdal	Metoclopramide	Lamotrigine	and bilirubin value
Synthroid	Metoprolol tartrate	Levetiracetam	liver transplantati
Temazepam	Micardis	Levofloxacin	suspected to have
Terazosin	Mirtazapine	Lithium Carbonate	
		Losartan potassium-	or in combination
Tizanidine HCI	Nadolol	hydrochlorothiazide	oral medications
Yasmin 28	Nexium	Mesalamine	plicated medicatio
Zyrtec	Nifedipine ER	Metaxalone	
	Omeprazole	Metformin	11 to 49 mg, and
	Oxycodone	Metformin/rosiglitazone	dosage. The objec
	Oxycontin	Methocarbamol	ish DILL cases be
	Paxil CR	Metronidazole Tabs	
	Phentermine	Minocycline	and to explore th

Modafinil

Mutuccin AC

Moxifloxacin hydrochloride

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

		-	-	
Number of Compounds Reported To Have Caused	≤10 mg (n = 54)	10-50 mg (n = 83)	≥50 mg (n = 93)	P-Value
$ALT > 3 \times ULN (n, \%)$	10 (19)	22 (27)	29 (31)	0.10
Jaundice (n, %)	18 (33)	33 (40)	42 (45)	0.16
Liver Failure (n, %)	9 (17)	10 (12)	30 (32)	0.009
Death (n, %)	6 (11)	9 (11)	26 (28)	0.004
Transplant (n, %)	0 (0)	2 (2)	12 (13)	< 0.001
Prescriptions (median in 2005)	4,746,500	4,938,000	3,733,000	0.3

Table 3. Association Between Daily Doses of Oral Prescription Medications and Hepatic Adverse Events

For example, 19% of compounds belonging to the <10 mg group have been reported to cause ALT > 3 ULN, whereas 27% of compounds belonging to the 10-50 mg group and 31% of compounds belonging to the >50 mg group (*P*-value = 0.10). Another example: 32% of compounds belonging to the >50 mg group have been reported to cause liver failure in comparison with 17% in the <10 mg group and 12% in the 10-50 mg groups (*P* < 0.01).

consisted of 270 DILI cases that required liver transplantation in the United States between 1990 and 2002.¹⁸ Of these 270 cases, 133 were acetaminophen (APAP) related, and 137 were non–APAP related. We examined how many of these non-APAP cases belonged to 10 mg/day or less, 11 to 49 mg/day, and 50 mg/day or greater groups.

Statistics. For aim 1, proportions were used to describe the clinical dichotomous outcomes. The Kruskal-Wallis test compared the distribution of the number of times a compound was prescribed in 2005 among the three groups of compounds. The Cochran-Armitage trend test was applied for association between clinical outcomes and compounds. The overall logistic regression model linked the odds of ever reporting an incidence of clinical outcome to the covariate, three groups of compounds. For the validity of the model fitting for the transplant outcome, we used two groups of compounds, less than 50 mg versus 50 mg or greater, because none of the compounds in the less than 10 mg group had undergone transplantation. Estimated odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were reported. For aim 2, descriptive statistics and Fisher's exact test were used to analyze the data. A P value of less than 5% was considered statistically significant. SAS (version 9.1, Cary, NC) was used for statistical analyses.

Results

Aim 1. There were 54 compounds in the 10 mg/day or less group, 83 in the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group, and 93 in the 50 mg/day or greater group (Table 2). In 2005, the total number of prescriptions written ranged from 1,258,000 to 34,230,000 for the 10 mg/day or less group, 1,260,000 to 47,829,000 for the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group, and 1,286,000 to 101,639,000 for the 50 mg/day or greater group. There was no statistically significant difference in the median number of prescriptions written in 2005 among these three groups (P = 0.37; Table 3).

There was a statistically significant relationship be-

ure, liver transplantation, or liver-related death (Tables 3 and 4). Seventeen percent of compounds belonging to the 10 mg/day or less group had been reported to cause liver failure, in comparison with 12% in the 11-mg to 49-mg/ day group and 32% in the 50 mg/day or greater group (P = 0.009). No compounds belonging to the 10 mg/day or less group caused liver transplantation, in comparison with 2% in the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group and 14% in the 50 mg/day or greater group (P < 0.001). Eleven percent of compounds belonging to the 10 mg/day or less group and the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group were reported to have caused DILI-related deaths, in comparison with 28% of compounds belonging to the 50 mg/day or greater group (P = 0.007). There was no statistically significant

Table 4.	Relationsh	nip Be	etween	Daily	/ Dose	and	Differen	t
Hepatio	Events: R	esults	s from	the L	.ogistic	Reg	gression	
		4	Analysi	s				

Outcome and Covariate	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	<i>P</i> -Value
$ALT > 3 \times ULN$			
Compound			
\leq 10 mg	1.00		
11-490 mg	1.59	0.68-3.68	0.28
≥50 mg	1.99	0.88-4.50	0.10
Jaundice			
Compound			
≤10 mg	1.00		
11-49 mg	1.32	0.65-2.70	0.45
≥50 mg	1.65	0.82-3.31	0.16
Liver failure			
Compound			
≤10 mg	1.00		
11-49 mg	0.69	0.26-1.81	0.446
≥50 mg	2.38	1.03-5.50	0.042
Death			
Compound			
≤10 mg	1.00		
11-49 mg	0.97	0.33-2.91	0.961
≥50 mg	3.10	1.19-8.12	0.021
Transplant			
Compound			
<50 mg	1.00		
~ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	10.00	0 10 15 0	0 000

Table 5.	Types of Liver	Injury and O	utcome Strati	fied
According	to Daily Dose:	The Swedish	Hepatic ADR	Data
	(Total Eligi	ble Cases =	598)	

	\leq 10 mg/day	11-49 mg/day	≥50 mg/day
Number of DILI cases	53 (8.9%)	85 (14.2%)	460 (76.9%)
Pattern of injury			
Hepatocellular	34 (64.2%)	43 (50.6%)	231 (50.2%)
Cholestatic	13 (24.5%)	22 (25.9%)	124 (26.9%)
Mixed	6 (11.3%)	20 (37.8%)	104 (22.6%)
Outcome			
Death/liver transplantation*	1 (2%)	8 (9.4%)	61 (13.2%)*
Survived	52 (98%)	77 (90.6%)	399 (86.8%)
Top 200 prescribed			
medicines in Sweden			
in 2005			
Proportion belonging to			
each dose group	22.5%	27.5%	37.5%
Median # of prescriptions	249,197	360,149	215,760

*The proportion of patients who died/transplanted due to DILI was significantly higher in the \geq 50 mg daily dose in comparison with the 11-49 mg/day and the \leq 10 mg/day groups (13.2% versus 9.4% versus 2%. *P* = 0.03).

association between daily recommended dose of oral prescription medications and reports of ALT more than $3 \times$ the upper limit of normal or jaundice (Tables 3 and 4).

The logistic regression analyses showed that a significantly higher proportion of medications belonging to the 50 mg/day or greater group had liver failure (odds ratio, 2.38; 95% confidence interval, 1.03-5.50), liver transplantation (odds ratio, 10.00; 95% confidence interval, 2.18-45.81), or liver-related death (odds ratio, 3.10; 95% confidence interval, 1.19-8.12), whereas medications belonging to the 10 mg/day or less and 11-mg to 49-mg/day groups had no differences (Table 4).

Aim 2. After applying exclusion criteria to Swedish DILI death/transplant and jaundice cases, a total of 598 cases were eligible for further analyses (58% females, median age of 59 years (interquartile range, 42-74); 51% had hepatocellular type and 49% had cholestatic/mixed type of liver injury. Seventy-seven percent of Swedish DILI cases belonged to the 50 mg/day or greater group, whereas

DOCKE

only 9% belonged to the 10 mg/day or less group, and 14% belonged to the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group (Table 5). The pattern of liver injury and patient outcomes in different dose categories are shown in Table 5. Only one patient treated with 10 mg/day or less died, and only very few other patients with a lower daily dose than 50 mg/day either died or underwent liver transplantation (Table 5). A statistically significant relationship was noted between daily dose and poor outcome (death or liver transplantation) of Swedish DILI cases (13.2%, 9.4%, and 2% in \geq 50, 11-49, and \leq 10 mg/day groups, respectively; P =0.03). Selected details of patients belonging to the 10 mg/day or less group and the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group who had poor outcome after DILI event are shown in Table 6. As a control measure, we assessed the proportion of prescription medications and their prescription volume in Sweden that belong to each of these dosage groups. After excluding nonoral formulations from 200 most prescribed medicines in Sweden in 2005, 37.5% belonged to the 50 mg/day or greater group, 27.5% belonged to the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group, and 22.5% belonged to the 10 mg/day or less group. The median number of prescriptions written in 2005 in Sweden were 249,197 (range, 101,094-1,847,843) for the 10 mg/day or less group, 360,149 (range, 99,986-2,647,547) for the 11-mg to 49mg/day group, and 215,760 (range, 104,073-3,601,864) for the 50 mg/day or greater group.

Of 137 non-APAP DILI cases that required liver transplantation in the United States between 1990 and 2002, after excluding cases caused by inhalation agents (n = 4), intravenous agents (n = 3), herbal agents (n = 7), amanita mushrooms (n = 9), and combination of agents (n =3), 111 cases were further analyzed. Of these 111 cases, two cases were caused by compounds belonging to the 10 mg/day or less group, eight belonged to the 11-mg to 49-mg/day group, and 101 cases were reportedly caused by compounds with a daily recommended dose of 50 mg or greater (Table 7).

 Table 6. Selected Details of Patients with Poor Outcome DILI (Death or Transplantation) Caused by Compounds Given at

 <50 mg Daily Dose: The Swedish Hepatic ADR Dataset</td>

Sex/Age (yrs)	Medication	Daily Dose (mg/day)	Duration of Exposure (days)	Туре	Outcome
Male/79	Donepezil	5	501	HC	Death
Male/64	Enalapril	10	60	Mixed	LT
Female/73	Omeprazole	40	300	HC	Death
Male/63	Simvastatin	20	90	HC	LT
Male/64	Rofecoxib	25	23	HC	LT
Female/74	Enalapril	30	60	HC	Death
Male/78	Simvastatin	20	90	HC	Death
Female/55	Hydralazine	25	180	HC	Death
Female/73	Dikumarol	40	120	CS	Death

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

