UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BUNGIE, INC., Petitioner

v.

WORLDS INC., Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01319 Patent 8,082,501

PATENT OWNER WORLDS INC.'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Background	4
8	About U.S. Patent No. 8,082,501 (the "501 patent" or "Leahy")	4
ł	The Petition Challenges Claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 14-16 of the '501 Patent	7
(Petitioner Failed to Conduct a Proper Claim Construction Analysis and oplied Unreasonably Broad Interpretations of the Claim Terms	9
	Petitioners' Grounds of Challenge Rely On Art Already Considered by the SPTO Examiner	12
III	Argument	14
a t	Petitioner's Theories of Invalidity Fail to Identify Each Recited Feature of e Challenged Claims in the Cited References	14
1]	Ground 1's Challenge Based on Funkhouser in view of Sitrick Fails to entify all Features of the Independent Claims	14
	Ground 4's Challenge Based on Durward Also Fails to Disclose all Feature Claims 1, 12, and 14	
0	The Petition's Obviousness-Based Challenges Also Fail Due to ortcomings of the Secondary References	32
(The Petition Fails to Name All Real Parties in Interest	39
IV	Conclusion	48

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Askeladden LLC v. McGhie et al., IPR2015-00122 (PTAB Mar. 16, 2015) (Paper	
34)	47
Atlanta Gas Light Co. v. Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc., IPR2013-00453 (PTAB)
Jan. 6, 2015) (Paper 88)	40
Gonzalez v. Banco Cent. Corp., 27 F.3d 751 (1st Cir. 1994)	40
In re Translogic Tech., Inc., 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	9
Loral Space & Comms., Inc. v. Viasat, Inc., IPR2014-00236 (PTAB Apr. 21, 201	4)
(Paper 7)	47
Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc., 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	28
St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. Volcano Corp., IPR2013-00258 (PTAB C)ct.
16, 2013) (Paper 29)	47
Taylor v. Sturgell, 553 U.S. 880 (2008)	40
Universal Remote Control, Inc. v. Universal Elecs., Inc., IPR2013-00168 (PTAB	
Aug. 26, 2013) (Paper 9)	47

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2)	
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	

Other Authorities

Rules

DOCKET

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(1)(iii)	41
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	22

LIST OF PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>	Description
2001	Transcript of Conference Call of July 23, 2015
2002	"Exhibit 1" to Exhibit 2001 (Software Publishing and Development Agreement, dated April 16, 2010)
2003	Proof of Service in Worlds Inc. v. Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:12-cv-10576 (D. Mass.)
2004	Letter dated November 13, 2014, from Worlds' litigation counsel to Activision's litigation counsel
2005	Patent Owner's First [Proposed] Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things to Petitioner (Nos. 1-6)
2006	Claim Construction Order dated June 26, 2015 in <i>Worlds Inc. v.</i> <i>Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:12-cv-10576 (D. Mass.)
2007	Complaint in <i>Worlds Inc. v. Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al.,</i> Case No. 1:12-cv-10576 (D. Mass.)
2008	Copilevitz, Todd, "Here's a chat room worth talking about," The Dallas Morning News, June 11, 1995
2009	Smith, Gina, "Whole new Worlds on-line," San Francisco Examiner, May 14, 1995

I. Introduction

Bungie, Inc. ("Bungie" or "Petitioner") filed the current Petition ("Petition") for *inter partes* review of claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 14-16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,082,501 ("the '501 patent" or "Leahy") on June 1, 2015. In the Petition, Bungie challenges claims 1-6, 12, 14, and 15 of the '501 patent as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,659,691 issued to Durward *et al.* ("Durward") (Ex. 1008), and challenges claims 1-6, 12, 14, and 15 as allegedly obvious over "RING: A Client-Server System for Multi-User Virtual Environments" authored by Thomas A. Funkhouser ("Funkhouser") (Ex. 1005), in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,521,014 to Sitrick ("Sitrick") (Ex. 1013).

Bungie also challenges claims 7-8, 10, and 16 as allegedly obvious over Funkhouser in view of Sitrick, and Durward, each in view of separate secondary references including Thomas A. Funkhouser, Adaptive Display Algorithm for Interactive Frame Rates During Visualization of Complex Virtual Environments ("Funkhouser '93") (Ex. 1017), U.S. Patent No. 5,021,976 to Wexelblat et al. ("Wexelblat") (Ex. 1020), and U.S. Patent No. 5,777,621 to Schneider ("Schneider") (Ex. 1019).

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.