

Paper No. ____
Filed: June 1, 2015

Filed on behalf of: Bungie, Inc.
By: Michael T. Rosato
Matthew A. Argenti
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036
Tel.: 206-883-2529
Fax: 206-883-2699
Email: mrosato@wsgr.com
Email: margenti@wsgr.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BUNGIE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

WORLDS INC.,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,082,501

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,082,501**

Table of Contents

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Brief Overview of the '501 Patent	1
B. Brief Overview of the Prosecution History	2
C. Brief Overview of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	3
D. Level of Skill in the Art.....	7
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING	8
III. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	8
IV. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED.....	9
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
VI. STATEMENT OF NON-REDUNDANCY	12
VII. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	13
A. [Ground 1] Claims 1-6, 12, 14, and 15 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Funkhouser and Sitrick.....	13
i. Independent claim 1	15
ii. Independent claims 12 and 14.....	24
iii. Dependent claims	27
iv. Rationale to Combine	30
B. [Ground 2] Claims 7 and 16 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Funkhouser, Sitrick, and Wexelblat.....	32
C. [Ground 3] Claims 8 and 10 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Funkhouser, Sitrick, and Funkhouser '93	33
D. [Ground 4] Claims 1-6, 12, 14, and 15 are Anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 by Durward.....	39

i.	Independent claim 1	40
ii.	Independent claims 12 and 14.....	47
iii.	Dependent claims	50
E.	[Ground 5] Claims 7 and 16 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Durward and Wexelblat	52
F.	[Ground 6] Claims 8 and 10 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Durward and Schneider.....	54
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	58
IX.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(A) AND 42.103	59
X.	APPENDIX – LIST OF EXHIBITS	60

I. Introduction

Pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 311 and § 6 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”), and to 37 C.F.R. Part 42, Bungie, Inc., (“Petitioner”) hereby requests review of U.S. Patent No. 8,082,501 to Leahy et al. (hereinafter “the ’501 patent,” Ex. 1001) that issued on December 20, 2011, and is currently assigned to Worlds Inc. (“Patent Owner”). This Petition demonstrates that there is a reasonable likelihood that claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 14-16 of the ’501 patent are unpatentable over the cited prior art. Thus, claims 1-8, 10, 12, and 14-16 of the ’501 patent should be found unpatentable and canceled.

A. Brief Overview of the ’501 Patent

The ’501 patent is entitled “System and Method for Enabling Users to Interact in a Virtual Space.” *See also* Ex. 1002, ¶¶ 14-27. In a general sense, the ’501 patent is directed to a client-server network system for enabling multiple users to interact with each other in a virtual world. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1001, Abstract, claim 1. Each user is represented by an avatar and interacts with a client system that “is networked to a virtual world server.” *Id.* at 3:15.

A user’s movement and viewing of the virtual world includes server-based processing of users’ virtual world positional information, in addition to client processing techniques similar to previous peer-to-peer systems. *Id.* at Abstract, 2:3-9. The ’501 patent indicates “each user executes a client process to view a virtual world from the perspective [or point of view] of that user.” *Id.* at Abstract, 2:41-42; *see also id.* at 5:27-35, 3:33-35, 45, fig. 1. The ’501 patent states that “[i]n order that the view can be updated to reflect the motion of the remote user’s

avatars, motion information is transmitted to a central server process which provides position[al] updates to client processes for neighbors of the user at that client.” *Id.* at Abstract , 2:44-58; *see also id.* at 5:52-59. As the user avatar moves throughout the virtual space, the user’s client system sends the server updates. *Id.* at 3:39-44.

Claim 1 of the ’501 patent is representative of the claims at issue. *See* Ex. 1002, ¶¶ 18-26. The “receiving” step of claim 1 relates to a central concept of the ’501 patent: server filtering, by which the server filters information to send to a client so that the client will receive positional information on a subset of users in a virtual world. Ex. 1002, ¶ 19. This is reflected in claim 1 as a client “receiving . . . position information associated with fewer than all of the other user avatars.” The client processes information received from the server to “determin[e] . . . a displayable set of the other user avatars.” *Id.* As discussed in more detail below, both the server-side filtering and client-side processing claimed by the ’501 patent were described in the prior art. *Id.* at ¶ 27.

B. Brief Overview of the Prosecution History

Application No. 12/406,968 was filed on March 19, 2009 and issued on December 20, 2011 as the ’501 patent. The ’501 patent is a continuation claiming priority benefit back to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/020,296, filed on November 13, 1995.

During prosecution, and consistent with the reason for allowance in the parent applications, the claims were “amended to clarify that *the client device does not receive position information of at least some avatars of the other user avatars*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.